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Diagnostic characteristics of a gelatin based Waaler-Rose assay (Serodia-RA) for the detection of rheumatoid factor
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Objectives: To evaluate the diagnostic usefulness of a gelatin based Waaler Rose assay (Serodia-RA©) for the detection of rheumatoid factor (RF) in rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods: RF was measured by Serodia-RA and rate nephelometry in 90 patients with RA according to the revised ACR criteria and 102 patients with rheumatological diseases other than RA. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, likelihood ratios, and area under the curve were tested using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis. Agreement between tests was also determined. Results: At equal specificity, Serodia-RA tended to be more sensitive than rate nephelometry (0.66 vs 0.58; p = 0.04). ROC plots showed an area under the curve of 0.843 for Serodia-RA and 0.784 for nephelometry, providing further evidence that Serodia-RA was slightly better at differentiating between RA and non-RA arthropathy. Good agreement was found between both assays. Conclusion: Serodia-RA is slightly more accurate than rate nephelometry for the detection of RF in RA, and a combination of both assays only marginally improves the diagnostic usefulness of RF detection. Use of two tests for detection of RF is not recommended. One test for detection of RF, together with a more specific test, such as antibodies to cyclic citrullinated peptide, is suggested.

Rheumatoid factor (RF) can be detected in the serum of the majority of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and is one of the seven classification criteria for RA proposed by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR). In patients with RA, the RF titre generally correlates with disease severity and predicts poor prognosis.

Since the discovery of RF by Waaler in 1937, indirect haemagglutination has been a standard test for detection and quantification of RF. Alternative methods, like latex agglutination, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and nephelometry, have since been developed for use in the routine clinical laboratory.

The original Waaler-Rose or sheep cell agglutination test detects RF through its ability to agglutinate sheep red blood cells sensitised with rabbit IgG. The Waaler-Rose assay has been described as less sensitive than nephelometry and latex agglutination for the detection of RF in patients with RA.

No data are available, however, on the specificity and sensitivity of a modification of the Waaler-Rose, in which the red blood cells are replaced by artificial gelatin particles (Serodia-RA; Fujirebio Inc, Japan). Gelatin particles have no solid antigenic determinants, are biologically inactive, and incur minimal physical absorption of serum constituents, thus eliminating non-specificity derived from the erythrocyte carrier. We evaluated the performance of the Serodia-RA for the detection of RF by comparing it with rate nephelometry.

Patients and Methods

Patients

RF was measured by rate nephelometry and Serodia-RA in 90 patients with RA according to the 1987 ACR revised criteria (including 50 consecutive patients with “established” RA and 40 patients with early RA with arthritis onset <1 year) and 102 consecutive patients with rheumatological diseases other than RA admitted to the rheumatology department of our hospital between July and September 2002. The group of patients with diseases other than RA included 26 patients with osteoarthritis, 16 with spondyloarthropathy, 12 with psoriatic arthritis, 5 with unspecified non-inflammatory arthropathy, 5 with low back pain, 4 with polymyalgia rheumatica, 4 with systemic lupus erythematosus, 4 with crystal arthropathy, 3 with fibromyalgia syndrome, 3 with scleroderma, 2 with tendinitis, 2 with primary Raynaud’s syndrome, 2 with spinal canal stenosis, 2 with post-traumatic rheumatic disease, 2 with rotator cuff impingement syndrome, 2 with carpal tunnel syndrome, 1 with mixed connective tissue disease, 1 with drug induced lupus, 1 with polymyositis, 1 with Wegener’s disease, 1 with motor polyneuropathy, 1 with acrocyanosis, 1 with joint hyperlaxity, and 1 with unspecified systemic disease. None of these patients were suspected for RA at 1 year follow up. The two groups had a similar proportion of women (59% and 66%, respectively) and a similar median age (55 and 47 years, respectively). Additionally, RF was measured by both tests in 1657 consecutive serum samples submitted to the laboratory for determination of RF. These samples were collected from patients admitted to the University Hospitals Leuven between May 2001 and January 2002.

Laboratory methods

All sera were heat inactivated (56°C, 30 minutes).

The Serodia-RA was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The titre was defined as the inverse number of the last final dilution giving agglutination, and a sample was considered positive if the titre was 40 or higher.

Rate nephelometry was performed on an Immage nephelometer (Beckman Instruments, California, USA) with Beckman reagents as described by the manufacturer’s technical brochure. Results are given as IU/ml, and a result equal to or higher than 20 was considered positive.

Each of these tests was performed and evaluated by experienced technologists who were unaware of the other results and the patients’ clinical data.

Abbreviations: ACR, American College of Rheumatology; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RF, rheumatoid factor; ROC, receiver operating characteristics
Statistical analysis
The sensitivity and specificity of Serodia-RA and nephelometry at two different cut off levels were computed, together with the 95% confidence interval. McNemar’s $\chi^2$ test for paired proportions was used to compare sensitivities of the tests. Additionally, accuracy (= sensitivity + specificity/2) and likelihood ratios were calculated. Areas under the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves with 95% confidence intervals and significance of the difference were estimated using non-parametric ROC analysis. Significance was accepted at a p value of <0.05. Agreement between both tests was assessed using the $k$ statistic on dichotomised data. Values of $k$ from 0.61 to 0.80 indicate good agreement.

Data analysis was undertaken using Analyse-it, version 1.62, for Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS
The diagnostic performance of a gelatin based Waaler-Rose assay (Serodia-RA) for the detection of RF in RA was evaluated by comparing it with the performance of rate nephelometry. Tables 1 and 2 show the results obtained for 90 patients with clinically well defined RA and 102 patients with non-RA arthropathy. Although both tests had good performance characteristics, Serodia-RA tended to be more sensitive (0.66 v 0.58; p = 0.04) than rate nephelometry at equal specificity (0.93). As one would expect, at higher cut off values the sensitivity of both assays decreased while the specificity and positive likelihood ratio increased.

ROC analysis provided further evidence that Serodia-RA was slightly better at differentiating between RA and non-RA arthropathy than nephelometry with a significant difference (p<0.02) in the area under the curve: 0.843 (95% CI 0.783 to 0.903) for Serodia-RA v 0.784 (95% CI 0.716 to 0.853) for rate nephelometry (fig 1).

To assess agreement between both assays, RF results of 1657 consecutive samples measured by both assays were analysed. For 1519 samples there was agreement between the RF results: 242 samples were positive and 1277 samples negative by both methods. The $k$ statistic was 0.73 and indicated good agreement between both methods. However, 138 discordant RF results were found: 112 samples were positive with Serodia-RA and negative with nephelometry, whereas only 26 samples were positive with nephelometry and negative with Serodia-RA (table 3). Medical records of these patients with discordant results were reviewed. Of the 112 Serodia-RA positive, nephelometry negative samples, 30 were from patients with RA and one from a patient with primary Sjögren’s syndrome. None of the 26 Serodia-RA negative, nephelometry positive samples were from patients...
with RA and one was from a patient with primary Sjögren’s syndrome.

With Serodia-RA more positive RF results were found than with nephelometry (21.4% and 16.2%, respectively; table 3). These results further suggested higher performance characteristics for Serodia-RA than with nephelometry at the chosen cut off values.

**DISCUSSION**

We evaluated the diagnostic usefulness of Serodia-RA for the detection of RF in RA. RF was measured by Serodia-RA and by rate nephelometry in samples taken from patients with RA and from patients with rheumatological diseases other than RA. Our data showed slightly better performance characteristics for Serodia-RA. Good agreement was found between both assays in consecutive samples. A combination of both tests only marginally improved the diagnostic usefulness of RF detection compared with Serodia-RA or nephelometry alone, with a slightly higher specificity and positive likelihood ratio if both assays were positive (table 2). The diagnostic characteristics of RF tests are in part dependent on the characteristics of the clinical population in which the test is used. The test characteristics found in the present study will therefore not necessarily be in accordance with those seen in other clinic populations. However, the ratio of the diagnostic qualities of the different RF tests is not affected by these population characteristics, so that the Serodia-RA can be accepted as being slightly better than rate nephelometry.

On the other hand, there are several advantages in using nephelometric techniques in measuring RF; it is fast, truly quantitative, and has a good precision. The total cost of the test depends on several factors, including reagents, materials, and technician’s time. For example, in our laboratory, the cost of materials and reagents (including controls and technician’s time. For example, in our laboratory, the cost of materials and reagents (including controls and technician’s time) was calculated to be €2.42 for RF measured by nephelometry and €3.03 for RF measured by Serodia-RA. The cost for technician/operator was €3.82 for nephelometry (offered five times a week) and €4.71 for the Serodia-RA (offered twice a week). If the Serodia-RA test was offered five times a week, then the operator’s cost would increase to about €11. However, for a smaller laboratory where RF tests are performed less frequently the Serodia-RA is not necessarily more expensive than nephelometry.

For historical and technical reasons several clinical laboratories provide two tests for the detection of RF as part of their test portfolio. We do not recommend this practice for two reasons. Firstly, our results show that performing two tests for the detection of RF only marginally improves diagnostic usefulness compared with one test. Secondly, other autoantibody-antigen systems such as antibodies to citrulline containing peptides have been reported to be more specific than RF for the diagnosis of RA. Several publications have recommended a combination of RF and a more specific test (for example, antibodies to cyclic citrullinated peptide) for the diagnosis of RA.6–10

Therefore we think that each laboratory using two tests for RF should select just one, judging on diagnostic characteristics, cost price, and practicability, and complement it with a more specific test. In our hospital we have decided not to perform the Serodia-RA any longer, despite the fact that it has slightly better diagnostic characteristics. The nephelometric assay is complemented with determination of antibodies to cyclic citrullinated peptide.
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