Risk of post-discharge venous thromboembolism in patients with rheumatoid arthritis undergoing knee or hip arthroplasty. Is prolonged thromboprophylaxis warranted or dangerous?

Venous thromboembolism (VTE)—that is, deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE)—are common complications after major hip or knee surgery. Without thromboprophylaxis, the incidence of DVT in patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery is more than 50%, and fatal PE is reported to occur in 1–6% of these patients. These data are based on investigations in which predominantly osteoarthritis (OA) patients were studied. Only a few (small) studies were performed in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients: Abernethy reports an incidence of DVT of more than 70% and overall incidence of PE of approximately 2% in RA patients who have undergone a knee arthroplasty, and Kelly reports, in his review, an incidence of fatal PE of approximately 1% in RA patients undergoing total hip replacement and not receiving thromboprophylaxis (table 1). The risk of developing DVT seems to be similar for RA patients undergoing total hip replacement and those undergoing knee replacement surgery.

Data are conflicting regarding the risk for developing VTE for RA patients in comparison with OA patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery. Similar incidences of VTE have been reported for OA patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery, whereas one large retrospective study indicated a possibly lower rate of thromboembolic complications in RA patients (table 1). In this investigation, inhospital morbidity and mortality of 721 RA patients and 8859 OA patients, who underwent an elective hip replacement operation, were analysed retrospectively. The incidence of thromboembolic events was 0.3% in the RA group versus 1.2% in the OA group (p = 0.07). At first sight, these very low incidences of VTE are remarkable, but they are probably related to a very short observation period and undertidagnosing, by only observing clinical VTE. Hence, the incidence of VTE seems to be underestimated in this study.

Altogether, it remains unknown whether there is a difference in VTE risk between RA and OA patients and obviously, more reliable data should come from (prospective) investigations with adequate end point assessment.

Development of venous thrombosis during/after (orthopaedic) surgery

Hypercoagulability, caused by tissue damage and intraoperative and postoperative stasis, is a common pathogenic factor for the development of DVT, in all surgical patients. Additional pathogenic factors play an important part in major orthopaedic procedures. The femoral vein is distorted and kinked during total hip replacement. This leads to stasis of blood and to vessel wall damage, which promotes fibrin formation. In addition, it leads to a local exhaustion of tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA), the activator of the fibrinolytic system. This results in an insufficient suppression of the local fibrin formation that occurs during the operation.

During total knee arthroplasty, tourniquets are usually applied to reduce blood loss and this results in stasis of blood and anoxia in the distal portion of the leg, which also leads to an exhaustion of endothelial t-PA stores and inadequate inhibition of fibrin formation. Patients who have undergone major orthopaedic surgery develop swelling in the operated region, partly because of inflammatory reactions and partly because of wound haematomas, which may result in leg vein compression and stasis of blood.

The exhaustion of t-PA stores, in addition to local venous endothelial damage and blood stasis, leads to an increased perioperative fibrin formation and may explain the high incidences of VTE in major orthopaedic surgery.

The peak incidence of DVT is observed around the fifth postoperative day. After the first postoperative week a second activation of coagulation occurs, as demonstrated by an increase of thrombin-antithrombin III complexes and D-dimer, markers of coagulation activation, which may persist up to six weeks or longer. The pathophysiological mechanism for this activation is not known, but it might be partly attributable to a (relative) immobilisation of the patient after hospital discharge in addition to the discontinuation of pharmacological thromboprophylaxis.

Need for thromboprophylaxis during hospitalisation

Obviously, thromboprophylaxis is mandatory in patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery and presently, during the hospitalisation period, low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) is the most commonly applied thromboprophylactic agent in the majority of the orthopaedic surgery units in Europe. The evidence for the efficacy and safety of LMWH is derived from (large) trials in which predominantly OA patients were studied. However, although no conclusions for RA patients could be drawn in view of the very limited number of RA patients studied, LMWH is also commonly used in RA patients as thromboprophylaxis.

Numerous investigations and several meta-analyses have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of LMWH in the prevention of postoperative thromboembolic events after major orthopaedic surgery (table 2). Several studies have compared LMWH with unfractionated heparin in patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery, indicating an overall risk reduction of approximately 25% for the

Table 1  Inhospital venous thromboembolism, without thromboprophylaxis, in major orthopaedic surgery patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Patients category</th>
<th>Deep vein thrombosis (%)</th>
<th>Pulmonary embolism (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venographically assessed DVT</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salzman and Hirsh*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abernethy*</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>TKR</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringer†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>1.2†</td>
<td>0.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically assessed DVT</td>
<td>8 859 721</td>
<td>THR THR</td>
<td>OA RA</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

development of DVT and an approximately 50% risk reduction for PE, when comparing LMWH with unfractionated heparin, without an increase of major bleeding complications.11–13

However, there is still a considerable rate of symptomless DVT, approximately 15–20% at the end of the hospital stay.14

Is post-discharge thromboprophylaxis needed?
Despite this high residual rate of approximately 15–20% and laboratory evidence of continuing coagulation activation, there is no consensus whether or not prophylaxis should be continued after hospital discharge in OA or RA patients who have undergone major orthopaedic surgery.

Reduction of post-discharge VTE by anticoagulants should be carefully weighed against risk of bleeding and the concomitant costs. To date, no conclusive evidence, particularly for RA patients, is available and presently, many orthopaedic surgeons in the Netherlands continue thromboprophylaxis after hospital discharge for six weeks up to three months, for which oral anticoagulants, mostly acenocoumarol, are used. This practice is based on one small scale investigation in which a total of 101 patients, 87 OA patients and 14 RA patients, undergoing elective total hip replacement, were studied. All patients received oral anticoagulants as thromboprophylaxis during the hospital stay, which was stopped at the time of the hospital discharge. This study indicated a high rate of post-discharge non-fatal symptomatic PE, which was observed in three of 55 patients (7%) with negative radionuclide venography 10 days after the operation.15 The major drawback of this investigation is that the applied detection method, radionuclide venography, is not an adequate screening test for detecting (symptomless) DVT, which can only be detected properly by contrast venography.15 Hence, the PE observed in this investigation might have come from patients with a false negative radionuclide venography and therefore, the true post-discharge incidence of PE might be overestimated.

Recently, a much lower incidence of post-discharge PE was reported.16 In this large open trial the occurrence of post-discharge clinically overt VTE in patients not receiving prolonged prophylaxis was investigated. A total of almost 2000 patients (predominantly OA), undergoing hip or knee arthroplasty, were studied and clinically overt VTE was observed in 40 patients (2%). DVT was detected in 25 patients, non-fatal PE in 14 patients and one patient suffered from a fatal PE. To date, no data regarding the incidence of post-discharge VTE in RA patients have been published.

Thus far, the routine use as well as the duration of post-discharge anticoagulation with oral anticoagulants has never been validated adequately and consequently, post-discharge anticoagulation is not applied by all orthopaedic surgeons.17

Investigations in other patient categories (for example, treatment of VTE) indicate, per treated patient, a major bleeding risk (requiring hospitalisation) of 1–8% and a fatal bleeding rate of 0.5–4.8%.18–23 An important point of consideration, is the additional bleeding risk if a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) is used in combination with oral anticoagulants.24 For instance, the relative risk for a gastrointestinal bleeding or perforation is more than six times increased when a NSAID is used in addition to oral anticoagulants.25 These bleeding risks also favour withholding prolonged thromboprophylaxis. Additional arguments are:

(1) the clinical significance of symptomless DVT is not known, although it is generally accepted, that some will lead to symptomatic DVT and PE,9 26 27 and others to the post-thrombotic syndrome.28

(2) immobilisation, an important risk factor for the development of VTE during hospital stay,29 has only a limited significance at the time of the hospital discharge, as most patients are then ambulant.

(3) a thromboprophylactic effect has been suggested for NSAIDs,30 and therefore, prolonged prophylaxis would not be required for RA patients as most are using NSAIDs.

Altogether, it seems that the balance between benefit and risk for prolonged (post-discharge) anticoagulation, particularly for RA patients, has not yet been elucidated.

Do aspirin and other NSAIDs have an effect on venous thromboembolism?
Aspirin irreversibly inhibits cyclooxygenase by acetylation whereas other NSAIDs produce a reversible inhibition by competing, with the substrate arachidonic acid, for the active site on the enzyme. The major mechanism for the well established (arterial) anti-thrombotic effect of aspirin is mediated through its ability to irreversibly block the synthesis of platelet thromboxane A2.31

Classically the arterial thrombus is largely composed of platelets and fibrin and therefore, arterial thrombi are known as white thrombi. Venous thrombi have a much richer content of erythrocytes and are therefore known as red thrombi. Hence, it is conceivable that, from a pathological point of view, aspirin (and other NSAIDs), because of their inhibition of platelet aggregation, have a more pronounced (inhibitory) effect on the development of arterial thrombi compared with venous thrombi.

For NSAIDs also a prophylactic effect on the development of VTE has been hypothesised.

Table 2 Inhospital venous thromboembolism, with thromboprophylaxis, in major orthopaedic surgery patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Patients category</th>
<th>Thromboprophylaxis</th>
<th>Deep vein thrombosis (%)</th>
<th>Pulmonary embolism (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sharrock et al</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>TKR</td>
<td>OA (n=382); RA (n=54)</td>
<td>aspirin</td>
<td>42 39</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurmohamed et al*14</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>LMWH</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swerretta et al†15</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>THR</td>
<td>OA (n=87); RA (n=14)</td>
<td>OAC</td>
<td>23†</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard et al*16</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>TKR</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>LMWH</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically assessed DVT</td>
<td>1141 842</td>
<td>THR TKR</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>LMWH LMWH</td>
<td>1.3 1.8</td>
<td>0.5 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Meta-analysis. LMWH=low molecular weight heparin. OAC=oral anticoagulants. †Overall incidence. DVT=denotes deep venous thrombosis. THR=denotes total hip replacement. RA=denotes rheumatoid arthritis. TKR=denotes total knee replacement. OA=denotes osteoarthritis.
whereas this effect was not observed for the induction of venous thrombi. The number of emboli generated from these thrombi as well as the duration of embolisation were, for both arterial and venous thrombi, significantly decreased by NSAIDs compared with control. This experiment suggests that NSAIDs have a more pronounced inhibitory effect on the development of arterial thrombi than on venous thrombus formation and a similar effect on arterial and venous emboli.

**CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

A meta-analysis of earlier venous thromboprophylaxis trials among surgical and medical patients, in which antiplatelet agents (primarily aspirin) were investigated as thromboprophylactic agents, indicated some beneficial effect of aspirin against DVT in elective orthopaedic surgery. Thirteen elective orthopaedic surgery trials were considered for this analysis and encompassed a total of 863 patients. Antiplatelet therapy was administered to 427 patients, whereas there were 436 control patients. DVT was detected in 232 control patients (53.2%) and in 160 antiplatelet treated patients (37.5%, 49% odds reduction). Although the reduction seems substantial, these results must be interpreted cautiously as most studies that were included in this meta-analysis, suffered from methodological deficiencies, such as an open study design and inadequate end points. Hence, this meta-analysis cannot be considered conclusive regarding the effect of aspirin on the prevention of VTE.

A thromboprophylactic effect on VTE of NSAIDs, other than aspirin, has never been proved in recent clinical investigations. This is probably related to the fact that in most thromboprophylaxis trials NSAIDs were either stopped, or their use was strongly discouraged or patients using NSAIDs were excluded. Altogether, there seems no clinically relevant effect of aspirin (and other NSAIDs) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism, in contrast with the well established efficacy of aspirin in preventing arterial thrombosis.

NSAIDs are used by most RA patients and, to a lesser extent, by OA patients. They are stopped postoperatively in the majority of OA patients whereas they are continued, and still used after hospital discharge, in RA patients. Therefore, the balance of benefit—that is, reduction of post-discharge thromboembolism—and risk—that is, induction of bleeding complications—could be different for RA patients compared with OA patients.

**Post-discharge thromboprophylaxis**

Recently, four randomised double blind studies investigating extended prophylaxis with LMWH after hospital discharge were reported. The investigations had a comparable design involving post-discharge randomisation to thromboprophylaxis for RA patients undergoing major orthopaedic surgery be given—that is, whether it is at all indicated, able to be administered, and still used after hospital discharge, in RA patients. Therefore, the balance of benefit—that is, reduction of post-discharge thromboembolism—and risk—that is, induction of bleeding complications—could be different for RA patients compared with OA patients.

The incidence of post-discharge VTE in RA patients seems to be substantial, and there is accumulating evidence that this can be safely lowered by prolonged administration of LMWH.

No data are available regarding the magnitude of post-discharge VTE in RA patients. The risk of RA patients might be different in comparison with OA patients in view of (a) an a priori possibly lower incidence of VTE during hospital stay and (b) the fact that in most thromboprophylaxis trials NSAIDs were either stopped, or their use was strongly discouraged or patients using NSAIDs were excluded. Altogether, there seems no clinically relevant effect of aspirin (and other NSAIDs) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism, in contrast with the well established efficacy of aspirin in preventing arterial thrombosis.

NSAIDs are used by most RA patients and, to a lesser extent, by OA patients. They are stopped postoperatively in the majority of OA patients whereas they are continued, and still used after hospital discharge, in RA patients. Therefore, the balance of benefit—that is, reduction of post-discharge thromboembolism—and risk—that is, induction of bleeding complications—could be different for RA patients compared with OA patients.

**Table 3** Post-discharge venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing major hip replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Deep vein thrombosis (%)</th>
<th>Pulmonary embolism (%)</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>LMWH</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>LMWH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dahle et al35</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassen et al35</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planes et al35</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berggren et al35</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35With evaluable venograms. †Confirmed by either lung scan, angiography or necropsy. Including one fatal pulmonary embolism.

**Conclusions**

VTE is an important postoperative complication of major orthopaedic surgery in OA and RA patients, albeit that, in RA patients, the risk for developing VTE might be lower in OA patients. LMWH effectively reduces the incidence of VTE during hospital stay in OA patients. LMWH is also commonly used as postoperative thromboprophylaxis in RA patients but this has never been validated.

The incidence of post-discharge VTE in OA patients seems to be substantial, and there is accumulating evidence that this can be safely lowered by prolonged administration of LMWH.
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