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Alopecia areata and relapsing polychondritis or mosaic autoimmunity? The first experience of co-trimoxazole treatment

A P Rozin, D Schapira, R Bergman

A 13 year old girl presented with auricular chondritis and recurrent episodes of unexplained chest pain, arthritis, bronchitis, conjunctivitis, prolonged steroid resistant alopecia areata, and a history of recurrent tonsillitis. Both the mosaic of autoimmunity and relapsing polychondritis were considered in the differential diagnosis. The patient was successfully treated with co-trimoxazole. The significance of co-trimoxazole, which is an antibiotic and an immunomodulatory drug, in the treatment of autoimmune disease is discussed.

The term “mosaic of autoimmunity”, introduced by Shoenfeld and Isenberg in 1989, implies clustering of several autoimmune diseases in a single patient. Relapsing polychondritis (RP) is a rare episodic inflammatory disease, which affects the cartilage of ears, respiratory tract, joints, and vessels and may be fatal. Drug treatment may control the disease activity in only some patients. Alopecia areata (AA) affects anagen hair bulbs. T cell mediated and antibody dependent mechanisms have been suggested. Glucocorticoids, anthralin, or minoxidil stimulate hair growth but do not prevent hair loss. AA has been described in association with other autoimmune disorders. We believe this to be the first reported case in which AA is associated with auricular chondritis and mosaic of autoimmunity which responded to co-trimoxazole treatment.

CASE REPORT

A 13 year old girl presented with acute inflammation of the left external ear. She had acute tonsillitis two weeks before the symptoms appeared, which was treated. Five years earlier the patient had recurrent attacks of chest pain, which resolved spontaneously. Four years earlier she began to have episodes of mono-oligoarthritis which resolved after 3–4 days. She had a long term history of recurrent tonsillitis. β-Haemolytic streptococcus was only once isolated on culture. Acute tonsillitis preceded episodes of arthritis on several occasions. Several events of acute bronchitis and recurrent conjunctivitis occurred during this period. Retinal examination was unremarkable.

Twenty months before the chondritis a large patch of AA appeared. Applications with betamethasone cream and 0.1–1% short contact anthralin treatment for three months failed to induce regrowth of hair. Oral prednisolone was started at an initial dose of 40 mg a day tapered over the next three weeks. The AA disappeared, but during the following months new adjacent patches appeared. Intermittent corticosteroid treatment with oral prednisone and intralesion scalp injections of betamethasone (Celestone) every 6–8 weeks and local applications of 5% minoxidil spray for one and a half years promoted hair growth, yet additional patches of AA appeared.

The acute auricular inflammation, which spared the ear lobe, was diagnosed as chondritis. Intravenous amoxycillin-clavulanic acid was initiated, with no response noted after one week of treatment (fig 1A). An autoimmune aetiology of the disease was suspected. Oral prednisone 40 mg a day was instituted for five days and co-trimoxazole 50 mg/kg/day in two Figure 1  

(A) Diffuse oedema and erythema of the auricle sparing the ear lobe are sustained after five days of intravenous augmentin (amoxycillin-clavulanic acid) treatment (1 g every eight hours). (B) Two days after the initiation of combined treatment with prednisone 40 mg/day and co-trimoxazole 50 mg/kg/day, there is marked diminution of the oedema and erythema.
divided doses was started as well. The rationale for co-trimoxazole treatment was its steroid sparing effect and antibiotic prophylaxis, which might prevent the possible adjuvant action of the pharyngeal flora on autoimmunity. Prompt regression of the auricular inflammation was seen two days after starting the combined treatment (fig 1B), and within a few days complete resolution of the chondritis was noted. The daily co-trimoxazole treatment was reduced to 25 mg/kg after one week, taken as single dose. Two weeks later the therapeutic policy was 25 mg/kg every other day (taken as single daily dose), and this schedule was continued for five months. Two months after the initiation of the co-trimoxazole treatment the patches of AA disappeared.

Five months after the initial episode of chondritis a routine vaccination for tetanus and poliomyelitis was performed at school. Ten days later, the signs of chondritis recurred in the same ear. The co-trimoxazole dose was increased to the initial dose (50 mg/kg/day in two divided doses) without the addition of corticosteroids. After three days, complete recovery occurred and the alternate day dose schedule was restarted. An attempt to decrease the dose to 25 mg/kg/day twice a week for six weeks was performed but was associated with the appearance of two small foci of AA. Hair growth followed reinstitution of a daily regimen for one week followed by alternate day dose schedule (25 mg/kg/day every other day) for four weeks. During follow up, repeated tests for complete blood count, blood chemistry, antinuclear antibodies, anti-DNA, antinuclear cytoplasmic antibodies, IgG, IgA, IgM, complement, rheumatoid factor, protein electrophoresis, thyroid function were normal. Lung and heart imaging studies were unremarkable.

DISCUSSION
Mosaic of several autoimmune diseases or multiform RP should be considered when RP occurs in patients with other disease of autoimmune aetiology. No laboratory tests have been found to be diagnostic for these disorders. We propose that recurrent tonsillitis might be due to incompetent immune control of infection. IgA deficiency as a common denominator to the mosaic of autoimmunity and recurrent infections has not been detected. We treated our patient with co-trimoxazole, assuming that it might control bacterial load, on the one hand, and modulate the cellular and humoral immune response, on the other. This modulation may have a role in the treatment of AA, which may be transferred by T lymphocytes. In 1970, Gilchik et al found that trimetoprim (component of co-trimoxazole) significantly extended the life of skin grafts transplanted from brown to white mice. The prolongation of the rejection time was similar to that obtained with azathioprine. Inhibition of T lymphocytes by co-trimoxazole may be responsible for both late allograft rejection and therapeutic effect in AA. Co-trimoxazole was successfully used in the treatment of other autoimmune diseases.

Alteration of the immune process by sulfasalazine (SSZ) through inhibition of nuclear factor-κB signalling activation, which contributes to anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects, has been reported. In another recent study patients with severe AA received SSZ and showed 23% of hair growth, which is cosmetically acceptable. Sulfonamide sulfamethoxazole may have similar properties. It is known that patients with RP have increased titres of antibodies to cartilage proteins. It is still unclear whether these antibodies are a result or cause of cartilage damage. These antibodies may have a role in cartilage inflammation and decrease after successful treatment. The presence of HLA-B8, DR3 has recently been found to be associated with multiple autoimmune diseases. Co-trimoxazole may cause a decrease in the IgM titre during treatment. This may partially explain its efficacy in treating RP. After two months of co-trimoxazole treatment the steroid resistant AA was completely healed. No recurrence of tonsillitis or arthritis was noted during this period. Prompt resolution of relapsing chondritis and alopecia followed reinstitution of the appropriate co-trimoxazole dose. A decrease in lymphocytes blood count and serum IgG was noted during co-trimoxazole treatment (fig 2).

Figure 2 Monthly values of clinical features and laboratory findings.
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An unusual case of ANCA positive disease

S Delen, A Boonen, R Landewé, A A Kroon, Sj van der Linden, J W Cohen Tervaert

CASE REPORT

A 65 year old woman was admitted in August 2001 because of fatigue, weight loss, and oligoarthritis. She had a history of hypertension for which she was treated with captopril and hydrochlorothiazide. On examination she had acrocyanosis, livedo reticularis, a blood pressure of 220/110 on both arms, vascular bruits over the carotid and femoral arteries, and arthritis of the right wrist and both knees. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was 70 mm/1st h, C reactive protein (CRP) 75 mg/l, haemoglobin 7.1 mmol/l, and white blood cell count (WBC) 2.8×10⁹/l. Serum creatinine was normal and there were no abnormalities on urine analysis. Rheumatoid factor, antinuclear antibodies, ANCA, cryoglobulins, and anticardiolipin antibodies tested negative. Systemic vasculitis was suspected, but a deep muscle biopsy disclosed no abnormalities. Arteriography showed generalised atherosclerosis with bilateral stenosis of the renal arteries but no (micro-) aneurysms of the visceral arteries. Captopril was replaced by nifedipine and a bilateral stenting procedure of the renal arteries was performed, which improved the clinical condition of the patient. At the last visit in March 2002, prednisolone was stopped. CRP had normalised and renal function stabilised at a serum creatinine of 1.67 mmol/l. The MPO-ANCA level was still positive at 22 AU in January and had become negative in March 2002.

DISCUSSION

Cholesterol emboli syndrome usually occurs in patients with severe atherosclerosis and is triggered in one third of patients by arteriography or an endovascular procedure. Apart from the classical features, including acrocyanosis, livedo reticularis, and progressive renal failure, it may produce a variety of symptoms mimicking vasculitis. Symptoms are caused by direct embolisation of the small and middle sized arteries. In addition, the presence of cholesterol emboli within the vascular lumen can trigger an inflammatory reaction. Fever, weight loss, myalgia, leucocytosis, and raised ESR and CRP are well recognised manifestations of “pseudovasculitis” due to cholesterol emboli. Eosinophilia and hypocomplementaemia are often associated, though not in our patient. Pleuropneumonitis has not yet been reported as a manifestation of pseudovasculitis due to cholesterol emboli. Isolated pleuritis was described in one case. In most of these cases ANCA was directed against MPO, as in our case. However, the induction of ANCA was not documented during the course of the cholesterol emboli syndrome in any of the patients. Recently it has been shown that ANCA is not more prevalent in patients with atherosclerosis than in a human control group. In vasculitis, a pathophysiological role for MPO-ANCA has been suggested by several authors. It was demonstrated that the transfer of MPO to non-immunised mice results in the development of vasculitis. Our patient initially had a mild vasculitis-like disease, probably due to cholesterol emboli, and...
after stent placement a full blown vasculitis-like syndrome developed. We suggest that stent placement enhanced induction of MPO-ANCA during neutrophil activation because of vessel wall damage, similar to the development of MPO-ANCA in drug induced lupus. Finally, MPO-ANCA further triggered the full blown vasculitis syndrome.
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**Platelet GPIIb/IIIa (P1A<sup>1/2</sup>) polymorphism in SLE: clinical and laboratory association**

B Tolusso, M Fabris, E Gremese, M Mosca, P Rovere-Querini, G F Ferraccioli

---

It has been reported that the level of anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) is probably genetically determined, as HLA-DR7 (or HLA-DR4) has been shown to be associated with persistently high levels of aCL. No reported data are available about genetic factors implicated in ischaemic or thrombotic events in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) without antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL).

In this study we examined the frequency of the platelet GPIIb/IIIa-P1<sup>A1</sup> polymorphism in a series of patients with SLE. We assessed the relationship between the A1 allele prevalence and the clinical and immunological manifestations, as possible predisposing biological factors to major ischaemic manifestations such as central nervous system (CNS) lupus or Raynaud’s phenomenon as defined according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Committee.

We studied a cohort of 109 patients with SLE attending the rheumatology referral centres of the universities of Udine, Pisa, and Milan, classified according to the ACR criteria. For comparison, 161 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (systemic inflammatory disease), 54 with systemic sclerosis (chronic ischaemic-thrombotic vasculopathy), and 128 healthy blood donors (HBD) recruited from the blood transfusion service were studied. The clinical and laboratory manifestations were carefully examined to detect a possible association between genotypes and symptoms, signs, and immunological characteristics. In particular, we focused on nephritis, vasculitis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and CNS major neurological events, thought to be related to possible ischaemic-thrombotic mechanisms; these were defined by the presence of one of the following: (a) acute cerebrovascular disease (stroke); (b) seizures or chorea; (c) lupus headache; (d) organic brain syndrome. Anticardiolipin antibodies (enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay: normal values <15 GPLU; β2-glycoprotein 1 dependent) and lupus anticoagulant (LAC) positivities were defined according to the most recent international consensus statement. In the study aPL were considered positive when aCL or LAC were positive on two consecutive occasions over a six week period. Antibodies to DNA were tested either on Crithidia or in an ELISA assay (normal values <30 IU/ml). When one or the other was positive antibodies to DNA were considered positive in this study. The analysis of the P1<sup>A1</sup> gene polymorphism was performed after DNA extraction following the restriction fragment length polymorphism method as reported by Weiss et al.

Statistical analysis was performed using the Prism software (Graph-Pad, San Diego, CA 92121, USA), which automatically calculated the odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) with the statistical significance. Patients with SLE had a mean (SD) age of 41.6 (14.6), mean (SD) disease duration 8.9 (7.0) years; 34 (31%) had nephritis, 21 (19%) major CNS events, 20 (18%) arthritis, 41 (38%) Raynaud’s phenomenon (Ray), 105 (96%) antinuclear antibodies, 64 (59%) antibodies to dsDNA, and 56 (51%) aPL (aCL or LAC, or both, positive). Table 1 shows that the frequency of the A<sup>1</sup> allele tended to be slightly increased in patients with SLE compared with HBD (OR=1.30, 95% CI 0.7 to 2.0), whereas in patients with systemic sclerosis the opposite trend was seen (OR=0.7). The same trend was seen for the A<sup>1</sup> homozgyosity

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; frequency</td>
<td>SLE (n=109)</td>
<td>RA (n=161)</td>
<td>SSc (n=54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;/A&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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in SLE (OR=2.4, 95% CI 0.2 to 26.6, p=NS) as well as in rheumatoid arthritis (OR=4.1, 95% CI 0.5 to 35.3, p=NS). The A2 allele was found to be more represented in the aPL positive than in the aPL negative patients (OR=1.7, 95% CI 0.7 to 3.9, p=NS) and in Ray+ compared with Ray− patients (OR=1.7, 95% CI 0.7 to 3.9, p=NS). CNS events were far more often seen in aPL positive than negative patients as expected (OR=2.9, 95% CI 1.0 to 8.1, p=0.04). In addition, subjects carrying the A2 allele in association with the aPL positivity have an increased risk of developing Raynaud’s phenomenon (OR=2.8, 95% CI 1.0 to 7.5, p=0.04), whereas no association was found with CNS events, nephritis, cutaneous vasculitis (table 2). Antiphospholipid antibody positivity did not correlate with Raynaud’s phenomenon. In SLE some patients in whom CNS ischaemic events occur, have no increases of aPL, and other factors should be considered as pathogenic. To clarify this point we considered the A2 allele polymorphism, which has been suggested as a predisposing factor either for myocardial or for CNS events.1 In this study we examined the prevalence of the A2 allele and its relationship with clinical manifestations. The A2 allele genetic setting (C to T replacement at nucleotide 1565) in platelet glycoprotein (GP)IIb/IIa (integrin α3β1) has been commonly reported as a possible risk factor for CNS and coronary ischaemic events, especially in younger patients.

We observed a trend towards an increased frequency of the A2/A2-A1/A1 genotypes in patients with SLE with Raynaud’s phenomenon and mostly in those with aPL. Activated GPIIb/IIa receptor mediates platelet aggregation and stable adhesion through the interaction with von Willebrand factor and fibrinogen. When codified by the A2 allele, this integrin has been shown to bind more tightly to immobilised fibrinogen and to enhance platelet reactivity. Therefore the A2 allele might predispose to vascular damage and in association with aPL to a vasospastic phenomenon. Our data appear complementary to a previous report from the Baltimore group,2 in which the frequency of the A1A/A1-A2A2 alleles was compared in aPL positive patients with and without thrombosis. In the subgroup with arterial thrombotic events 33% were homozygous or heterozygous for the A1A allele, whereas only 19% of the patients without thrombosis possessed the allele. The major conclusion was that although platelet GPIIIa polymorphism was not a major risk factor for all thrombosis in patients with aPL, a possible association with arterial thrombosis could be considered.

Further studies dealing with factors released from platelets of carriers of the A2 allele should be performed to define fully the functional role of the polymorphism in diseases like SLE. Our data suggest that the A2 allele defines a subset of patients with SLE with the following characteristics: higher risk of aPL positivity and presence of Raynaud’s phenomenon.

Table 2 Distribution of some clinical and immunological manifestations in aPL+ patients with the A2 allele subgroup and aPL+ without the A2 allele subgroup of patients with SLE. The odd ratios (OR) are shown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Manifestation</th>
<th>A2 allele (n=20) (%)</th>
<th>aPL− without A2 allele (n=89) (%)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNS events+</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5 to 4.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray+</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>2.8 (1.0 to 7.5)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephritis+</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3 to 2.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasculitis+</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3 to 5.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Local infusion of infliximab for the treatment of acute joint inflammation

M Bokarewa, A Tarkowski

Tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) has emerged as a potent proinflammatory mediator in the inflammatory arthritides. Studies on synovial tissue from patients with rheumatoid arthritis have shown not only the presence of large amounts of TNFα but also demonstrated its regulatory effect on the whole network of proinflammatory cytokines present in inflamed joints. Consequently, TNFα antagonists given systemically have proved to be efficient in the treatment of chronic arthritides.

Here we report our experience of an attempt to ameliorate arthritis locally by intra-articular administration of TNFα antagonists. Six patients attending the department of rheumatology at Sahlgrenska University Hospital in Göteborg, who had persistent effusions in the knee joints which were non-responsive to intra-articular steroids, received a local injection of infliximab.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Table 1 presents the diagnosis and clinical characteristics of the patients. Both the diagnosis and duration of the inflammatory joint disease were variable. All these patients had a low general activity of joint disease as assessed by the arthritis index, Health Assessment Questionnaire, low levels of acute phase reactants (erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C reactive protein), and the small numbers of white blood cells in the blood and synovial fluid. Persistent synovitis of a knee joint was the main clinical feature of their disease. A decision to inject TNFα antagonists locally was made after the persistent inflammation in the knee joint did not respond to two or more arthrocenteses with concomitant corticosteroid infusions during a period of six months. Patients gave their informed consent to intra-articular injection of the TNFα antagonists.

Infliximab (Remicade, 100 mg) was mixed with 10 ml sterile water according to the instructions for intravenous infusion, and the prepared solution was injected into the knee joint as a single dose. Synovial fluid (25–85 ml) was removed before the infliximab injection. The treatment was tolerated well by all the patients and no adverse reactions occurred locally in the injected knee or systemically during the follow up.

The effect of the infliximab injection was determined by clinical examination and by telephoning the patients. Five patients had a relapse of the synovitis in the injected knee within two weeks and the sixth patient within 6–7 weeks after the infliximab injection.

DISCUSSION

These results indicate that the effect of intra-articular treatment with TNFα antagonists was no better than the local injection of corticosteroids. Several reasons for this are possible:

- The degree of infusion of the antibodies is insufficient to bind the large amount of TNFα present in the synovial cavity. Continuous local production and release of TNFα overcomes the neutralising capacity of the antibodies introduced.
- Antibodies injected into the joint neutralise only TNFα released into the synovial fluid, but not penetrate into the synovial tissue or act on intracellular pools of TNFα.
- Complexes of anti-TNFα antibodies with TNFα can still reach receptors on the surface of target cells. A high local concentration of the immune complexes (anti-TNFα antibodies/TNFα) within the joint itself induces inflammation.
- Local processes supporting inflammation within the joints are obviously not restricted to TNFα. The contribution of TNFα in local inflammation is less than its systemic effect. Anti-TNFα antibodies do not interrupt other mechanisms supporting inflammation within the joints.

The results of our uncontrolled study do not support the use of intra-articular TNFα inhibitors for the treatment of acute joint inflammation.
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Isotope bone scans: an assessment of their diagnostic use in polyarticular pain of uncertain origin

A Whallett, N Evans, S Bradley, P Jobanputra

We set out to learn whether a technetium-99m methylene diphosphonate (99mTc MDP) bone scan, carried out in secondary care, provided diagnostic information in patients with diffuse musculoskeletal pain of obscure origin, and whether scan findings correlated with clinical diagnosis. Clinical diagnosis, based on a minimum clinical follow up of two years or at least one year after the scan, was used as a reference or “gold standard”.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Criteria for inclusion were the presence of diffuse musculoskeletal pain; a scan for diagnostic uncertainty; and availability of whole body scans, including a close-up scan of the peripheral joints. Three hour images were studied. Earlier “blood pool” images were not available. Patients were excluded if scans had been carried out for a regional disorder, isolated spinal disease, Paget’s disease, suspected malignancy, or previous clinical follow up of two years or at least one year after the scan. The sensitivity of an isotope bone scan for a clinical diagnosis of “inflammatory arthritis” was 13% (agreement in 2/15; 95% confidence interval (CI) 4% to 23%; \( \chi^2 = 0.57; p > 0.1 \)) and the specificity 80% (agreement in 28/35; 95% CI 69% to 91%).

The likelihood ratio of a positive scan was 0.65 and 1.09 for a negative scan. The positive predictive value of a bone scan was 22% and the negative predictive value 68%. The latter suggests that scans may be useful in excluding inflammatory arthritis, a finding consistent with an earlier report.

DISCUSSION

Our study fell short of the ideal design for assessing the accuracy of a diagnostic test in that it was not a prospective blind comparison of a test and a reference standard in consecutive patients. A practical difficulty in meeting this requirement was that clinical follow up could not be applied in parallel with the test. Also, we studied relatively few patients and many films were not found. Possibly, the final clinical diagnosis was influenced by the bone scan report. Such “test review bias” is difficult to remove in evaluations of routine diagnostic tests.
and efforts to do so may ignore clinical realities.\textsuperscript{3,4} We hoped that bias was minimised by relying on prolonged follow up and using two assessors.

Isotope bone scans seem to have limited value in confirming or refuting a clinical diagnosis of inflammatory arthritis and, in common with other radiological examinations, are prone to substantial interobserver variation.\textsuperscript{1} Many studies of bone scans, and of other diagnostic tests, compare patients known to have disease with those free of disease, or with another disease.\textsuperscript{3,5} Such studies are known to overestimate test diagnostic accuracy.\textsuperscript{1} Watchful waiting may be a more appropriate clinical strategy than relying on bone scans, with appropriate intervention when diagnostic confidence increases. However, we recognise that clinicians use diagnostic tests in sequence and that test results frequently have an incremental impact on diagnostic confidence.
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Leucocytoclastic vasculitis as onset symptom of ulcerative colitis

F Iannone, C Scioscia, A Musio, D Piscitelli, G Lapadula


Leucocytoclastic vasculitis is a disease whose histopathological features are inflammation of postcapillary venules with neutrophilic infiltration and nuclear debris.\textsuperscript{1} It is believed to be an immune complex disease triggered by a large array of drugs, chemicals, infections, malignancies, and systemic and autoimmune diseases.\textsuperscript{3} Various skin manifestations can be associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD),\textsuperscript{5} and IBD can become a diagnostic challenge when cutaneous vasculitis precedes the intestinal disease. Here, we report a case of ulcerative colitis in which the onset symptom was skin leucocytoclastic vasculitis while intestinal illness became overt two years later.

CASE REPORT
A 22 year old male patient was referred to our rheumatology unit because of a two year history of skin lesions on his legs. His family history was negative for rheumatic, skin, and bowel diseases. On admission to the hospital, a macular dark red eruption on the skin of both legs was present. These lesions, with a diameter of 1–2 cm, were non-blanching, slightly itching, with a scabby evolution, and healed with scar formation and skin hyperpigmentation. Skin outbreak had a recurrent course. Livedo reticularis was also present. The remaining physical examination was unremarkable.

Laboratory investigations did not show any significant abnormality. Full blood count, liver and renal functions, and urine analysis were normal. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate was 2 mm/1st h, C reactive protein 3 mg/l, complement components C3 and C4 were normal; rheumatoid factor, cryoglobulins, antinuclear antibodies, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies were negative; hepatitis B and C virus markers were absent. Skin biopsy showed vasculitis of small dermal vessels characterised by leucocytes infiltrating the vascular wall with necrotic debris (fig 1).

A diagnosis of leucocytoclastic vasculitis was made and treatment with hydroxychloroquine (400 mg/day) and oral prednisone (25 mg/day, tapered over a period of two months) was given. The patient did not benefit from this treatment. Tenosynovitis of the peroneal tendons and left ankle arthritis appeared and were successfully treated with local steroid injections. Later, a dermatologist advised treatment with cyclosporin A (3 mg/kg/day) and oral steroids, but they were
without benefit. One year and half later the patient complained of abdominal pain and diarrhoea with rectal bleeding. An endoscopic evaluation showed inflammation of the rectal mucosa with bleeding deep ulcers, and a biopsy was suggestive of ulcerative colitis (fig 2). Treatment with oral sulfasalazine (3 g/day) and rectal steroids was recommended, resulting in remission of the intestinal symptoms and disappearance of the skin lesions. At the one year follow up the patient is still well with 2 g/day of sulfasalazine; physical examination shows only scars and hyperpigmentation of the skin.

**DISCUSSION**

Leucocytoclastic vasculitis is a common disease whose histopathological features are inflammation of the postcapillary venules with neutrophilic infiltration and nuclear debris. It is believed to be an immune complex disease triggered by a large array of drugs, chemicals, infections, malignancies, and systemic and autoimmune diseases. Leucocytoclastic vasculitis usually affects only the skin, but sometimes systemic manifestations, such as fever, arthralgia, myalgia, and asthma, can occur.

IBD (ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease) can be associated with skin manifestations—nodules, proderma gangrenosus, luedo reticularis, and ulcers being the most common lesions. Association between leucocytoclastic vasculitis and ulcerative colitis is uncommon and, before our case, only two patients with cutaneous leucocytoclastic vasculitis preceding intestinal symptoms had been reported. In these patients, skin lesions appeared one and five months before ulcerative colitis, respectively, whereas in our case the time lag was almost two years; maybe the use of steroids for the skin rash delayed the onset of the intestinal disease, although the treatment was not continuous.

We cannot exclude the possibility that the association between ulcerative colitis and leucocytoclastic vasculitis was coincidental in our patient, but we think that is unlikely as sulfasalazine dramatically ameliorated the abdominal symptoms and skin eruption. It is generally believed that leucocytoclastic vasculitis is due to the deposition of circulating immune complexes in the vessel wall. One possible explanation of the link between the two diseases is that the inflamed intestinal mucosa is the site of formation of immune complexes for the exposure of submucosal lymphoid tissue to faecal antigens. The other extraintestinal manifestations (ocular, musculoskeletal, etc) occurring in IBD can be explained similarly. However, our case provides a possible clue to answering the question: are ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease primarily intestinal disorders or should they be considered to be inflammatory systemic illnesses? In our patient, the onset symptom was skin leucocytoclastic vasculitis followed, after a few months, by arthritis, and intestinal disease occurred only after a further two years. It is unlikely that skin and articular manifestations were sustained by an immune inflammatory process taking place in the intestinal mucosa. Thus it is likely that IBD is a systemic inflammatory disease and that, for unknown reasons, involvement of various tissues (skin, joints, bowel) may occur at different periods. Perhaps, extraintestinal manifestations in IBD are more common than reported as small lesions may be underdiagnosed by the clinicians.

Our case should prompt consideration of an underlying IBD when persistent skin leucocytoclastic vasculitis occurs without clinically apparent causes.
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