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Abstract

Objectives—To assess the clinical value of several serological markers in Lithuanian patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared with control patients with rheumatic disease and age matched healthy controls.

Methods—Serum samples from 96 patients with RA of approximately 8 years’ duration, 90 rheumatic disease controls, and 37 healthy subjects were tested. Antikeratin antibody (AKA), antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA), and antinuclear antibody (ANA) titres were estimated by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) and serum samples positive for ANA and ANCA were further studied by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). IgA and IgM rheumatoid factors (RF) were measured by ELISA.

Results—A positive AKA test was highly specific for RA (diagnostic specificity 97%), being found in 44% of the patients. Although both RF tests had a higher sensitivity, they were less specific for RA. ANCA was detected in 33% of patients with RA but lacked diagnostic specificity. AKA and ANCA were associated with more erosive disease and the presence of extra-articular manifestations. Positivity for AKA, IgA RF, and ANCA was significantly associated with disease activity and worse functional capacity. However, in multiple regression analysis only positivity for AKA was significantly correlated with functional disability (p=0.0001), evaluated by the Steinbrocker functional classification, and no single marker had any relation with radiological damage.

Conclusion—Although AKA showed the highest disease specificity, all serological markers studied except ANA exhibited interesting associations with important clinical and paraclinical parameters of RA.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune disorder characterised by inflammatory changes in the joints, but is also associated with certain generalised abnormalities of the immune system. A number of circulating autoantibodies including rheumatoid factors (RF) are found in the serum of most patients with RA. To date, the diagnosis of RA has been based on the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria,1 and RF are the only autoantibodies included among the classification criteria. Raised titres of immunoglobulin A rheumatoid factors (IgA RF) are detected in 65–86% of patients with RA,1 2 and immunoglobulin M rheumatoid factors (IgM RF) are increased in 70–92% of patients.2 4 However, their diagnostic specificity for RA is poor since RF are also found in many other rheumatic and non-rheumatic diseases and sometimes in healthy subjects.4 5

In addition, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) and antinuclear antibodies (ANA) have been described in patients with RA. The reported prevalence of ANCA in RA is variable, ranging from 16% to 74%.6 11 However, ANCA are not specific antibodies for RA since they have been reported to occur in many other rheumatic diseases and inflammatory disorders.6 7 ANA are not specific for RA either, and there is no clear cut off titre to distinguish between patients with RA, those with soft tissue rheumatism, and normal individuals.12

Antibodies of a more disease specific nature have also been found in the serum of patients with RA. Antiperinuclear factors (APF), which specifically stain the so called keratoxyline granules of the epithelium of the buccal mucosa by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF), were first described by Nienhuis and Mandler in 1964.13 Later, in 1979, Young et al14 described an increased occurrence of the so called antikeratin antibodies (AKA) in the serum of patients with RA which were identified by IIF on the stratum corneum of the epithelium of rat oesophagus. Subsequently, a number of authors have described IgG APF and AKA in advanced RA,15 22 in very early RA,21 and even before onset of the disease.17 These antibodies are very specific for RA: they occur with a nosological sensitivity of 36–91% and a diagnostic specificity of 73–100%, depending on the technique used and the way positivity is interpreted.25 It has recently been shown that AKA and APF correspond to identical, or at least largely overlapping, populations of autoantibodies which recognise filaggrin,20 and a considerable overlap has been reported by these autoantibodies with regard to diagnostic properties.27 Furthermore, using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with a cyclic citrullinated peptide as antigen, which is recognised by APF and AKA,28 these particular antibodies were found in the majority of patients with early RA, especially in those who later developed active erosive disease.29 30
Regardless of the increase in knowledge about these related antibodies, the exact clinical significance of AKA in RA and their relations with other serological markers have remained uncertain. The present investigation was undertaken to determine the prevalence and to estimate the clinical significance of AKA, ANA, ANCA, and RF in patients with RA compared with control patients with rheumatic disease and age matched healthy subjects from Lithuania. Interrelationships between AKA, other serological markers of RA, and several clinical and laboratory variables of the disease were also investigated. This work is the first study of this type to be performed in Lithuanian patients.

### Materials and methods

**PATIENTS AND SERUM SAMPLES**

Serum samples were obtained from 186 consecutive patients admitted to the Rheumatology Department of Vilnius University Red Cross Hospital in 1997–8. Altogether, 96 serum samples were obtained from patients with classical or definite RA according to the RA criteria revised by the ACR in 1987; these included 83 women and 13 men with a mean age of 55 years (range 18–76). Mean disease duration was 7.8 years (range 2 months to 28 years), and 14 patients had been diagnosed as having early RA with disease duration of less than 1 year. Ninety serum samples were collected from patients with other rheumatic diseases (58 women and 32 men with comparable mean age of 52 years (range 19–82) and mean disease duration of 6.3 years). Their diagnoses are presented in table 1. Control normal serum samples were obtained from 57 age matched healthy volunteers who were elderly subjects from Vilnius Gerontology Centre and staff workers from Vilnius Experimental and Clinical Medicine Institute (31 women and six men of mean age of 54 years (range 19–88)). All blood samples were stored at −20°C until analysed. Serum samples were tested without knowing the clinical details of the patients.

### CLINICAL MEASURES

The following data were collected from the medical records of the patients with RA: disease duration, duration of morning stiffness, Ritchie articular index, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR, mm/1st h), haemoglobin values (Hb, g/l), presence of extra-articular manifestations (Sjögren’s syndrome, rheumatoid nodules, rheumatoid vasculitis, pleuritis, conjunctivitis, Raynaud’s syndrome, Felty’s syndrome), the presence of hand deformity, and constitutional symptoms (loss of weight, anorexia, fever). Radiographs of the hands, feet, or both of all the patients with RA were interpreted by hospital radiologists who were unaware of the patients’ clinical and laboratory data. The radiographs were evaluated for structural changes according to Steinbrocker’s classification, which was also used to evaluate functional status. The disease activity score (DAS) was calculated as described by van Riel.

### DETECTION OF AKA, ANCA, AND ANA BY INDIRECT IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE

AKA were detected using cryosections from the middle third of rat oesophagus as the antigen source. Serum samples, diluted to 1:20 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2, were applied to the tissue and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes in a moist chamber. After two washings, fluorescein conjugated rabbit anti-human IgG (FITC, Dakopatts F202, Denmark) diluted in PBS was added, incubated for 30 minutes, washed, and mounted with mounting medium, pH 8.3. All sections were read independently by two observers and positive and negative control serum samples were included in each run. AKA positive serum gave distinct laminar or speckled fluorescent staining of the superficial layer (stratum corneum) of the rat oesophagus epithelium. Serum samples with positive fluorescence were subsequently titrated.

ANCA were detected on ethanol fixed leucocytes as previously described. Serum samples were diluted to 1:20 in PBS. Fluorescence patterns of neutrophils were described as “cytoplasmic” or cANCA when a diffuse granular cytoplasmic staining was seen, and as “perinuclear” or pANCA when a perinuclear or nuclear pattern was observed in the neutrophils and monocytes. For differentiation of pANCA from ANA, samples positive for ANCA and with positive fluorescence in lymphocytes and neutrophils were titrated for titre determination on both cell types. pANCA were present if reactivity was seen solely with neutrophils and monocytes or in at least two dilution steps higher than the lymphocyte reaction. All ANCA positive serum samples were further tested by ELISA for reactivity to proteinase 3 (PR3), myeloperoxidase (MPO), and lactoferrin (LF).

ANA were detected on commercial Hep-2 cell substrate (ImmunoConcepts, Sacramento, CA, USA), as described in detail elsewhere, at a 1:160 serum dilution in PBS. Two observers, using positive and negative reference samples in each run, evaluated the results. Fluorescence intensity was scored in four categories ranging from 0 to +++, and staining patterns were described as “homogeneous”, “fine speckled”, “coarse speckled”, “nucleolar”, and “centromere”. All ANA positive serum samples with homogeneous patterns were further

---

**Table 1 Diagnoses of 90 rheumatic disease control patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal arteritis and/or polymyalgia rheumatica</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic sclerosis (SSc)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymyositis or dermatomyositis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raynaud’s syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive arthritis (ReA) or morbus Reiter</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriatic arthritis</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric arthritis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis paraneoplastica</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyme arthritis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tested by ELISA for anti-DNA and antihistone antibodies, serum samples with speckled patterns were tested for anti-Ro(SS-A), anti-La(SS-B), and by haemagglutination for antibodies to nRNP and Sm.

**ELISA FOR IGM AND IGA RHEUMATOID FACTORS**

RF of IgM and IgA isoforms were detected by ELISA as described by Haier-Madsen et al. with a few modifications. Briefly, polypropylene microtitre plates (MaxiSorp, Nunc-Immuno Plates I-96F, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with in house purified human IgG. Cohn fraction II was used for IgM RF and affinity purified human IgG was used for IgA RF detection to avoid IgA contamination. The antigen concentration used was 100 µg/ml (2 µg/ml in each well), diluted in PBS/1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.2, overnight at 4°C with 100 µl/well PR3 at 1 µg/ml, MPO at 2 µg/ml, and LF at 10 µg/ml diluted in 0.05 M carbonate buffer, pH 9.6. After blocking with PBS/1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.2, overnight at 4°C and washing, the microtitre plates were ready for use. The patient serum samples and positive and negative control samples were diluted to 1:100 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dako P405, Denmark), diluted to 1:8000 and 1:2000 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dako P405, Denmark), diluted to 1:8000 and 1:2000 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dako P405, Denmark), diluted to 1:8000 and 1:2000 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dako P405, Denmark), diluted to 1:8000 and 1:2000 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dako P405, Denmark), diluted to 1:8000 and 1:2000 in PBS/1% BSA, pH 7.2, and added to the appropriate wells in duplicate. Binding of RF was detected by peroxidase conjugated rabbit F(ab)2 antihuman IgG (Dak
the probability of the diagnosis—and negative predictive value (NPV)—that is, the probability of excluding the diagnosis. Analysis of a possible relationship between serological markers and clinical or laboratory features of RA patients was performed. A multiple regression analysis was used to identify the independent impact of selected clinical and paraclinical findings on the characteristics of RA. In all instances p values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

IIF AND ELISA ASSAYS
The positive test results obtained in patients and healthy controls are summarised in table 2. The proportion of AKA positive serum samples was significantly higher in the patients with RA than in the other groups (p<0.0001). AKA were found in 42 (44%) of the 96 patients with RA, six of whom had early RA. In serum samples from patients with RA the titres of positivity for AKA ranged from 1:20 (most common) to >1:1280. Only two serum samples were positive at a titre of >1:1280. The most common AKA titre in patients with early RA was 1:640. In the disease control group AKA were found in three cases at the following titres: 1:80 (patient with polymyalgia rheumatica (ReA)), five with SLE, two with SSC, and two with mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD). cANCA were found only in one ReA serum sample that was PR3-ANCA negative and in one normal serum with strong PR3-ANCA reactivity.

The most common ANA staining pattern was “homogeneous”. Some serum samples gave rise to a mixed staining pattern (“homogeneous” + “speckled”/or + “nucleolar”, or “homogeneous” + “centromere”). Positivity for ANA, anti-dsDNA, and antihistone antibodies in RA and rheumatic disease controls was not statistically different. Very few serum samples were positive for anti-Ro(SS-A) in RA and rheumatic disease control groups, and only one patient with RA who had Sjögren’s syndrome was positive for anti-Ro(SS-A). No serum samples contained anti-La(SS-B) or anti-nRNP/Sm.

Table 2  Rates of occurrence of serological markers obtained with IIF and ELISA assays in patients with and without RA, and healthy control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serological variables</th>
<th>RA patients n=96 (%)</th>
<th>Patients with rheumatic diseases other than RA n=96 (%)</th>
<th>Healthy donors n=37 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKA</td>
<td>42 (44)*</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCA</td>
<td>32 (33)**</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pANCA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cANCA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>49 (51)</td>
<td>38 (42)</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckled</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleolar</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centromere</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA RF</td>
<td>74 (77)*</td>
<td>20 (22)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM RF</td>
<td>75 (78)**</td>
<td>19 (21)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA RF + IgM RF</td>
<td>69 (72)*</td>
<td>15 (17)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR3-ANCA</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO-ANCA</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-ANCA</td>
<td>18 (19)</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-dsDNA</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-histone</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Ro(SS-A)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For definitions of abbreviations, see text. *p<0.0001; **p=0.025 (z' test).

D IAGNOSTIC VALUE
The diagnostic value of the serological markers for RA is summarised in table 3. AKA showed a diagnostic specificity of 97% and a PPV of 91%. AKA with coexisting RF of both isotypes had a specificity of 98% and a PPV of 92% for RA. Of the 34 patients with RA who were positive at a titre of >1:1280. The antigen specificity could be determined in 19 (59%) of the 32 pANCA positive patients with RA. Four pANCA positive patients had antibodies against more than one antigen.

Three serum samples from patients with RA were positive for both LF-ANCA and MPO-ANCA and one sample was positive for both LF-ANCA and PR3-ANCA. Eighteen pANCA positive patients were positive for LF-ANCA, four were positive for MPO-ANCA, and one for PR3-ANCA. In the rheumatic disease control group LF-ANCA was found in 16 cases (seven with reactive arthritis (ReA), five with SLE, two with SSC, and two with mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD)). cANCA were found only in one ReA serum sample that was PR3-ANCA negative and in one normal serum with strong PR3-ANCA reactivity.

Table 3  Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of serological markers in 96 patients with RA with disease duration of about 8 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serological marker</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Diagnostic specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA RF</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM RF</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA RF + IgM RF</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKA + IgA RF + IgM RF</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For definitions of abbreviations, see text.
Some patients had more than one extra-articular symptom. Including rheumatoid nodules (6), vasculitis (2), conjunctivitis (1), pleuritis (1), Sjögren's syndrome (1).

Including rheumatoid vasculitis (8), pleuritis (5), rheumatoid nodules (3), conjunctivitis (2), Felty's syndrome (1), Raynaud's syndrome (1).

Including rheumatoid nodules (4), rheumatoid vasculitis (3), conjunctivitis (2), Raynaud's syndrome (1), pleuritis (1).

Including rheumatoid vasculitis (7), rheumatoid nodules (5), pleuritis (5), Sjögren's syndrome (1), Felty's syndrome (1), conjunctivitis (1).

**Student's t** test.

### Table 4 Data distribution depending on AKA, IgA RF, and ANCA positivity in 96 patients with RA (mean (SD) values shown where appropriate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and paraclinical variables</th>
<th>AKA positive (n=42)</th>
<th>AKA negative (n=54)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>IgA RF positive (n=74)</th>
<th>IgA RF negative (n=22)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>ANCA positive (n=32)</th>
<th>ANCA negative (n=64)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56 (9.2)</td>
<td>55 (12.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>57 (9.5)</td>
<td>50 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.03**</td>
<td>57 (13)</td>
<td>54 (10)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female (n)</td>
<td>7/35</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>10/64</td>
<td>3/19</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>4/28</td>
<td>9/55</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease (years)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.2)</td>
<td>6.7 (7.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>8.7 (7.5)</td>
<td>7.4 (6.5)</td>
<td>0.03**</td>
<td>10 (7.8)</td>
<td>6.7 (6.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning stiffness (hours)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand deformity (n)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional symptoms (n)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-articular symptoms (n)</td>
<td>18†</td>
<td>9‡</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>17*</td>
<td>10**</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional class &gt;II (n)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steiner's score &gt;2 (n)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie index &gt;15 (n)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS (range)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>5.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>5.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.02**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/1st h)</td>
<td>42.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>29.6 (2.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>34.6 (4.9)</td>
<td>29.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>35.7 (4.9)</td>
<td>29.8 (4.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/l)</td>
<td>116 (14.8)</td>
<td>115 (14.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>115.5 (14.6)</td>
<td>116.2 (14.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>114.5 (12.8)</td>
<td>117.8 (45.6)</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA RF positive (n)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM RF levels (AU/ml)</td>
<td>73.4 (32.6)</td>
<td>53.4 (38.8)</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
<td>68.6 (37.2)</td>
<td>58.9 (37.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM RF positive (n)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.025**</td>
<td>191.4 (149.5)</td>
<td>121.4 (120.7)</td>
<td>0.025**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA positive (n)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANA positive (n)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student's t test.
**Student's z test.
†Including rheumatoid nodules (7), rheumatoid nodules (5), pleuritis (5), Sjögren's syndrome (1), Felty's syndrome (1), conjunctivitis (1).
‡Including rheumatoid nodules (4), rheumatoid vasculitis (3), conjunctivitis (2), Raynaud's syndrome (1), pleuritis (1).
§Including rheumatoid nodules (6), pleuritis (2), conjunctivitis (1), pleuritis (1), Sjögren's syndrome (1).

Some patients had more than one extra-articular symptom.

For definitions of abbreviations, see text.

extra-articular features and constitutional symptoms was also statistically higher in AKA positive patients. In addition, all AKA positive patients had a Ritchie index of more than 15. With regard to functional capacity, disease activity, and radiological progression, AKA positive patients with RA had more severe underlying disease than AKA negative patients. A statistically significant correlation was found between AKA titres and the DAS ($\rho=0.78$, p<0.005), functional status ($\rho=0.42$, p<0.005), and IgA RF levels ($\rho=0.24$, p<0.05).

Patients with RA who were positive for IgA RF were older than those who were negative. Positivity for IgA RF was significantly associated with constitutional symptoms, functional disability, and higher Ritchie indices. Higher IgA RF levels correlated with a higher DAS ($\rho=0.29$, p<0.01) and worse functional status ($\rho=0.26$, p<0.01). A statistically strong correlation was found between IgA RF and IgM RF levels ($\rho=0.73$, p<0.0001). Only two patients with RA had an isolated increase in IgA RF and both were negative for AKA. However, IgM RF did not correlate with the parameters related to IgA RF. We found 16 seronegative RA patients for both RF isotypes, three (19%) of whom were positive for AKA.

For pANCA positive patients, pANCA had a more severe disease course with a worse functional state and more advanced radiological damage. Patients positive for pANCA also had significantly higher inflammatory activity, as estimated by the DAS, mean blood haemoglobin concentration, and higher mean levels of IgM RF. Perinuclear ANCA were significantly associated with extra-articular manifestations in patients with RA. Out of 10 patients with rheumatoid vasculitis, eight with RA had positive pANCA.

The antigen specificity could be determined in only two patients with rheumatoid vasculitis, both of whom had antibodies against lactoferrin.

Multiple regression analysis was applied to identify the independent impact of selected clinical and paraclinical findings on the presence of radiological progression and functional capacity. Radiological damage was dependent on disease duration and was significantly associated with worse functional capacity (p<0.0001). There was no relationship between positivity for AKA, IgA RF, IgM RF, ANCA, or ANA and radiographic score. However, positivity for AKA was significantly correlated with the functional capacity (p=0.0001). Also, ESR was significantly associated with compromised function (p=0.013).

### Discussion

This study confirms that AKA is a serological marker with a particular clinical value in adult RA.4,14 Antikeratin antibodies were detectable in 44% of our patients with RA of about 8 years' duration, and these findings agree with those of earlier studies in advanced RA.21, 25 Several studies have recently reported that the frequency of anticyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies in early RA can approach 70%.26-30 In our study six of 14 patients with early RA were AKA positive and the AKA titres were found to be much higher than in patients with RA of longer duration. However, the number of patients with early RA was too small to warrant significant conclusions about the frequency of AKA and their value in early RA.

Classically, the laminar staining of the stratum corneum is interpreted as a positive finding. Whether the two serum samples positive for AKA in our study which had speckled stratum corneum staining recognise citrullinated peptides,26-29 like most other AKA, is not known at present.
A cut off dilution of serum set at 1:20 gave a diagnostic specificity of 97% and a PPV of 91%, which agrees with data from other groups for patients with advanced RA. In addition, the diagnostic specificity and PPV of AKA in our patients with long standing disease were comparable to the values associated with anti-CCP antibodies in patients with RA of recent onset. Our findings on the diagnostic value of AKA in RA add support to the contention that the presence of AKA is not related to disease duration and can be found with the same specificity in patients with early and chronic RA. We found that diagnostic efficacy was greatest when tests for AKA, IgA RF, and IgM RF were used in combination. The higher diagnostic value of the combined set of serological markers can be explained by the fact that associations of markers are rare in control serum samples.

In this study we found that the RF of IgA and IgM isotypes are the most sensitive markers and can be found in more than 70% of patients with RA, but they are less disease specific and have a far lower PPV than AKA because their diagnostic values have been influenced by a number of positive test results in patients with other rheumatic diseases and in healthy subjects. The observed prevalence of ANA and the predominance of pANCA as the staining pattern in patients with RA are in accordance with the results of previous studies. The ANCA immunofluorescence test had a diagnostic specificity comparable to IgA and IgM RF, but the low sensitivity (33%) and low PPV (61.5%) restricts its usefulness in diagnosing RA. Larger studies are needed for estimating the diagnostic value of ANCA in RA. The observed prevalence of ANA and specificity of the test in RA patients are in agreement with results from previous studies; the low PPV is related to sensitivity and specificity. Nevertheless, only a few of the healthy subjects were positive for ANA at the same dilution, indicating that the rheumatoid inflammatory process—rather than age and sex—caused the ANA positivity.

We compared AKA detection with other diagnostic tests for RA and attempted to determine whether AKA-positive patients belonged to a particular subgroup of RA. We confirmed that AKA are independent of the sex and age of the patients. In our study, patients with RA whose serum samples were positive for AKA had significantly more radiological damage (as measured by the Steinbrocker radiographic score), much greater expressed functional disability, a higher prevalence of extra-articular and constitutional features, and more active disease than AKA-negative patients. These results are consistent with those of previous studies which also showed positive associations between AKA and radiological damage, functional disability, extra-articular symptoms, and disease activity. All these findings suggest that AKA are associated with the most severe and active forms of RA. Nevertheless, using multiple regression analysis of our data, positivity for AKA was significantly correlated only with functional disability and did not reveal any association with radiological damage. By contrast, Kroot et al. have reported that anti-CCP antibodies do not predict functional disability and have only a moderate predictive value on radiological damage in patients with RA of recent onset. However, their patients had recently diagnosed RA and were followed up for 6 years, while in our study almost all the patients had RA of long duration and different methods were used to evaluate functional capacity and radiological damage. Further similar studies are needed to evaluate the impact of AKA on function and joint damage in RA.

AKA in combination with RF may have a special significance in patients with RA. In this regard it was shown that 34 of 42 AKA-positive patients with RA harboured RF of both isotypes, although AKA were also found in some seronegative patients. This is in keeping with other previously published studies.

In our study, IgA RF positivity and higher levels of IgA RF, but not IgM RF, were associated with AKA positivity in RA, whereas in previous studies a correlation between AKA titres and IgM RF levels has been reported. We also found no association between AKA and ANA, in agreement with other authors, although in other earlier studies such a relation was found.

In our study IgA RF, but not IgM RF, was associated with several clinical and paraclinical variables of RA. IgA RF was more often found in older patients with RA, in agreement with other authors. We confirmed that IgA RF correlates better with disease activity than IgM RF. IgA RF levels were also correlated with higher DAS, more compromised functional capacity, and higher Ritchie indices. This indicates that higher IgA RF levels relate to activity and functional outcome in RA, but not to radiological damage or extra-articular manifestations. Other groups have shown that IgA RF is associated with more erosive disease and with extra-articular features. However, in accordance with findings from other studies, we found no associations between RF and ANA or ANCA. We suggest that the different associations between RF isotypes and clinical variables of RA can be explained by the use of purified human IgG as antigen to measure RF by ELISA. Perinuclear ANCA are thought to occur in patients with RA, especially in patients with long standing disease. We found that pANCA positive patients had disease of significantly longer duration than pANCA negative patients, which indicates that ANCA could be a marker of chronic inflammation in RA. We found no relation between AKA and ANCA, but this finding needs to be confirmed in a larger study. ANCA positivity showed the same associations as AKA with regard to radiological joint damage, extra-articular manifestations, disease activity, and functional disability in our patients with RA, which agrees with the findings of other authors. Recently published data indicate that ANCA is a marker of progressive erosive disease in early RA. However, in multiple regression analysis of our data,
no association was found between ANCA and radiological damage in patients with advanced RA.

In some previous studies it has been shown that pANCA are not associated with disease activity, although in others such an association has been found. In our study pANCA positivity was significantly associated with parameters indicating active RA such as higher DAS, low blood haemoglobin concentration, and higher levels of IgM RF, which suggests a severe disease course in ANCA positive patients.

ANCA from patients with RA recognise a number of antigens of granulocytes. In the present study an antigen target for pANCA could be determined in only 19 of the patients with RA, and lactoferrin was the most common antigen recognised. It has been proposed that MPO-ANCA and LF-ANCA in RA are associated with rheumatoid vasculitis. In our patients with RA, pANCA IIF was associated with the presence of rheumatoid vasculitis, although the number of patients positive for specific ANCA was low.

In conclusion, our data suggest that AKA are the most specific serological markers for RA and determination of AKA will be of value in the diagnosis of RA. In the presence of RF of the IgA and IgM isotypes, AKA strongly indicate RA. Furthermore, AKA may have some prognostic significance as the subgroup of patients with RA with positive AKA had more severe and active forms of the disease. Earlier identification of patients who are likely to have a more severe disease course could help in deciding on early active antirheumatic treatment. Patients with RA positive for ANCA and for AKA may suffer more joint destruction.
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