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Abstract
Signalling by the tumour necrosis factor receptors (TNFR) is thought to be mediated by the binding of the trimeric ligand TNF to three monomeric subunits of the receptor. This ligand induced trimerisation model of TNFR signalling is mainly supported by crystallographic data of the p60 TNFR-1 and TNFα complex in which the trimeric ligand interdigitates between the individual receptor chains and prevents the receptor subunits from interacting with each other. Recently, a domain NH2-terminal to the ligand binding domain in the extracellular region of p60 TNFR-1, p80 TNFR-2 and Fas was identified that mediates receptor self association before ligand binding. This pre-ligand binding assembly domain or PLAD is critical for assembly of functional receptor complexes on the cell surface and may provide a potential target in the design of future novel therapeutics against diseases mediated by members of the TNFR family of receptors.

Tumour necrosis factor (TNF) is a cytokine that plays an important regulatory part in both healthy and diseased immune responses. TNFα signals through two distinct receptors, the 60 kDa TNF receptor 1 (TNFR-1 or p60) and the 80 kDa TNFR-2 or p80. The TNFRs represent a growing family of cell surface receptors including Fas/CD-95/Apo-1 and CD40, etc, which regulate various aspects of the immune system. Failure of proper signalling of these receptors often leads to pathological states within the immune system. For example, hereditary heterozygous mutations in the Fas receptor are the most prevalent cause of paediatric patients suffering from autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS), a disease that is characterised by lymphoproliferation and defective apoptosis induction. The mutant Fas receptors were thought to dominantly inhibit the function of the wild type receptor through ligand dependent recruitment of the wild type and mutant receptor chains, thus forming a defective, non-signalling receptor complex.

The ligand induced trimerisation model
As mentioned above, the conventional model of TNFR signalling proposes that the trimeric ligand TNF recruits three separate chains of the receptor through ligand induced trimerisation. The resulting complex would then allow juxtaposition of the cytoplasmic domains to facilitate recruitment of downstream signalling components (fig 1). Evidence supporting this model of TNFR signal transduction comes from both solution studies and crystallography. In both cases, a three to three ratio of ligand to receptor complex was observed. The ligand bound TNFR-1 structure and the more recently described Trail receptor structure both reveal a similar architecture in which the trimeric ligand interdigitates between the three receptor chains. The individual receptor chains in the complex are thus prevented from making contact with each other. The crystallographic data were corroborated by transfection studies where a cytoplasmic domain truncated human TNFR-1 was introduced into a mouse fibroblast cell line. The truncated human receptor was able to dominantly interfere with apoptosis induced by human TNFα, which binds to both the human and mouse TNFR-1, but not apoptosis induced by antibody to the mouse TNFR-1. These results and others are consistent with a ligand induced receptor trimerisation model of signal initiation.
Table 1  TNF-like ligands with multiple receptors. The alternative names of the ligands and receptors are listed in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Receptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>TNFR-1 (p55, p60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFβ (LTα)</td>
<td>TNFR-2 (p75, p80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTα2βf1</td>
<td>TNFR-1 (p55, p60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIL (Apo2L)</td>
<td>TNFR-2 (p75, p80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR4 (TRAIL-R1)</td>
<td>DR5 (TRAIL-R2, TRICK2, Killer, Apo2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc-R1 (TRAIL-R3, TRID)</td>
<td>Dc-R2 (TRAIL-R4, TRUNDD, LIT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANKL (TRANCE, OPG, ODF)</td>
<td>OPG (FDSCR-1, OCIF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT</td>
<td>HVEM (HveA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pre-ligand assembly model

Recent evidence, however, suggests that an alternative model of TNFR signalling should be considered. According to the ligand induced trimerisation model, addition of TNF to a cell that expresses both p60 and p80 TNFRs would theoretically lead to the formation of mixed trimer complexes. Such mixed complexes would be expected to be non-signalling as productive recruitment of downstream signalling proteins requires the formation of a homotrimeric cytoplasmic interface (the death domain for p60 and the TRAF binding domain for p80). However, in contrast with the prediction of the model, p80 TNFR-2 can increase the cytotoxicity of p60 TNFR-1, especially when over-expressed in cell lines. In fact, the potential to form mixed trimers is not restricted to p60 and p80 TNFR. Many of the TNF family of ligands, including the TNF related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL), can bind to multiple receptor partners (table 1). As these receptors are responsible for transduction of distinct signals, the formation of mixed receptor trimers can have deleterious effects on the cellular response to external stimuli. The promiscuous nature of binding specificity within the TNFR superfamily thus challenges the validity of the ligand induced trimerisation model.

Another line of evidence that points to the deficiency of the ligand induced trimerisation model comes from the ALPS patients who were mentioned earlier. While most of the ALPS type 1 patients (patients with mutations in the Fas or Fas-L genes) harbour mutations in the extracellular domain of the receptor, a number of these patients were found to possess heterozygous mutations in the extracellular domain of the receptors. Some of these extracellular domain mutations result in non-ligand binding receptors. As these mutant receptors cannot bind Fas-L, they were not expected to enter into functional signalling complexes with the wild type receptors. However, Fas induced apoptosis in the lymphocytes of these ALPS patients was defective. When coexpressed with the wild type receptors, these non-ligand binding Fas receptors can also dominate the interaction with the apoptotic activity of the wild type receptors. These results suggest that the mutant receptor may somehow be able to associate with the wild type receptor in the absence of ligand, in contradistinction to the predictions of the ligand induced trimerisation model.

The identification of the PLAD

The aforementioned evidence thus raised the question of whether TNFR family receptors can associate with each other prior to ligand binding. Although the death domain and the TRAF binding domain in the cytoplasmic region of TNFR family receptors are known to be able to mediate trimerisation, this event is only associated with signal transduction upon ligand binding or over-expression of the receptor. Thus, the putative pre-ligand assembly domain is likely to reside outside of the cytoplasmic domain of the receptor. One outstanding feature shared among TNFR-like receptors is the tandem repeats of cysteine rich domains (CRDs) in the extracellular domain that is homologous among members of the family. The ligand binding domains for p60/p80 TNFRs and Fas are made up of the second and third CRDs. Although the first CRD for TNFRs is also highly conserved and has been shown to be critical for ligand binding, the mechanism by which it contributes to receptor function was unclear. Interestingly, the unliganded p60 receptor was crystallised as dimers. Of particular interest is a structure obtained at neutral, physiological pH that shows the p60 TNFR-1 extracellular domain as parallel dimers with extensive contacts in the first CRD. Using a combination of biochemical and biophysical techniques, the extracellular domains of p60/p80 and Fas were indeed shown to self associate in the absence of ligand. For instance, using spectral variants of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) fused to p60 TNFR-1, receptor specific interaction between p60-p60 can be detected in living cells using the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) technique. The energy transfer is homospecific as it cannot be detected between p60 and p80 or Fas. Subsequent mapping experiments confirm the region overlapping the first CRD of p60, p80 and Fas called the PLAD (pre-ligand binding assembly domain) to be necessary and sufficient for mediating receptor association in the absence of ligand, a finding that agrees with the unliganded p60 TNFR-1 crystal structure. For example, replacing the PLAD of p60 with that of p80 can redress the association of the chimera receptor to p80 but not p60. Two other TNFR family members, DR4 and CD40, also demonstrate ligand independent self association. Thus, the PLAD seems to be a mechanism by which the different receptors within the TNFR family sort themselves into distinct homotypic complexes, thus avoiding cross inhibition between receptors. The discovery of the PLAD also highlights the significance of the pre-associated receptor complex in ligand binding. Removal of the PLAD or mutations within the PLAD that disrupts PLAD mediated self association renders the receptor incapable of binding the ligand. This loss of ligand binding can be rescued by replacing the PLAD deleted receptor with a...
PLAD from a heterologous receptor. Although receptor pre-ligand association is required for ligand binding, mutations in the CRD2 that abolish ligand binding do not preclude the receptor from self association. These CRD2 mutant receptors can still enter into complexes with the wild type receptors and cause dominant inhibition on the wild type receptor in response to ligand, a result that is reminiscent of the ALPS mutations. This evidence thus establishes that pre-assembled receptor complexes are the functional, ligand binding form of the receptor (fig 1).

PLAD and human diseases

What is the importance of the PLAD with regard to human diseases? As mentioned above, ligand independent association of Fas causes dominant inhibition of wild type type receptor function in ALPS. A striking feature of type 1 ALPS is that all heterozygous Fas mutations identified so far have retain the PLAD domain. In fact, removal of the PLAD from some of these dominant negative Fas mutants abolished their dominant negative phenotype on the wild type receptor. Thus, PLAD mediated receptor association contributes to the pathology of ALPS and provides a molecular explanation by which non-ligand binding and signal deficient Fas mutants interfere with wild type receptor function.

Mutation in the extracellular portion of TNFR-1 causes TNFR-1 associated periodic syndromes (TRAPS). TRAPS is characterised by unexplained episodes of fever and localised inflammation. Interestingly, the reported mutations are heterozygous, suggesting that they may dominantly interfere with the function of the wild type receptor. In fact, in one of the TRAPS patients, there is reduced shedding of the receptor in response to cellular stimulation. Although it has not been formally tested, it is tempting to speculate that this effect and other phenotypes related to TRAPS are also caused by dominant interference of the wild type receptor function through PLAD mediated receptor association.

PLAD disrupting agents: potential therapeutic reagents?

The discovery of the PLAD and PLAD mediated ligand independent receptor assembly provides a novel understanding of the biology of this large family of receptors whose functions are critical to the immune system. An intriguing implication to the discovery of the PLAD is that it may serve as a novel target to the prevention of TNFR signalling in diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis. Currently, target specific treatments of rheumatoid arthritis include blockade of ligand receptor interaction (anti-TNF antibodies) and competition for ligand binding (soluble recombinant receptor proteins). Although the use of these novel treatments in the clinic has yielded promising results, they all carry with them certain disadvantages. For example, the use of anti-TNF antibody may elicit anti-idiotypic responses. Moreover, anti-TNF antibody does not distinguish the interaction of TNF with multiple receptors. For the same reason, soluble recombinant receptor proteins also do not distinguish TNFR-1 from TNFR-2, and may cause undesirable effects and receptor antagonism that is not central to disease pathology. On the other hand, the PLAD can be an attractive alternative to designing novel therapeutics against TNFR function. A polypeptide mimicking the PLAD binding contacts can be used to specifically inhibit the assembly of functional receptor complexes. Such polypeptide inhibitors will be receptor specific and "totally self" to the immune system, thus circumventing the danger of eliciting undesirable immune reactions. The receptor specific inhibition of TNFR function may therefore provide an attractive alternative to the current therapeutic approaches. Further work is needed to determine the feasibility and applicability of targeting the PLAD in therapeutic design.
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