Established criteria for disease controlling drugs in ankylosing spondylitis
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The ASAS recommendations for the initiation of DC-ART in AS are a good start

A nkylosing spondylitis (AS) is primarily an inflammatory arthritis of the back, affecting the sacroiliac and apophyseal joints. The age of onset is usually late teens with men being affected nine times more than women. Onset is gradual with pain and stiffness in the low back, characteristically at night or early morning. The natural history of AS is progression to affect the whole spine, resulting in spinal deformities. These include flattening of the lumbar lordosis, kyphosis of the thoracic spine, and hyperextension of the cervical spine, with eventual flexion contractures of the hips and knees and significant morbidity and disability. These clinical features are mirrored by the radiological changes, sclerosis, and eventual ankylosis of the spine as well as erosive changes, sclerosis, and eventual ankylosis of the sacroiliac joints. Peripheral arthritis occurs uncommonly in AS, and when it occurs, it is usually late in the course of the arthritis. The development of peripheral arthritis early in the course of the disease is a predictor of disease progression. The arthritis usually presents in the lower extremities in an asymmetric distribution. Involvement of the “axial” joints, including shoulders and hips, is more common than involvement of more distal joints. In the shoulder, there may be a unique lesion of erosion at insertion of the rotator cuff. In the hips, progressive flexion deformity and eventual destruction of the joint may occur. In addition, enthesitis, or inflammation at sites of tendon insertion into bone, is common, affecting primarily plantar fascia and Achilles tendon insertion. The disease is often complicated by the presence of iritis (particularly anterior uveitis), cardiac manifestations (including dilatation of the root of the aorta and conduction defects), fibrosis of the upper lobes of the lungs, cauda equina syndrome (which results from multiple thecal diverticulae or dilated lumbar sacs), and later in the course of the disease, amyloidosis. Longitudinal studies in patients with AS have shown that the deformities and disability occur within the first 10 years of disease. Survival is reduced among patients with AS compared with the general population, with a relative risk of 1.98. Causes of death include heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, malignancy, renal failure, pneumonia, and miscellaneous. Thus AS is not a benign condition.

TREATMENT IN AS

Treatment in AS is directed primarily at symptom relief—that is, control of pain and stiffness. The mainstay of treatment in AS is exercise to alleviate stiffness and maintain mobility, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) to control the inflammatory symptoms. Although there is some evidence that exercises work to relieve symptoms of AS, there is no evidence that progression of deformity and disability are slowed. In the short term NSAIDs have been shown to improve pain and range of movement, but there is no evidence that they possess disease modifying effect.

“NSAIDs improve pain and range of movement but do not modify the disease”

Moreover, a proportion of patients with AS do not respond to these conservative measures and have a protracted course, which results in deformity and disability. Sulfasalazine has been used as a disease controlling agent with benefit in some trials, but the most recent and largest trial failed to demonstrate improvement in the spinal disease. Evidence for the efficacy of other systemic drugs, including methotrexate, corticosteroids, o-mercaptopurine, azathioprine, is inconclusive.

NEW BIOLOGICAL TREATMENTS

The advent of biological treatment has provided promise for patients with AS. Recent studies have shown that anti-TNF agents alleviate both symptoms and signs of inflammation in patients with AS. Indeed, in AS, biological treatment may be considered to be the first line treatment after NSAIDs. Although these drugs appear relatively safe in the short term, there is concern about their long term toxicity. Moreover, these drugs are expensive, and indeed unavailable in some countries. Therefore, criteria for the judicious use of such treatment in patients with AS are necessary so that treatment is provided to appropriate patients.

CRITERIA FOR THE USE OF BIOLOGICAL AGENTS

Based on review of evidence

Criteria for the use of drugs may be based on a review of evidence, or based on expert opinion. The first approach to the use of anti-tumour necrosis factor α (anti-TNFα) agents in the treatment of spondyloarthritis was undertaken by the SpondyloArthritis Research Consortium of Canada (SPARCC). The group reviewed available drug treatment and graded the evidence according to the level: A, based on randomised controlled trials; B, based on clinical studies without randomisation; C, based on expert committees’ opinions, experiences, or post-marketing surveillance. Based on the review of the evidence recommendations were made to the Canadian Rheumatology Association (CRA) where consensus was sought and obtained. The resultant recommendations were that anti-TNFα agents may be used after a full trial of at least two NSAIDs has been shown to be inadequate—that is, lacking either efficacy or tolerability. All therapeutic options should be equally available according to the best judgment of the treating doctor and informed decision of the patient. It was recommended that drugs should not be denied on the basis of economic considerations.

Based on expert opinion

The Assessment in Ankylosing Spondylitis (ASAS) group took the expert opinion approach to tackle the recommendations for the use of disease controlling, especially biological treatment, in AS. ASAS is an international group of people interested in AS, who have worked for several years now to establish assessment instruments for AS, and have advanced the study of AS and its recognition as a major form of arthritis. ASAS developed recommendations for considering initiation of disease controlling anti-rheumatic treatment (DC-ART), in particular anti-TNF treatment. Unlike the SPARCC method, the ASAS recommendations are based on expert opinion using a Delphi study. Thus, 32 of the 62 members of ASAS participated in three stages of a Delphi process, whereby they initially identified the features of an AS patient
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considered for DC-ART. The list of 128 items relating to peripheral arthritis and 99 to axial disease were assigned to domains, which were subsequently reduced in a second round and identified as domains relevant to peripheral arthritis, axial disease, and enthesitis. In the third phase specific instruments to define the domains were identified, and finally, the group formulated the ASAS preliminary recommendations for considering initiation of DC-ART, particularly anti-TNF agents in AS.

“Recommendations for starting disease controlling antirheumatic treatment were developed by a three stage Delphi process”

These recommendations include lack of response to NSAIDs, and provide specific methods for evaluating persistent disease activity related to spinal disease, peripheral disease, and enthesitis. Both sets of recommendations for instituting DC-ART in AS require lack of efficacy to NSAIDs. This is clearly based on evidence that NSAIDs do work for symptom relief.

LIMITATIONS TO THE ASAS PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

However, there are certain limitations to the ASAS preliminary set of recommendations. Although these recommendations are sensible, and therefore have face validity, they need to be tested for validity in clinical settings. In particular, the choice of cut off points for the individual measures which are set at a particular level (for example, > 40 mm on a visual analogue scale for some of the measures) is based on expert consensus, but without specific evidence that this level denotes active disease. The recommendations can be tested by evaluation of common cases of patients who have data on these measures, determination of whether a patient’s disease is active or not by a number of expert clinicians, and analysis of the results by statistical methods. Alternatively, this information can be derived from drug trials where data have been collected and patients considered responders compared with those who failed to respond and those treated with placebo. The recent randomised trials with infliximab, etanercept, or leflunomide should provide baseline information for patients entering trials because they were performed before the dissemination of the ASAS group recommendations.

Althrough the ASAS recommendations may be appropriate for AS, they may not be as appropriate for the spondyloarthropathies such as psoriatic arthritis (PsA), or the arthritis of inflammatory bowel disease, where peripheral joint disease is a major component. For example, the use of the 44 joint count in PsA has not been validated.

Thus the ASAS recommendations for the initiation of DC-ART in AS are a good start, and the group should be congratulated on their achievements to date. Hopefully the group will continue to work to improve the outcome for patients with AS.
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