Letters

Cladribine in the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus nephritis

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) nephritis often requires treatment with cyclophosphamide, which carries the risk of major side effects including infection, ovarian failure and bladder malignancy. Therapeutic strategies that would specifically target lymphocytes are appealing. Following the first report of the use of the purine nucleoside analogue cladribine (2-chloro-2’-deoxyadenosine), a selective lymphocyte depleting agent, in the treatment of lupus nephritis, we report our experience in two patients with severe renal involvement.

Case 1

A 32 year old woman was diagnosed with SLE at age 28, with polyarthropathy, photosensitive rash, subcutaneous nodules, fatigue and lymphopenia. ANA, anti-dsDNA, anti-Smith and anti-RNP antibodies were present. Various immunosuppressants and corticosteroids failed to maintain a sustained remission. Two and a half years after presentation, she developed haematuria and proteinuria and renal biopsy revealed WHO Class III lupus nephritis. Treatment with pulsed intravenous cyclophosphamide and methylprednisolone was subsequently reintroduced and creatinine has again fallen to 118 µmol/l.

Table 1 shows the results of investigations before and after cladribine infusions for both cases.

In the initial study by Davis et al., three of seven patients treated with continuous cladribine infusion for a week responded completely and renal function did not deteriorate in any of the seven patients. Our limited experience suggests that cladribine may be effective in other manifestations of SLE (that is, cutaneous vasculitis), but it does not seem to have a consistent effect in severe nephritis. Good tolerability of the drug was confirmed and although herpes simplex infections occurred in both patients the role of corticosteroids cannot be excluded.

Further studies are required to establish the position of cladribine in the treatment of SLE especially in the presence of other lymphocyte depleting agents such as mycophenolate mofetil, which is reported to be effective in lupus nephritis, even in cases refractory to cyclophosphamide.
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Leg bone pain syndrome in a kidney transplant patient treated with tacrolimus (FK506)

Patients with chronic renal failure often develop musculoskeletal problems such as renal osteodystrophy and amyloid arthropathy, and in successful renal transplantation other complications may ensue, particularly avascular necrosis. Since the availability of immunosuppressive agents for rejection, there has been a decrease in musculoskeletal problems, however, new complications have been described such as a symmetrical bone pain syndrome and reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome (RSDS), some of them related to cyclosporin.

Tacrolimus is a novel macroclide with potent immunosuppressive effects and with a very similar mechanism of action to cyclosporin A—that is, calcineurin phosphatase inhibition. We report on a patient treated with tacrolimus, who developed a leg bone pain syndrome, two months after kidney transplantation.

The patient was a 50 year old woman with severe hypertension, treated with atenolol (100 mg/day), verapamil (240 mg/day) and clonidine (0.150 mg/day). She developed chronic renal failure and was treated with peritoneal dialysis in 1995. In 1997 she underwent a kidney transplant from a cadaver and immunosuppressive treatment with tacrolimus (4 mg/day) and prednisone (15 mg/day) was started. Two months after transplantation she reported progressive bilateral symmetric pain in the knees. Because of pain and difficulty in walking she was readmitted to our unit. At this time, the patient was receiving tacrolimus (4 mg/day) and prednisone (5 mg/day). Clinical examination revealed pain on movement and tenderness over the bone and joint line, without swelling

Table 1 Results of investigations before and after cladribine infusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>First infusion</th>
<th>Second infusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>12.25 g/24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine</td>
<td>190 µmol/l</td>
<td>120 µmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-ds DNA</td>
<td>132 IU/ml</td>
<td>58 IU/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.51 g/l</td>
<td>0.67 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.13 g/l</td>
<td>0.14 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3d</td>
<td>22 units/ml</td>
<td>10 units/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference ranges: serum creatinine 50–100 µmol/l, anti-dsDNA: 50–300 IU/ml positive, >300 IU/ml strongly positive, C3: 0.63–1.19 g/l, C4: 0.11–0.43 g/l, C3d: up to 12 units/ml.

or increased temperature. She had no signs of autonomic vasomotor disturbances and arbor mobility was normal. Examination of the remaining peripheral and axial joints was normal.

Blood tests showed creatinine levels of 1.3 mg/dl, calcium of 10.1 mg/dl, phosphate of 3.5 mg/dl and urate of 7.2 mg/dl. Other laboratory findings were normal. Patchy osteoporosis in the knees was seen radiographically. Bone scintigraphy showed intense uptake in both the osseous and vascular phases in the knees (fig 1). Calcitonin treatment was begun (three monthly cycles of 10 intramuscular units/day during 20 days) without clinical improvement. Because of the high serum concentrations of tacrolimus (15 μg/ml) and the ineffective calcitonin treatment, tacrolimus was reduced to 2 mg/day. Nine months after transplantation, she was free of symptoms and radiographs and tacrolimus concentration (5.1 μg/ml) were normal. Changes in plasma tacrolimus concentrations subsequent to the resolution of symptoms did not occur and the patient continued asymptomatic.

We describe a complication in a patient treated with tacrolimus after kidney transplantation that is similar to that described by other authors in transplanted patients treated with cyclosporin. Although the radiographic and bone scintigraphy findings suggested RSDS, the symptoms of this patient were not the classic features of this entity. The efficacy of corticosteroids in the treatment of uncomplicated RSDS has been demonstrated, it is possible that corticosteroids might have a protective role against a full RSDS development, as she was treated with high doses of prednisone after the renal transplantation.

The early onset of symptoms after the administration of the drug and the clinical improvement after the reduction of the immunosuppressive dose, are features that support a possible relation between tacrolimus and the leg bone pain syndrome. The patient had high plasma tacrolimus concentrations at the onset of the clinical symptoms and the improvement appeared only when the drug doses went down. Although recurrence of knee symptoms with an increase in tacrolimus dose would be much stronger proof of this association, it is not ethically justifiable. Furthermore, she was treated with verapamil in addition to other drugs for controlling hypertension. Verapamil might have played a part in a possible increased risk for this clinical complication, because it decreases tacrolimus clearance.6 However, there are reports that calcium channel block-
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spleen shows changes corresponding to those seen in patient 1, which was clearly related to both necrotising vasculitis and hypocoagulable state. Anticoagulation was indicated for inaugural myocardial infarction in case 1 and deep venous thrombosis in case 2, in both cases during active WG flare. Splenectomy was required in both our cases.

Our data suggest that antithrombotic treatment entails a specific risk of bleeding complications in patients with WG vasculitis. When anticoagulation is necessary in WG patients, computed tomography of the abdomen should be systematically performed and, if splenic infarction is disclosed, splenectomy should be considered.
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Amiodarone induced lupus

Lupus related to amiodarone has not previously been described. We report on a patient who developed drug induced lupus (DIL) in association with amiodarone treatment. To our knowledge, this is the first report of amiodarone induced lupus (CD ROM: Medline, USA National Library: 1966–98).

A 41 year old white woman was admitted because of two weeks of pleuritic chest pain, dyspnea on exertion, and non-productive cough. She had malaise, intermittent fever, arthralgia, and weight loss for more than six months. There was no history of Raynaud’s phenomenon, oral ulcers or photosensitivity. She had a six year history of arterial hypertension and atrial fibrillation treated with amiodarone, digital and amiodarone (200 mg twice daily) for the past two years.

Physical examination disclosed malar rash, an aortic systolic murmur (grade II/VI), and hypoventilation in both pulmonary bases. Laboratory studies showed an erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 90 mm 1st h. Peripheral blood examination revealed a mild normochromic and normocytic anaemia (10 g/dl), normal white blood cells count (4000/mcL), with lymphopenia (20 per cent), and normal platelet count (180 000/mcL). Coagulation tests were normal. All serum chemistries, including thyroid function tests, creatinine phosphokinase, immunoglobulins, complement levels, and urine analysis were within normal limits. Coombs’s tests were negative. Rheumatoid factor was 1:320. Circulating immune complexes (IgG-C1q) were positive. Antinuclear antibodies (ANAs) were positive at 1:640; anti-Ro, anti-La, anti-dsDNA, anti-Sm, anti-histone antibodies, antiphospholipid antibodies, cryoglobulins, C reactive protein, VDRL and Mantoux test were negative.

Blood and urine cultures were negative. Electrocardiogram was within normal limits, and the two dimensional echocardiogram showed mild aortic stenosis. Chest radiography revealed bilateral pleural effusions, without fibrosis or cardiomegaly. Pleural fluid was exudative, with lymphocytic predominance, without cytological or bacterial tests for mycobacteria. Cultures of pleural fluid for bacteria, including for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, were negative. Bone scan with technetium-99m showed increase uptake in hands, elbows, and knees. The histopathological examination of biopsy specimens of the skin, including indirect immunofluorescence stain, muscle and temporal artery did not show abnormal features. The amiodarone was stopped and the patient progressively improved. No corticosteroids were given. On the third week she developed a transient relapse, with fever, malaise and with evidence of unilateral pleural effusion. One year after no clinical, analytical or radiological findings were abnormal.

The amiodarone was reinitiated and the patient improved. It is estimated that 3–7% of all patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) might have DIL. Clinical features of SLE and DIL are similar but there are certain distinguishing characteristics between them: the
patients with DIL are usually older; the prevalence of men and women is similar and the presenting symptoms are usually mild, with the patient usually complaining of malaise, fever and arthralgia, with or without arthritis, while skin, central nervous system or renal involvement is rare. Pleuropneumonial disease is frequent and, as in classic SLE, anaemia and leucopenia may be present. Serum complement components are usually normal, ANAs are positive but anti-dsDNA and anti-Sm are negative, while anti-histones antibodies can be detected in most of patients.\textsuperscript{7}

The pathogenic mechanisms proposed for DIL include: cross reactivity between drug and the nucleic acid; hapten complex formation between drug and nucleic acid, or structural damage to the chromosomal DNA; action of drug as an adjuvant or immunostimulant, which, in concert with appropriate immune response genes, triggers polyclonal B/T cell activation; and interference with the complement pathway.\textsuperscript{8}

The incidence of side effects associated with amiodarone ranges from 40\% to 93\% and, in most of cases, these side effects are consequence of its potential to be directly toxic to several organ systems.\textsuperscript{9} However, there is also some evidence of immunologically mediated phenomena related to amiodarone. A positive skin and basophil degranulation tests with amiodarone, secretion of leucocyte inhibitory factor, positive lymphoblastic transformation and circulation of a specific antibody of the IgG class have been described.\textsuperscript{10} Moreover, several studies suggest that various biological and immunological markers of “systemic” disease activity are present in patients taking this drug. Circulating immune complexes, ANAs, and non-specific increase in ESR and white blood cell count, sometimes with eosinophilia, are common findings.\textsuperscript{11}

Now ANA titre is not uncommon in an elderly patient. However, spontaneous SLE in elderly people is not usual and DIL must always be considered in the differential diagnosis. This case, presenting with malaise, fever, arthralgia, circulating immune complexes, and autoantibodies strongly suggests an immunological underlying condition. Moreover, this patient meets four SLE criteria: malar rash, serositis, haematological abnormalities (lymphopenia), and positive ANAs test. Imputability criteria of amiodarone induced lupus are present on a semilogarithmic scale with classic features of DIL and on a chronological basis with disappearance of most of the symptoms after amiodarone withdrawal. The relapse could be explained because of the long elimination half time of the drug and, in consequence, the immune response might progress despite discontinuation of the treatment.

\textbf{Antinuclear antibodies in relapsing polychondritis}

The prevalence of antinuclear antibodies (ANA) in relapsing polychondritis (RP) has been recently reported by Zeuner et al\textsuperscript{1} to be as high as 66\%,\textsuperscript{2} usually in a low titre with a speckled pattern. We report here on our experience of ANA testing in patients with RP.

The charts of 180 patients followed up in our institution fulfilling the criteria for RP proposed by Michet et al\textsuperscript{3} have been recently retrospectively reviewed, with special focus on dermatological manifestations and their relation with myelodysplasia.\textsuperscript{4} This aim led us to exclude 36 patients because the association of RP with potentially confounding diseases,\textsuperscript{5} such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) present in nine, mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD) in five, rheumatoid arthritis in three, Takayasu arteritis in three, spondyloarthritis in three, psoriasis in two, or Lichen planus in two. Among the 144 patients remaining, 111 have ANA > 1/100 in 15 of the 36 patients initially excluded (42\%). Among the nine with SLE, ANA > 1/100 and antibodies to ds-DNA (by Farr assay or Cremophor fluorescence) were found in eight patients. All five patients with MCTD had ANA > 1/1000 (in a speckled pattern in four), with positive antibodies to RNP and negative tests for ds-DNA. The two remain in a majority of patients (73\% and 18\%, respectively). “Significant” titres—that is, titre > 1/100 ANA—were demonstrated in only 10 of 111 patients (9\%). The pattern of fluorescence was as follows: homogeneous in five, homogeneous and speckled in two, speckled and nuclear in one, perinuclear and nuclear in one, and not provided in one. Among those 10 patients, five had clinical or ophthalmological features, or both, suggestive of an associated Sjögren's syndrome.

Moreover, this patient meets four SLE criteria: malar rash, serositis, haematological abnormalities (lymphopenia), and positive ANAs test. Among the nine with SLE, ANA > 1/1000 were found in 15 of the 36 patients initially excluded (42\%). Among the nine with SLE, ANA > 1/100 and antibodies to ds-DNA (by Farr assay or Cremophor fluorescence) were found in eight patients. All five patients with MCTD had ANA > 1/1000 (in a speckled pattern in four), with positive antibodies to RNP and negative tests for ds-DNA. The two remain in a majority of patients (73\% and 18\%, respectively). “Significant” titres—that is, titre > 1/100 ANA—were demonstrated in only 10 of 111 patients (9\%). The pattern of fluorescence was as follows: homogeneous in five, homogeneous and speckled in two, speckled and nuclear in one, perinuclear and nuclear in one, and not provided in one. Among those 10 patients, five had clinical or ophthalmological features, or both, suggestive of an associated Sjögren's syndrome.

Beside the recent article by Zeuner et al,\textsuperscript{1} the prevalence of ANA has rarely been reported in RP. McAdam et al found positive ANA in four of their 18 patients tested (22\%), and noted 3 of 23 (13\%) ANA positivity in a literature review.\textsuperscript{6} Data regarding ANA were not provided in the large series of patients with RP followed up at the Mayo Clinic.\textsuperscript{7} The low prevalence of ANA observed in our cases with “pure” RP—that is, RP not associated with another connective tissue disorder except for a possible Sjögren’s syndrome—agrees with the negative results of tests for IgG antinucleosomes antibodies recently reported by our group in this condition.\textsuperscript{8}

We conclude that: (a) the prevalence of ANA observed in RP is low and, (b) as suggested by other authors\textsuperscript{9} the finding of a significant titre of ANA in a patient with RP strongly suggests the presence of an associated disorder, such as SLE, MCTD, Sjögren’s syndrome or acquired myelodysplasia.

\textbf{figure1}

\textbf{Figure 1} Prevalence of ANA in “pure” RP according to ANA titre.
There is no association between polymyalgia rheumatica and acute parvovirus B19 infection

Parvovirus B19 has been associated with a growing number of diseases. Besides the frequent manifestations such as erythema infectiosum, aplastic crisis in persons with underlying haemolytic anaemia, hydrops fetalis in pregnant women and acute or chronic arthritis a range of rather rare diseases have been described in recent reports. Among them are case reports on persistent parvovirus B19 infection in immune incompetent people, encephalitis, myocarditis, systemic lupus erythematosus (reviewed by Anderson and Young) and rheumatic arthritis. Furthermore, parvovirus B19 has been suspected to play a part in the aetiology of polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR). Because of the acute onset of PMR and its systemic symptoms an infection with the virus may be a relevant factor. Additionally, autoimmune processes have been demonstrated in both, PMR and parvovirus B19 infection. As the receptor for parvovirus B19, the P-blood group antigen (glisoid), is also present on endothelial cells an interrelation between parvovirus B19 and giant cell arteritis or PMR may be possible.

Parvovirus B19 can only replicate in erythroid precursor cells in human bone marrow, but it is known that infection of cells non-permissive for viral replication leads to an excess production of the viral non-structural protein (NS1) without production of capsid protein. It may be that the NS1 protein is cytoytic and able to induce apoptosis, it probably plays a part in the pathogenetic process of the parvovirus B19 induced tissue damage. This is confirmed by the fact that antibodies against NS1 of parvovirus B19 are preferentially produced during chronic or persistent parvovirus B19 infections, for example in parvovirus B19 associated chronic arthritis. To test the hypothesis whether PMR is associated with acute parvovirus B19 infection, we tested the seroprevalence of IgG antibodies against the two structural proteins VP1 and VP2 and against the non-structural protein NS1 in 110 PMR patients (patients with giant cell arteritis excluded; mean (SEM) age 67.0 (0.8) years, range: 48–77 and, for comparison, in 135 healthy controls of different ages. At the time point of blood sampling (median disease duration at the time point of blood sampling: 0.6 years, range: 0–7.3, mean (SEM): 1.4 (0.2) years), 35 patients had no corticosteroids and 75 patients received on an average 15.2 (1.8) mg prednisolone/day. Furthermore, we investigated the correlation between age, symptoms or laboratory parameters and the presence of NS1 specific antibodies in healthy controls and patients with PMR. Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis was used to compare means of different subgroups. The significance level was p<0.05.

Subjects in the control group had various ages between 18 to 75 years. Overall seroprevalence of IgG against the capsid proteins VP1 and VP2 was 78% (fig 1). Overall IgG seroprevalence against VP1 and VP2 was 88% in patients with PMR (not significantly different versus the age matched control group). With respect to the NS1 IgG antibody, overall seroprevalence in the control group was 22% (fig 1) and in patients with PMR 20% (p=0.057 versus the age matched control group). Furthermore, we investigated the association between the presence of NS1 IgG antibodies and PMR related symptoms or laboratory parameters (patients with NS1 as compared with patients without NS1 IgG antibodies were not different in age, sex, and medication). The symptoms were assessed using standard record forms from the medi cal histories (at the time serum was collected). We asked the patients for muscular pain in the left/right shoulder, left/right upper arms, left/right neck, left/right gluteal muscle, and left/right thigh. If one muscle group was painful, the corresponding item score was scored with one point (the sum of the item points was the overall muscle score). In PMR patients with NS1 IgG as compared with patients without NS1 IgG, arthralgia was more frequent (with versus without: 73% vs 40%, p=0.024). However, the overall muscle score was lower in NS1 positive than in NS1 negative patients (0.5 (0.2) SEM vs 1.6 (0.3) SEM score points; p=0.021). With respect to other PMR related symptoms, no significant differences were found. In patients with a positive NS1 IgG antibody, interleukin 6 (4.6 (0.9) SEM vs 11.3 (2.2) SEM; p=0.037) and soluble ELAM (48.2 (4.8) SEM vs 71.4 (5.2) SEM; p=0.024) were significantly lower as compared with patients without NS1 IgG. Furthermore, disease related immune mediators such as interleukin 6 or soluble ELAM were lower in PMR patients with as compared with patients without NS1 IgG. As a positive NS1 IgG indicates an active infection, an acute parvovirus B19 infection does not seem to be a pathogenetic factor in our patients with PMR.
Neutrophil chemotaxis in Behçet’s syndrome

It has been suggested that the marked cellular inflammatory response, which characterises Behçet’s syndrome (BS), may be attributable to increased neutrophil locomotion. However, others disagree. We have re-evaluated chemotaxis of polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMNs) in BS among a greater number of patients in a controlled setting.

Fifty four male BS patients, nine male patients with ankylosing spondylitis, eight with psoriasis, and 37 male healthy controls were studied with 28 female patients with BS and 16 healthy female controls. Behçet patients with severe disease were those with active major vessel and/or eye involvement.

We measured chemotaxis with the “under the agarose method”. The measurements were masked with the assessors not knowing the diagnoses. An inverted microscope fitted with an ocular micrometre disc to measure the migration of neutrophils from middle wells to outer (chemotaxis) and inner wells (chemokinesis) was used. Zymosan activated sera (patients or controls) were used as a source of C5a. Results were expressed as micrometre square (1 mm² = 8 squares). Additionally, the plates were evaluated macroscopically for observation of the migration between neutrophil wells.

Tables 1 and 2 show the results. There were no significant differences between the chemotactic indices of the various groups of patients and controls studied either of sex. Maximal chemotaxis rates in the groups varied from 67% to 100%.

The Boyden millipore filter system has extensively been used for chemotaxis experiments. The agarose method is simple and cheap. This method can preferentially be used to differentiate chemokinesis from chemotactic migration.

There is marked heterogeneity in disease expression in men and women in BS and we recognised that some of the confusion in the literature about neutrophil activity might be related to this. Thus we analysed our data separately for either sex. Although there was a tendency for male patients with severe disease to have higher chemotactic indices this was not statistically significant (p=0.62). We did not study any diseased controls for female patients with BS.

Abdulla and Lehner observed decreased chemotaxis in BS. Fordham et al., on the other hand reported increased chemotaxis, but normal random migration. While Wilkinson, similar to our experience, observed normal chemotaxis in BS, more recently Carletto et al. reported augmented chemotaxis especially in the active phases of the disease. Finally, Ben Ezra et al., among a group of Behçet patients with uveitis could demonstrate increased chemotactic activity among a few of these patients, compared with that observed among patients with other forms of uveitis. They concluded that increased chemotactic activity was not a regular feature of ocular BS (personal communication).

In vivo assays do not differentiate chemotaxis from chemokinesis. In the Carletto study clinically active Behçet patients demonstrated increased chemotaxis to sera by Senn’s modified in vivo assay. Others had found hyperchemotaxis to might have been responsible fractions again by using an in vivo assay. Although it is difficult to compare the results of in vitro and in vivo assays, we thought these reported increases might have resulted from increased chemokinesis. In our experiments we observed maximal chemotaxis (3 mm) frequently, however, we did not find any significant differences in chemotactic indices between diseased and healthy subjects.

An interesting aspect of our study was the migration between neutrophil wells that was observed in many of the Petri dishes. This was observed even though we had not used cellular materials as chemotactic agents. Presumably the gravity of the cellular materials overcame the chemical gradient of zymosan activated sera in some Petri dishes. Because of the observed migration between neutrophil wells, we suggest that there should be only one “triple well rank” in a Petri dish. On the other hand our method of preincubation of the whole blood for 45 minutes at 37°C before harvesting the PMNs (intended for better viability) might have been responsible for this phenomenon by increasing the chemotactic activity in all groups studied. Further studies are needed to clarify these issues.
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Table 2 Chemotactic indices in women*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behçet’s</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.2 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.1 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance, corrected for ties: \( p<0.001 \), \( DF=4, p<0.05 \).

Table 1 Chemotactic indices in men*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behçet’s</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6.4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Behçet’s</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankylosing spondylitis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.9 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.8 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10.0 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supported by TÜBİTAK (Turkish Scientific and Technical Research Council) (TAG 754).**

Neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus

The considerable difficulties in making sense of the literature on patients with lupus involving the central nervous system are re-emphasised in the paper by Rood et al. The authors, who to be fair take a sensibly cautious approach to their results, nevertheless seek to persuade us that the IL10 locus is associated with neuropsychiatric lupus on the basis of a historical case notes review of 42 patients with neuropsychiatric disease, compared with 50 who lack such involvement.

Their conclusion needs to be treated with caution. Does it make sense to lump together 42 highly diverse patients and make the kind of claim they have made? The authors suggest that CNS lupus is attributable to either antiphospholipid antibody related thrombotic events, or “immune mediated” disease. This division is artificial. There is a considerable literature on CNS lupus that proposes that a wide variety of immunopathogenic mechanisms may be responsible in individual cases. These mechanisms include thrombotic effects, which may be linked to antiphospholipid antibodies, a true vasculitis, a cross reaction between antibodies that recognise the lymphocyte surface targets and neurologically active antigens, and antibodies to a wide variety of neurological targets. A considerably larger number of patients will have to be studied before any claims of links to an IL10 promoter haplotype can be truly convincing.

We agree with the authors that patients with SLE have a higher innate production of IL10 than controls. However, as there is no significant difference in the frequency of the IL10 promoter single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), n SLE patients when
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compared with controls in their study, we suspect that the difference in IL10 production in terms of the IL10 SNP alleles frequencies is not attributable to functional differences between patients with SLE and controls. Differences have been described with respect to microsatellites and one awaits confirmation from other populations or family studies. To our knowledge, a difference in IL10 production between patients with neuropsychiatric disease SLE and non-neuropsychiatric disease SLE has not been described. The described associations would be biologically meaningless if IL10 production is similar between these two groups. The authors suggest that the -1082A allele is associated with a higher innate IL10 production, however, they appear to ignore the only published study to date that showed that the A allele was associated with lower IL10 production. In addition we have confirmed that the A allele is associated with lower IL10 production in transient transfec-
tion studies and the ATA/ATA genotype is associated with IL10 producing cells in whole blood culture. The increase in the A allele is mainly accounted for by an increase in the ATA haplotype in their neuropsychiat-
ritic disease patients and therefore they are descriptors associated with a low IL10 producing haplotype, not a high IL10 pro-
ducing haplotype. One interpretation of this would be that patients with neuropsychiatric disease symptoms are unable to adequately control inflammation from a variety of different pathological mechanisms because of low IL10 production.

Authors’ reply

We thank Drs Isenberg, Crawley and Woo for their interest in our paper. They argued that the dichotomy of the pathogenesis of CNS lupus in “immune mediated” and thromboembolic disease is too rigid, because pathogenetic mechanisms can be deemed responsible for CNS lupus. As the hallmark of SLE is the production of autoantibodies, it seems to be justified to assume that the pathogenesis of CNS lupus is B cell mediated. Based upon this assumption we clustered the individual neuropsychiatric dis-
 ease SLE patients and tested the hypothesis that a genetic marker in the promoter of the IL10 gene is associated with the phenotype of CNS-SLE.

In general, a positive result in a genetic association study is only possible after a cor-
rect definition of the phenotype. After all, if the phenotype is not defined, the magnitude and statistical significance of the association will be less or lost because of the random distribution of the genetic marker in the misclassified patients. If misclassification occurred in the sense that CNS lupus patients were attributed to the non-
neuropsychiatric disease SLE population, the fact that we still found a positive result strengthens our conclusions instead of weakens it.

It might be argued that thromboembolic events do not fit in the pathogenic model of B cell mediated CNS lupus. But, as stated clearly in the article, even after exclusion of these ambiguous cases, the distribution of the frequencies in the neuropsychiatric dis-
 ease SLE and non-neuropsychiatric disease SLE patients remains the same.

Of course we agree with the notion that our findings must be repeated in another group of patients. Interestingly, the increased preva-
 lence of ATA in neuropsychiatric disease SLE patients has already been reported by Mok in a group of Chinese SLE patients. Currently we are investigating the distribution of the IL10 promoter haplotypes of neuropsychiatric disease SLE patients in an ethnically different population.

In our article we have elaborated on two possible explanations of our findings. Firstly, the increased frequency of the ATA haplotype might be associated with an increased pro-
duction of IL10. We made this assumption in the light of previous studies stating that SLE as a whole is characterised by an increased innate IL10 production.1 It is wrong to extrapolate these conclusions to our popu-
lation. Because of the retrospective character of our study, we were not able to measure IL10 production in IL10 promoter genotypes, so we cannot say whether or not IL10 production in our SLE patients as a whole was similar to or different from the control population. It might well be that differences in IL10 production would only emerge after stratifying into neuropsychiatric disease SLE and non-neuropsychiatric disease SLE patients. Furthermore, it might be that in the popula-
tions mentioned in our article, there was an excess of patients with neuropsychiatric disease SLE.

The second explanation for the skewing found in IL10 promoter polymorphisms might be that the ATA/ATA genotypes in IL10 promoter polymorphisms in the IL10 promoter gene promoter. Eur J Immunol-
genet 1997;24:1–8.

A man with intermittent fever and arthralgia

Knight and Symmons report a very interesting case of a man with Whipple’s disease and provide us with a timely update on this rare condition. They describe how six months after initial presentation the diagnosis of adult onset Still’s disease (AOSD) was made and despite regular review at several centres, this diagnosis was upheld for a further five years. Multiple investigations were performed adding little to the original diagnosis. It is not mentioned whether a serum ferritin was taken. This may have been useful given the initial diagnosis of AOSD as it might have resulted in questioning this diagnosis, permitting an earlier diagnosis of Whipple’s disease. It is widely reported in the literature, as far back as 1975,1 that increased serum ferritin may be of use in both diagnosis2 and moni-
toring of AOSD.3 Hyperferritinaemia is not however exclusive to AOSD, as various malignancies, hepatic necrosis and haemachromatosis can all cause it. However, values up to 25 000 µg/l have been recorded in AOSD and reportedly, values rarely exceed 3–5000 µg/l in the above conditions. The pathogenesis is not clearly understood, but it has been hypothesised that in AOSD, cytokine upregulation of ferritin mRNA
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A man with intermittent fever and arthralgia

Knight and Symmons report a very interesting case of a man with Whipple’s disease and provide us with a timely update on this rare condition. They describe how six months after initial presentation the diagnosis of adult onset Still’s disease (AOSD) was made and despite regular review at several centres, this diagnosis was upheld for a further five years. Multiple investigations were performed adding little to the original diagnosis. It is not mentioned whether a serum ferritin was taken. This may have been useful given the initial diagnosis of AOSD as it might have resulted in questioning this diagnosis, permitting an earlier diagnosis of Whipple’s disease. It is widely reported in the literature, as far back as 1975,1 that increased serum ferritin may be of use in both diagnosis2 and moni-
toring of AOSD.3 Hyperferritinaemia is not however exclusive to AOSD, as various malignancies, hepatic necrosis and haemachromatosis can all cause it. However, values up to 25 000 µg/l have been recorded in AOSD and reportedly, values rarely exceed 3–5000 µg/l in the above conditions. The pathogenesis is not clearly understood, but it has been hypothesised that in AOSD, cytokine upregulation of ferritin mRNA
translation may occur. This compares with the iron regulated pathway of ferritin synthesis in haemachromatosis and iron overload syndromes.

A comparative study of diagnostic criteria in AOSD by Mason et al suggest the Yamaguchi criteria are superior to the others tested, including Cush et al quoted by Knight and Symmons. However, none of the criteria to aid diagnosis make use of serum ferritin measurement despite the claims for its use in the literature and acceptance in clinical practice. Although undoubtedly useful if very high, it is not clear what the relevance of a normal value in AOSD is, in a case satisfying clinical diagnostic criteria (although we have never seen such a case). In rare diseases such as AOSD, it is difficult to assess and evaluate diagnostic criteria and calculate sensitivity and specificity of possible disease markers. If serum were stored on this patient it would be interesting to know the serum ferritin measurement and how, if at all, it would have affected this patient’s management.

MARK QUINN
ANDREW GOUGH
Department of Rheumatology, Old Home, Leeds General Infirmary, Great George Street, Leeds, West Yorkshire, LS1 3EX.
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Authors’ reply

We thank Drs Quinn and Gough for their interest in our paper. Our patient did have his serum ferritin measured in 1992. It was 197 μg/l (normal range 15–200). This was therefore a situation in which the patient satisfied clinical diagnostic criteria for adult onset Still’s disease (AOSD) but had a normal ferritin concentration. As the authors point out, had the ferritin concentration been high, this would have helped to confirm the diagnosis but given that it was in the normal range, it could not actually be used to refute the diagnosis. It was always felt that this patient’s disease was not typical of AOSD and the various physicians who looked after the patient were always willing to consider alternatives. However, it is difficult, even with the benefit of hindsight, to conclude that Whipple’s disease could have been diagnosed earlier. Although the normal serum ferritin was not in keeping with the diagnosis of AOSD it did not point towards any other diagnosis in particular.

DEBORAH SYMONS
S M KNIGHT
Rheumatology, Macclesfield District General Hospital, Victoria Road, Macclesfield, Cheshire SK10 3BL

Ear, ear, what’s going on in Norfolk?

Having recently started work in the rheumatology department of the Norfolk and Norwich Hospital I read with great interest the article on Hug(h)e(s’) ears: an unusual presentation of antiphospholipid syndrome. Ann Rheum Dis 1999;58:65–6.

Authors’ reply

We note with interest the report from Dr Gaffney. While the coincidence is indeed curious, these cases do suggest possible mechanisms for activation of thrombosis. The external ear is characterised particularly by a lower average temperature than core body temperature, and by its susceptibility to trauma and pressure effects. In our case, cryoglobulins were not identified, and no comment in this regard is made by the authors. There is no specific reference to any aural trauma, though presumably, as in our case, it is difficult to assess what pressure was exerted on the external ear during sleep. It is plausible that such pressure causes a degree of blood stasis, which together with inadequate anticoagulation, resulted in thrombosis. Such speculation may be interesting, but it is this latter point that deserves emphasis—patients with antiphospholipid syndrome who have had thrombi will do so again, potentially with serious consequences, if the INR is not scrupulously maintained above 3.0, a message that must be spread widely: “Friends, Norfolk countrymen, lend me your ears!”
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Neutrophil chemotaxis in Behçet’s syndrome
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Updated information and services can be found at:
http://ard.bmj.com/content/58/10/658.1

These include:
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