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Abstract

Osteoporosis is characterised by simultaneous net bone growth and net resorption on different surfaces, suggesting that systemic factors are not the sole explanation for the findings. The main clinical consequence is fracturing in the largely trabecular bones of the spine, hip, and radius, and the key problem in these areas is finding an explanation for the preferential loss of transverse trabeculae. In normal bone, local maintenance depends on a negative feedback response to intermittent compression strain, and it is concluded, from biomechanical analysis of the response required to achieve negative feedback, that a preferential loss of transverse trabeculae is indicative of a selective deficiency of radial compression loading. The only significant source of radial compression in humans is the induced strain produced by axial tension. This is a necessary component of the lifestyls of quadrupeds and arboreal primates, but in humans occurs only on the convex side when the bone is offset loaded. The resulting strain is a function of the range of movement. It is suggested that the asymmetrical pattern of bone loss in cortical and trabecular osteoporosis reflects chronic underuse of movement range, resulting from the adoption of a bipedal lifestyle. Exercise regimens based on using the whole of the available movement range should better prepare the skeleton to adjust to other factors hostile to bone maintenance.

(Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:554–558)

Osteoporosis, progressive loss of bone with age, is reportedly universal in the human species.1 There is consensus that the cellular defect responsible is inadequate osteoblast function, a failure to restore bone lost in the resorption phase of the remodelling cycle.2–4 Loss of bone occurs in a variety of clinical circumstances—immobilisation, weightlessness, alcoholism, anorexia, calcium deficiency, hormone deficiency in women, and perhaps, in men—and the disease is regarded as multifactorial. It affects both sexes, and in women the bone loss is sharply accelerated at the menopause, but there is evidence that it starts before that time, perhaps as soon as growth has ceased.5–10 The main clinical consequence is fracturing in the largely trabecular bones of the spine, hip, and radius, and the dominant clinical setting is post-menopausal hormone deficit.

Although there is no dispute about the severe catabolic effect of this hormone change, there are two pieces of evidence which suggest that systemic factors cannot, by themselves, explain all the findings. The first was pointed out by Villanueva et al.11 When the disease affects long bones, resorption of the inner cortex is accompanied by simultaneous and continuing bone accretion on the outer surface, though both are exposed to the same hormonal and chemical environment. Similarly, in trabecular osteoporosis, early resorption of transverse trabeculae is initially accompanied by retention and in many cases actual thickening of axial trabeculae.12–17 This is seen in all bones but is particularly evident in vertebrae. The pattern of bone loss is inhomogeneous as well as anisotropic, and the selective thickening may not be seen in all sections or in small regions of interest (figs 1 and 2).13–16 but it is commonly present when whole vertebrae are scanned, clinically or experimentally, and its reality is not in dispute. It has the same implications as the asymmetrical loss in long bones. Blood levels of calcium and hormone are the same for all surfaces, and if there is sufficient for some vertical trabeculae to thicken, the antecedent and concurrent loss of transverse trabeculae cannot be ascribed solely to their deficiency.

The eventual but asynchronous loss of axial trabeculae, and the healed fractures commonly found in the survivors17 are predictable consequences of Euler’s Law. The strength of a loaded strut varies inversely with the square of its unsupported length,1 and premature loss of transverse trabeculae will compromise both unsupported vertical trabeculae and the cortices at the end of long bones such as the femoral neck. There is, however, no simple explanation for the preferential loss of the originally thicker transverse trabeculae, and as Twomey et al point out,18 it is this selective resorption which constitutes the crux of the problem. Any acceptable hypothesis has to explain it.

These asymmetries constitute a local failure of bone maintenance, specifically the failure to
maintain the thickness of cortices and of transverse trabeculae, and they require a local explanation. There is increasing evidence that the dominant factor in local bone maintenance is dynamic loading,19–21 but not all loads are effective in this role. Experiments on static compression report a net bone loss.19 22 Intermittent tension can stimulate longitudinal osteogenesis—for example, in the healing phase after osteotomy, but it is vectored to occur in the line of tension,23–25 and there are no reports of tension causing transverse thickening in intact bones. Bones exposed to persisting tension, as on the convex side of the bent rat’s tail or in human scoliosis, become atrophic.26 27 Loading in torsion is mildly anabolic,20 but intermittent axial compression is a strong stimulant, producing new bone on both the compression and tension cortices of the loaded bone.19 22 26–31 Human subjects exposed to intermittent axial loading exercises also show an anabolic response.32 33 It appears that the most likely cause of a local failure of bone maintenance in normal subjects is a local deficiency of intermittent compression loading.

There are, however, two requirements before intermittent compression can be effective. Firstly, the rate of strain and its peak magnitude must exceed critical thresholds before osteogenesis is stimulated. It appears that if these requirements are met, the total amount of loading needed is relatively slight; Rubin and Lanyon found that as few as four movements a day were sufficient to prevent osteoporosis.35 Secondly, to meet the requirements of negative feedback, the response must operate to reduce the error signal,36 which means that the resulting bone formation must be vectored. If, for example, a long bone exposed to excess axial load responded by increasing in length, the change would increase the strain, resulting in positive feedback. Only an increase in thickness will reduce the strain and hence the error signal. Both experiment and clinical experience confirm this vectoring; the concave side of the bowed tibia or rat’s tail segment increases in cortical thickness, not in length.26 To reduce the signal, the plane of the response must be at right angles to the vector of the load.
It follows that the plane of bone loss identifies the vector of the missing strain. The loss of transverse cortex indicates that the axial compression strain has been deficient in rate, magnitude, or both. Similarly, the selective loss of thickness of transverse trabeculae indicates a strain deficiency at right angles to the plane of loss—that is, a radial compressive strain in the transverse plane. In the first case, as long bones are normally subjected to alternating compression and tension strains on opposing surfaces,37 the rate and magnitude of the strains can be increased by impact loading,38 or, with less risk, by increasing the range of movement. Even a tiny offset causes a disproportionately large increase in strain, proportional to the offset and hence to the range of movement (fig 3).28 38 For a typical loading situation as it might apply in the human spine, it can be shown that increasing the loading offset by as little as 5 cm and two degrees increases the axial compression strain by more than an order of magnitude. A further increase to 30 degrees increases the magnitude by a further factor of three, and the strain rate by a factor of 15 for the same frequency. These increases would be augmented by any coincident muscle contraction. If cortices atrophy, it seems likely that the missing factor is use of movement range.

Identifying the missing activity in trabecular osteoporosis is less straightforward; there are no muscle groups applying a concentric radial compression force to vertebrae or the ends of long bones. Apart from the exceptional circumstance of the Valsalva manoeuvre, direct loading in this direction must be unusual. There is, however, a less obvious source of radial compression.

**Induced strains**

When a strut is exposed to axial loading in compression or tension, secondary “Poisson” strains, expansile or contractile, are induced within the strut at right angles to the external load (fig 4). The compressed trellis and stretched sock are everyday examples. The axially loaded spine or long bone is a strut under compression with a tension resisting sleeve, and the known increase in vertebral and known increase in vertebral and long bone diameter with age may reflect the operation of this induced expansile strain. In bone, which has a Poisson ratio of the order of 0.4, these induced strains are not trivial, and as the dominant load is usually close to axial, they are maximal in, or close to, the transverse plane.37 39 It follows then that axial tension would provide the radial compression needed for maintenance of the thickness of transverse trabeculae. Pure axial tension is not an option for the non-arboreal primate, but when a strut is bent or offset loaded, as is normally the case, the axial tension on the convex side of the neutral axis shows the same disproportionate increase as was noted above for axial compression, and the induced transverse compression increases in like manner. The induced strains in cancellous bone are about 20 times as great as in compact bone, owing to its lower modulus of elasticity, and again are a function of the range of movement.28 39

It appears then that under normal loading conditions, the compression on the concave side is providing the strain needed to maintain the ipsilateral cortex and axial trabeculae, while the tension on the opposite side is maintaining the contralateral transverse trabeculae. As the main deficiency in clinical osteoporosis is loss
of transverse trabeculae, it is the axial tension which is the more important, and in practical terms this can only be increased by increasing the movement range.

Is there any evidence that humans are in fact underusing the design envelope for joint movement? The acrobatic foraging of the arboreal ape, ranging through bipedal and quadrupedal walking, running, climbing, and brachiation, requires far more use of its spinal movement range than is needed by the biped, and the pendulum-like action of brachiation makes exceptional demands on shoulder, elbow, and fingers. In the quadruped, the propulsive force on locomotion is axial, and produces alternating tensile and compressive strains on opposing vertebral margins. In animals with flexible spines this is accompanied by visible oscillation in coronal or sagittal planes, depending on gait. The human, with reported spinal movement ranges of 154 degrees in flexion-extension, 107 degrees in lateral flexion, and 87 degrees in rotation, is unequivocally in the flexible-spine subset, but with the propulsive force now at right angles to the y axis, the oscillating strains intrinsic to the quadrupedal gait are no longer necessary for progression. Apart from a few degrees of rotation, spinal flexions are not recorded in studies of human walking. There is, in addition, increasing evidence that humans underuse the arc available in some peripheral joints.

These data suggest the parsimonious hypothesis that the initiating factor in both cortical and trabecular osteoporosis is habitual underuse of movement range, resulting from abandonment of arboreal and quadrupedal lifestyles. This follows the concept of Lanyon that loading is the effector for bone homeostasis, and that the effect of other variables is to enhance responsiveness, but it is these other factors which play the major part in precipitating at least the post-menopausal form of the disease. The role of effective loading will be to prepare a skeleton better able to resist these catabolic processes. The anabolic response of some but not all exercise programmes indicates that exercise can be protective, even in these age groups, but there is some uncertainty about the most effective form of exercise. The predictions arising from the hypothesis may clarify this problem.

Predictions

Prevention, arrest, and possibly, in its early stages, reversal, of idiopathic osteoporosis will be achieved by a high strain rate exercise regimen which fully uses spinal and appendicular movement ranges. The response will be greater in, but not confined to, young subjects, and the amount of exercise needed will be small—a few minutes exercise a day will suffice. The effect would be enhanced by dynamic extension exercises of the Roman rings type, but other forms of exercise will not necessarily be beneficial to the skeleton.

Static loading, isometric exercise, and habitual low strain rate activities such as walking, will have no preventive effect. Vertical loading cannot provide the axial tension required for trabecular maintenance, and repetitive axial compression, as in jogging, will strengthen cortical bone but could be deleterious to trabeculae.

It is emphasised that these predictions relate to prevention. In a skeleton already osteoporotic, the strain-magnifying effect of increasing movement range could increase the risk of fracture.

I thank Jo Fillery for providing the specimens, Professor Stuart Heap and Drs Fergus Thomson and George Foote for carrying out the computed tomography scans, and am indebted to George Moltschaniwskyi for calculating the induced strains. I also thank Alex Fraser for the photographs.


Idiopathic osteoporosis: an evolutionary dys-adaptation?

C Alexander

Ann Rheum Dis 2001 60: 554-558
doi: 10.1136/ard.60.6.554