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Socioeconomic and psychosocial factors influence pain or physical function in Asian patients with knee or hip osteoarthritis

J Thumboo, L-H Chew, S-C Lewin-Koh, for the National Arthritis Foundation and Nanyang Polytechnic, Singapore

Objective: To determine factors influencing pain or physical function in Asian patients with osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods: 126 consecutive Chinese (110), Malays (two), Indians (10), or other races (four) with knee or hip OA and a median age of 60.5 years were seen at a tertiary referral centre. 103 were women. Subjects underwent a structured assessment including the Short Form-36 (SF-36) bodily pain (BP) and physical functioning (PF) scales and assessing demographic, socioeconomic, psychosocial, and other characteristics. Factors influencing BP or PF were identified using separate multiple linear regression models.

Results: The index joint (that is, the most symptomatic joint) was the knee in 118 (94%) and the hip in eight (6%) patients. The median duration of pain and limitation of normal activities were three years and one year, respectively. The mean BP and PF scores of 57.7 and 56.2 points for the patients were substantially lower than the expected scores of 79.3 and 80.8 points for the general Singapore population. Multiple regression analysis showed that less pain was associated with a younger age, shorter duration of symptoms, more years of education, working, and Chinese ethnicity. Better physical function was associated with more years of education, less learned helplessness, less bodily pain, and less severe OA.

Conclusions: Socioeconomic status and psychosocial factors, some of which are potentially modifiable, influence pain or physical function in Asian patients with OA in Singapore.

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knees and hips results in significant disability in the general population, largely owing to increased pain and decreased physical function.1 However, the degree of pain and disability for any given degree of severity of OA varies widely, with many patients with OA not seeking medical attention,2 and with radiographic OA correlating poorly with pain and physical function.3 These observations suggest that factors other than severity of disease influence pain and physical function in patients with OA.3 These factors include age,4,14 sex,4 marital,1 and socioeconomic status,4,5 duration of arthritis,1 body mass index,6 chronic diseases,1 social support,1,2 and psychosocial factors.1

To the best of our knowledge, few, if any, studies have assessed the influence of such factors on pain or physical function in Asian subjects with OA. Such studies are needed to determine if a similar paradigm of disability in OA is present in Asian sociocultural contexts, especially as factors influencing disease manifestations are known to vary among ethnic groups.7 This study, therefore, aimed at identifying factors that influenced pain or physical function in a multiethnic group of Asian patients with OA.

Methods

Study design and data collection

This cross sectional, Institutional Review Board approved study assessed English or Chinese speaking Chinese, Malay, or Indian inpatients or outpatients with knee or hip OA attending consecutively over two weeks at Tan Tock Seng Hospital, a tertiary referral hospital in Singapore, an Asian country with an urban population of 3.3 million Chinese, Malays, and Indians (ethnic distribution: 76.8, 13.9, and 7.9% respectively). Inclusion criteria were (a) knee or hip OA; (b) absence of other forms of arthritis or lower limb conditions causing immobility. Patients with hip or knee OA were studied together as these conditions tend to coexist, and so that our results would be comparable with other studies.13 Trained fieldworkers conducted a structured interview and medical record review using a pre-tested data collection form. Subjects answered questions with reference to the most symptomatic knee or hip joint (that is, the index joint). Two trained examiners unaware of the subject data examined patients to grade the severity of OA using a visual analogue scale and to assess the American College of Rheumatology functional status.7

Instruments and definitions

In the Short-Form 36 (SF-36) bodily pain (BP) and physical functioning (PF) scales,10,11 higher scores (range 0–100) reflect less pain or better physical functioning, respectively. Higher scores in the Helplessness Subscale indicate more learned helplessness, in which, as a result of adverse past experiences, subjects believe their efforts will be ineffective.12 Higher scores in the Family Functioning Measure13 reflect better interactions among family members. OA was diagnosed by a rheumatologist or orthopaedic surgeon using clinical and radiographic assessment. Comorbidities were self reported diabetes, hypertension, stroke, or ischaemic heart disease. Ethnicity was that stated in a subject’s national registration identification card.

Statistical analyses

The association of BP or PF scores with possible factors affecting pain or physical function was assessed using Pearson’s correlations (table 1) or one way parametric analysis of variance (table 2). Exploratory analysis including all these possible factors was performed (with factors in table 2 coded using dummy variables10) to identify the best set of predictors of pain or physical function using separate linear regression models with BP and PF scores as outcome variables; a combination of forward stepwise and all possible regression analyses was used.

Abbreviations: BP, bodily pain (SF-36 scale); OA, osteoarthritis; PF, physical functioning (SF-36 scale); SF-36, Short Form-36 Health Survey
modelling procedures was used, which yields better fitting models than stepwise regression alone. Questionnaire language may influence PF or BP scores and was assessed by including a language variable (English/Chinese) in the model building process. First order interactions were studied for factors identified in the model building process, and diagnostic tests were performed to confirm that assumptions for linear regression were fulfilled.

### RESULTS

#### Subject characteristics and SF-36 scores

Most of the subjects were elderly Chinese women, and the commonest site of OA was at the knee (tables 1 and 2). English and Chinese questionnaires were completed by 59 and 67 subjects, respectively. The subjects’ mean BP and PF scores of 57.7 and 56.2, respectively, were substantially lower than the 79.3 and 80.8 points, respectively, expected in the general population.

#### Table 1: Characteristics of study subjects and associations with pain and physical function: Pearson’s correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
<th>Correlation coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bodily pain score</td>
<td>Physical functioning score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.7 (33–86)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index†</td>
<td>25.4 (14.6–44.0)</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms in index joint (years)</td>
<td>4.4 (0–20)</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>4.4 (0–20)</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>2.9 (0–20)</td>
<td>-0.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation of normal activities</td>
<td>3.1 (0–20)</td>
<td>-0.36****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain on movement (VAS, mm)</td>
<td>43.8 (0–100)</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global assessment of disease severity (VAS, mm)</td>
<td>23.0 (0–98)</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of comorbidities‡</td>
<td>0.85 (0–5)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family functioning measure score</td>
<td>57.7 (0–100)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helplessness subscale score</td>
<td>15.0 (0–23)</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain score§</td>
<td>57.7 (0–100)</td>
<td>-0.44***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning score¶</td>
<td>56.2 (0–100)</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher bodily pain scores indicate less pain (see “Methods”).

VAS, visual analogue scale.

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; †body mass index=body weight (kg) divided by the square of a subject’s height in metres; ‡comorbidities were present in 73/126 (58%) subjects; §percentage with minimum/maximum scores (that is, floor/ceiling effects) = 0.79/ 9.52%; ¶percentage with minimum/maximum scores (that is, floor/ceiling effects) = 1.59/ 2.38%.

#### Table 2: Characteristics of study subjects and associations with pain and physical function: one way analysis of variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No (%)</th>
<th>Mean score (range)</th>
<th>Bodily pain</th>
<th>Physical functioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (18)</td>
<td>64.5 (31–100)</td>
<td>60.9 (15–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>103 (82)</td>
<td>56.2 (0–100)</td>
<td>55.1 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>110 (87)</td>
<td>59.2 (12–100)</td>
<td>55.9 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Chinese*</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>47.3 (0–74)</td>
<td>57.8 (30–95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>27 (21)</td>
<td>53.6 (22–74)</td>
<td>42.0 (0–90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>99 (79)</td>
<td>58.8 (0–100)</td>
<td>60.0 (10–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>45 (36)</td>
<td>59.9 (12–100)</td>
<td>61.2 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>81 (64)</td>
<td>56.5 (0–100)</td>
<td>53.4 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knees</td>
<td>122 (97)</td>
<td>58.0 (0–100)</td>
<td>56.4 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
<td>52.5 (22–74)</td>
<td>55.5 (10–85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands</td>
<td>20 (16)</td>
<td>54.7 (22–100)</td>
<td>59.6 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index joint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>118 (94)</td>
<td>58.2 (0–100)</td>
<td>56.1 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>50.9 (22–74)</td>
<td>56.9 (10–85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>95 (75)</td>
<td>57.1 (22–100)</td>
<td>56.3 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31 (25)</td>
<td>59.6 (0–100)</td>
<td>55.7 (0–100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Non-Chinese (n) = Malays (2), Indians (10), other races (4); †years of education (n) = 0 years (27); 1–6 years (43); 7–10 years (35); ≥11 years (21).

Higher bodily pain scores indicate less pain (see “Methods”).
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function. First order interactions between BP and education
or with one or more years of education had better physical
with less bodily pain, less severe OA, less learned helplessness,
analysis with the exception of pain on movement (tables 1 and
Factors influencing pain in Asian patients with OA
were selecting with BP on univariate
analysis (tables 1 and 2) were selected in the modelling proc-
cess (table 3). Of note, scoring for the BP scale is such that
higher scores indicate less bodily pain. Subjects who were
younger, working, Chinese, with a shorter duration of limita-
tion in normal activities or with ≥1 year of education had less
pain. First order interactions were such that in Chinese
subjects with ≥1 year of education, increasing age was associ-
ated with less pain, whereas in Chinese with no education,
increasing age was associated with more pain. In non-Chinese
subjects, increasing age was associated with increased pain for
all subjects, and was more marked in subjects with no educa-
tion.
Factors influencing physical function in Asian patients with OA
All factors significantly associated with PF on univariate
analysis with the exception of pain on movement (tables 1 and
2) were selected in the modelling process (table 4). Subjects
with less bodily pain, less severe OA, less learned helplessness,
or with one or more years of education had better physical
function. First order interactions between BP and education
were such that in subjects with no years of education, more
pain resulted in a greater reduction in physical function than
in subjects with ≥1 year of education.
DISCUSSION
This study found that socioeconomic status and psychosocial
factors, as well as ethnicity, demographic, and disease related
factors, independently influenced pain or physical function in
Asian patients in Singapore with OA. These findings have sev-
eral implications. Firstly, several factors were associated with
differences in PF or BP scores that exceeded five points (sug-
gested as the minimum clinically important difference for
SF-36 scores), and may therefore have a clinically important
impact on the health status of patients with OA. As some of
these factors (for example, learned helplessness) may be
modified, interventions in these areas may reduce pain and
improve physical function in such patients. Secondly, our data
show that socioeconomic status and psychosocial factors
influence pain and functional status in a multiethnic, urban
Asian sociocultural context. To the best of our knowledge, this
has not been previously demonstrated. Interestingly, the
influence of socioeconomic status on physical function was
modulated by the degree of pain, highlighting the close
relationship among these three domains. Thirdly, our data
further highlight the fact that patients with OA in an Asian
population, like those in non-Asian populations, have
substantially more pain and a lower level of physical function-
ing than the general population.
Factors influencing pain or physical function in Asians with
OA in this study were broadly similar to those identified in
studies in non-Asian populations. Modelling restricted to
female Chinese subjects (for example, between BP or
PF and sex, body mass index, marital status) were not
seen in this study. There are several possible reasons for this.
Firstly, specific factors influencing PF or BP may differ among
various ethnic groups—for example, black and white Ameri-
cans with OA. Secondly, the different instruments used to
assess pain or physical function in various studies might have
influenced the selection of factors associated with these
outcomes.  Ethnicity influenced the degree of pain independently of
socioeconomic status in this study (with Chinese showing
clinically important differences in BP scores), and was
modulated by age and educational status, as shown by the
interactions involving these variables. Ethnic differences in
factors affecting physical function have previously been
reported in patients with OA. Observed ethnic differences in

Table 3 Factors influencing bodily pain: a multiple linear regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Coefficient (b)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese ethnicity (versus non-Chinese)</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of limitation in normal activities (per year)</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education (versus ≥1 year of education)</td>
<td>-7.95</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction: Chinese ethnicity and age</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction: education* and age</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No education versus ≥1 year of education.
Higher bodily pain scores indicate less pain (see “Methods”).
Age and education were retained in the model because the presence of statistically significant interaction
terms involving these variables requires this (see ref 14).
Model unadjusted $R^2=0.22$, adjusted $R^2=0.17$, F statistic = 4.66 (p<0.0001).

Table 4 Factors influencing physical function: a multiple linear regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Coefficient (b)</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>59.07</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education (versus ≥1 year of education)</td>
<td>-10.48</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helplessness subscale score</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-2.78</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily pain score*</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global assessment of disease severity (VAS, mm)</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction between bodily pain and education†</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Higher bodily pain scores indicate less pain (see “Methods”); †no education versus ≥1 year of education.
Model unadjusted $R^2=0.38$, adjusted $R^2=0.36$, F statistic = 14.78 (p<0.0001).
BP scores may be related to ethnic differences in unmeasured determinants of pain arising from ethnic differences in culture, identity or minority status15 and including genetic, psychosocial, or disease related factors, as seen in patients with cancer.15

Study limitations include the cross sectional design, which, as in similar studies,1 3–6 demonstrates association rather than causation. Studying subjects attending a tertiary care hospital and the small numbers of male and non-Chinese subjects limit the generalisability of our results (especially for ethnic differences in pain); however, our data are important in justifying a larger, population based study. Radiographic severity of OA (associated with pain or physical function in some, but not other studies15) was not assessed because recent radiographs were not available for some patients, and clinical assessment of OA severity was therefore used as a pragmatic compromise (though the validity and reliability of this approach needs further study).

In conclusion, we found that socioeconomic status and psychosocial factors independently influenced pain or physical function in Asian patients with OA in Singapore, further extending the sociocultural contexts in which this paradigm has been demonstrated. Future studies are needed to determine if this paradigm exists in other Asian sociocultural contexts, and to identify interventions to reduce pain and improve function in this common and disabling condition.
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