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Abstract

Objective—Environmental factors such as farming contribute to the frequency of joint symptoms. The purpose of this study is to explore the possible role of environment (lifestyle), by comparing the prevalence of joint pain between Japanese in a rural farming district in Japan and in urban Hawaii.

Subjects and Methods—Current or previous pain at specific joints was surveyed among 222 women in rural Japan and 638 Japanese women in urban Hawaii aged 60–79. The age adjusted prevalence was compared using logistic regression.

Results—The prevalence of pain at one or more joints was approximately 70% in Japan and 50% in Hawaii. The prevalence of knee pain in Japan ranged from 36% at ages 60–69 years of age to 53% at 70–79 years (mean 41%), whereas knee pain affected only 20% of women in Hawaii in both age groups. The odds ratio (and 95% CI) was 3.2 (2.1, 4.8) for knee pain, and 4.0 (2.2, 7.4) for mid-back pain in Japan, compared with Hawaii. Pain was also significantly more common in Japan at the shoulder, elbow, and ankle, but not at other joints. Women in Japan were shorter and weighed less than in Hawaii. Adjustment for body mass index increased the odds ratios to 4.4 (2.9, 6.8) for knee, and 4.5 (2.4, 8.5) for mid-back pain.

Conclusion—Although the potential influence of cultural factors or other sources of bias cannot be ruled out, the large differences in the prevalence of pain at specific joints suggest that environmental factors are probably responsible, because both populations are of similar genetic stock.

Joint pain is a very common complaint among the elderly, which may lead to functional limitations, decreased quality of life, and increased health care costs. With increased longevity, the elderly constitute a growing segment of the community, and chronic diseases and disability among the aged have become major public health concerns. Population-based studies show that physical disability is one of the most prevalent major health problems among the elderly. According to data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I), self reported musculoskeletal symptoms increase in prevalence with increasing age. The most frequent site of symptoms was the back, followed by the knee.

Both environmental and genetic factors may contribute to the development of joint pain. For example, previous studies have suggested that farming contributes to increased frequency of joint symptoms, and that being overweight is also associated with increased prevalence of joint pain. Other studies have reported associations of genetic markers with osteoarthritis, which is an important source of joint symptoms in the elderly. One valuable approach for evaluating the influence of environmental factors, independent of genetic factors, is to study migrant populations. Changes in the lifestyles of the migrant population can be examined for clues that may help explain differences in disease occurrence, relative to the non-migrant, indigenous population.

There have been numerous studies of the frequency of joint pain within specific populations, but relatively few comparisons between populations. If obvious differences in the prevalence of joint symptoms between populations can be demonstrated, this may help guide the discovery of important aetiological factors. In this study, we compare the frequency of pain at specific joints among Japanese women in a rural farming district in Japan with that among urban/suburban Japanese-American women in Hawaii, adjusting for age and body mass index.

Methods

STUDY SAMPLE

The Mitsugi Bone and Joint Study (MBJS) was conducted between 1994 and 1995 on community dwelling people aged 40–85 in a rural area (Mitsugi town, near Hiroshima, Japan). The town of Mitsugi has a population of approximately 8400, in which the population of women aged 40 and over is 2600. Mitsugi is a farming district, where many people less than 70 years of age continue to grow rice and vegetables by manual labour, sometimes using machinery. All community dwelling people aged 40 and over were invited by the local government to participate in the general health examinations (annual examinations mandated by the Japanese Health and Medical Services Law for the Elderly). The MBJS was incorporated into the examinations being conducted in Mitsugi Public General Hospital, which is one of the seven general health examination sites in Mitsugi. Participation was not restricted on the basis of geography; people were allowed to visit whichever single examination site was most convenient. The participation rate in Mitsugi was 70%, and a total of 384 women partici-
Table 1 Characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Native Japanese in rural Japan</th>
<th>Japanese-Americans in urban Hawaii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>60–79</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>132.1–163.3</td>
<td>149.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>32.6–78.0</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>15.2–32.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Frequency (%) of women with joint pain in rural Japan and urban Hawaii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Age group (y)</th>
<th>Rural Japan</th>
<th>Urban Hawaii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any joint pain</td>
<td>103 (66.9)</td>
<td>57 (83.8)*</td>
<td>160 (72.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>43 (27.9)</td>
<td>16 (23.5)</td>
<td>59 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>5 (7.4)</td>
<td>25 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>12 (7.8)</td>
<td>8 (11.8)</td>
<td>20 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>20 (13.0)</td>
<td>10 (14.7)</td>
<td>30 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>15 (9.7)</td>
<td>6 (8.8)</td>
<td>21 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>56 (36.4)</td>
<td>36 (52.9)*</td>
<td>92 (41.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>18 (11.7)</td>
<td>11 (16.2)</td>
<td>29 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>14 (9.1)</td>
<td>9 (13.2)</td>
<td>23 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>26 (16.9)</td>
<td>12 (17.7)</td>
<td>38 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper back</td>
<td>19 (12.3)</td>
<td>7 (10.3)</td>
<td>26 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-back</td>
<td>21 (13.6)</td>
<td>13 (19.1)</td>
<td>34 (15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low back</td>
<td>38 (24.7)</td>
<td>23 (33.8)</td>
<td>61 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 compared with 60–69 age group.

English, translated into Japanese by a native Japanese, and back translated by a different person to check its accuracy. The Mitsugi study used only the Japanese wording from the Hawaii study. Subjects were asked “Which of your joints have ever been painful, swollen, aching, or tender on most days for at least one month (currently, or in the past)?” This question was based on the first United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I). Response boxes (yes and no) for both sides of the body were provided on an illustration of the skeleton for each joint (shoulders, elbows, wrists, hands/fingers, hips, knees, ankles, feet, neck, upper back, mid-back, and low back). Height and weight were measured with the subjects in light clothing and without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight(kg)/height(m)².

STETIAL ANALYSIS

Student’s t test was used to evaluate statistical significance when comparing the characteristics of the subjects between Japan and Hawaii. The χ² test was used to evaluate differences between groups for categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to evaluate differences in the prevalence of specific joint pain between the two populations, adjusting for age and BMI (both as continuous variables), including tests for interaction. SAS software (Cary, NC) was used for the data analysis. Analyses were limited to the 222 women in Japan and 638 women in Hawaii aged 60 to 79, to provide a comparable age range for both populations.

Results

CHARACTERISTICS OF PARTICIPANTS

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants in Japan and Hawaii. The median age of women in Hawaii was six years greater than women in Japan, and they had significantly greater body size (height, weight) and BMI than the native Japanese women (p<0.05).

PREVALENCE OF JOINT PAIN

The prevalence of pain at one or more joints was approximately 72% in Japan and 51% in Hawaii. The prevalence did not vary appreciably with age in either community, except for any joint pain and knee pain in Japan, which were significantly higher in the 70–79 year age group than the 60–69 year olds (table 2). Knee,
Joint pain in Japan and Hawaii

Table 3  Odds ratios (95% confidence intervals) of joint pain comparing women in rural Japan with women in urban Hawaii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint</th>
<th>Age adjusted</th>
<th>Age and BMI adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any joint</td>
<td>2.91 (1.97, 4.30)</td>
<td>3.41 (2.27, 5.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>1.58 (1.03, 2.41)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.16, 2.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow</td>
<td>2.27 (1.15, 4.46)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.23, 5.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>1.98 (0.98, 3.99)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.02, 4.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand</td>
<td>0.70 (0.42, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.46, 1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>0.93 (0.51, 1.71)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.57, 2.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>3.20 (2.14, 4.77)</td>
<td>4.38 (2.85, 6.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>1.97 (1.08, 3.57)</td>
<td>2.42 (1.30, 4.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>0.99 (0.55, 1.80)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.64, 2.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>1.25 (0.77, 2.05)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.86, 2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper back</td>
<td>1.38 (0.75, 2.51)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.81, 2.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-back</td>
<td>4.02 (2.18, 7.43)</td>
<td>4.48 (2.38, 8.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low back</td>
<td>1.44 (0.95, 2.18)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.10, 2.83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index. Odds ratios were estimated by logistic regression, adjusting for age and BMI (continuous variables).

Discussion

Comparing migrant populations with residents of the country of origin may help to identify risk factors responsible for observed differences in disease, by taking advantage of the increased variability in environmental factors while limiting genetic differences. It is likely that Japanese-Americans in Hawaii are of similar genetic stock to native Japanese. For most of its history, Japan had relatively little contact with the rest of the world, and its genetic pool is relatively homogeneous. Thus, our results suggest that environmental factors (rural compared with urban) may be responsible for the large differences in the frequency of pain at certain joints. The finding that differences are limited to certain joints suggests potential opportunities to identify aetiological factors.

Previous studies have reported that psychosocial factors (including those related to occupation) are associated with reporting of joint pain. Information about occupation was not available for the women in our study. However, Mitsugi is primarily a farming district, whereas Hawaii is an urban/suburban setting. Many women in Mitsugi work at farming until about age 70 years, whereas many of the women in Hawaii are either housewives, or retire from the workforce at age 65 years, or earlier. Thus, the differences in frequency of joint pain reported here may be partly attributable to occupational differences such as current employment, length of employment, type of labour, or psychosocial factors.

Cultural differences other than occupation may also affect the propensity to report symptoms, and may be partly responsible for the observed differences in prevalence of joint pain. Differences in social stigmas and stoicism between Japan and Hawaii might also partly explain differences in reported joint pain rather than biological differences in diseases such as osteoarthritis (OA) or fractures. However, one would expect such biases to be uniform for all joints. The observed joint specific differences therefore suggest that such cultural characteristics are probably not important in this regard.

Mitsugi town is in the same prefecture as the city of Hiroshima, but is distinctly different from Hiroshima city, where the atomic bomb was exploded. Although most people in our study population in Japan did not suffer direct physical consequences from the atomic bomb, they may have suffered emotionally. Furthermore, hardships including shortages of food, medicines, and other supplies both during and after the war were probably felt more in Mitsugi than in Hawaii. This is another factor that may partly explain differences in joint pain relative to Hawaii.

In this study, we used logistic regression to compare the prevalence of pain at specific joints between the two populations, adjusting for age and BMI. The effect of adjustment for BMI was to increase the association of joint pain with living in Mitsugi. Although BMI was associated with joint pain in this study, and obesity is a risk factor for knee OA and joint pain in other populations, differences in obesity seem to be less important than other (as yet unknown) risk factors for explaining differences in joint pain prevalence between Japan and Hawaii.

An advantage of logistic regression is the ability to control for differences in age and other potential confounders. However, the resulting odds ratios will overestimate the true association when the outcome of interest is greater than 5–10%. Thus, the relative prevalence of joint pain is not as high as suggested by the odds ratios in this study, because of the high prevalence of joint pain. Furthermore, adjustment for age in the logistic regression assumes that the association between age and joint pain
is similar in magnitude for both populations. There is some evidence that the prevalence of pain increases with age in Japan but not in Hawaii, as noted earlier, and this may have influenced the estimated odds ratios for knee pain and for all joints combined. However, there were no significant differences between age and country of residence in the logistic regression models. For these comparisons, the reader can also compare the age specific prevalence values provided in table 2, which are not affected by the logistic regression model assumptions.

The identification of painful joint(s) was based on subjects’ responses to the question: “Which of your joints have ever been painful, swollen, aching, or tender on most days for at least one month (currently, or in the past)?” There is substantial overlap between this question and the criteria for the classification and clinical diagnosis of OA. Although there is correlation between clinical signs of OA and radiographic OA, clinical signs are often present without radiographic evidence and moderate and severe radiographic OA is often present without clinical signs.13 The Subcommittee on Osteoarthritis of the American College of Rheumatology’s Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee selected “joint pain for most days of the prior month” as a major inclusion parameter for identifying clinical OA of the hand, hip and knee.19 A similar question has been used for identifying symptomatic OA of the knee.20–23 Although OA is not the only musculoskeletal disease contributing to joint pain, it is the most common type of joint disease in geriatric patients.24 Thus, joint pain may partially represent symptomatic OA for certain joint groups such as knee, hip, and hand in the current study.

This study has several limitations. Radiographs were not available for diagnosis of OA or for diagnosis of fractures in the Mitsugi group. We cannot prove whether the observed differences in body size existed before the occurrence of joint pain, because of the cross sectional design of the study. Information on other possible risk factors for joint pain such as history of joint injuries,25 occupation, etc., were not available. Possible differences in the selection of subjects between Japan and Hawaii are potential sources of bias. For example, the Japanese who migrated to Hawaii may have carried genetic differences in the characteristics of their children differently than those who remained in Japan. It was not possible to determine either the existence or magnitude of such effects in this study, but comparisons of blood group patterns suggest that genetic differences may be small.15 Another issue is different types of sampling between Japan and Hawaii, which could affect the results. For example, the women in Japan were participants in general health examinations by the local government, and the women in Hawaii were wives of men who had participated in previous epidemiologic studies. Although 98% of Japanese-Americans in this age range have been married, and fewer than 1% have been divorced, the subjects in this study may have not necessarily been representative of the general population. To minimise such potential sources of bias, future studies that intend to compare populations should make every possible effort to select persons randomly from a defined base population.

In summary, this study suggests that important differences among women aged 60–79 exist between Japan and Hawaii in the observed prevalence of joint pain, and may be related to differences in environmental factors. Further comparisons between populations may help to identify the responsible factors, which might be modified to reduce the burdens of joint pain and associated disability.
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