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Abstract

Objective—It has been hypothesised, mainly on the basis of indirect evidence, that low serum concentrations of androgen-anabolic hormones would play a causal part in the aetiology of rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Methods—A case-control study was nested with a Finnish cohort of 19 072 adults who had neither arthritis nor a history of it at the baseline examination during 1973–1977. Pre-illness serum specimens for the assay of testosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEAS) were available from 116 cases who had developed RA by late 1989. Three controls per each incident case were individually matched for sex, age, and municipality.

Results—The mean testosterone concentration was 1.4 nmol/l in those 54 women who developed RA and 1.4 nmol/l in their controls; the corresponding figures for DHEAS were 5.2 µmol/l and 5.5 µmol/l, respectively. Mean testosterone concentration in the 32 male cases was 26.1 nmol/l and 26.4 nmol/l in their controls; the corresponding figures for DHEAS were 11.2 µmol/l and 10.1 µmol/l, respectively. Analysis by subgroups (rheumatoid factor positive and negative disease, premenopausal and postmenopausal women) and by hormone distributions showed no differences.

Conclusion—The findings are not in line with the contention that low concentrations of testosterone and DHEAS play a part in the aetiology of RA.


Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) occurs more commonly in women than in men, especially during the childbearing years, suggesting that sex hormones are somehow involved in its aetiology. Further evidence for the role of hormones comes from the observation of amelioration of the disease during pregnancy. Findings concerning the long term protective effect of pregnancy as well as protection afforded by contraceptive pills and postmenopausal replacement oestrogens have been controversial.

For the above reasons it was logical to look for differences in the sex hormone status of RA patients compared with controls. The main sex hormones that are biologically active are oestradiol and testosterone. Dehydroepiandrosterone and its sulphate conjugate (DHEAS) are the major secretory products of the adrenal gland, but their functions are, as yet, largely unknown.

In women DHEA acts as a weak androgen, whereas in men it may have oestrogen-like effects.

No significant differences between RA patients and controls have been observed in the values of oestradiol. A consensus exists that lower concentrations of testosterone occur in men with RA; the same may hold for DHEAS, although one study failed to show any difference. In women the findings have been controversial. The reasons for this may in part be dependent on the selection of controls: healthy volunteers compared with patients with osteoarthritis.

Yet the problem remains of whether the observed dysfunctions or deficiencies of androgen-anabolic hormones in RA may be playing a causal part or are only secondary to the disease. Some evidence is available that the difference can be explained at least partially by disease activity. On the other hand, associations have been reported between HLA and both susceptibility to RA and low testosterone concentrations.

According to a preliminary report, low DHEAS concentrations in premenopausal women were found to precede clinically manifest RA. We here approach the issue on the basis of a larger series of pre-RA specimens from both men and women.

Methods

During 1973–1977, the Social Insurance Institution’s Mobile Clinic Unit undertook multiphasic health examinations in 12 municipalities in four regions of Finland, in which the main emphasis was on the risk factors for cardiovascular disorders. In each of the four geographical regions, all inhabitants or a random sample of inhabitants of one rural municipality and one urban or semirural municipality as well as the employees of one factory were invited to attend the examination. A total of 19 518 subjects who were 20 years of age or older (83% of those invited) participated in the examinations. The mean age of the subjects was 45 years (age range 20–98).

The population at risk (19 072 subjects) consisted of participants who had no previous history of arthritis or other rheumatic disease, according to the Social Insurance Institution’s population register or to a questionnaire administered at the baseline examinations. Morbidity and mortality of all examinees were followed up continuously. Participants in the survey who later developed chronic arthritis were identified by linking the survey data with the Social Insurance Institution’s population register up to the end of 1989, using the unique social security code assigned to each Finnish
Table 1  Mean concentrations of serum testosterone (nmol/l) and dihydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEAS, μmol/l) in incident cases with RF positive RA, RF negative RA, and their matched controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population group</th>
<th>RF positive RA</th>
<th>RF negative RA</th>
<th>RA in total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex hormone</td>
<td>No of sets</td>
<td>Cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All men</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All women</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women aged &lt;50 at the onset of RA</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women aged ≥50 at entry</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Relative odds, with 95% confidence intervals, of RF positive RA, RF negative RA, and RA in total between quintiles* of serum testosterone and DHEAS concentrations in men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>RF positive RA</th>
<th>RF negative RA</th>
<th>RA in total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No of sets</td>
<td>No of controls</td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>I (Lowest)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (Highest)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>I (Lowest)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (Highest)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>I (Lowest)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (Highest)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DHEAS</td>
<td>I (Lowest)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V (Highest)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The quintiles are based on the distributions of concentrations among controls. Quintile divisions are, for testosterone (nmol/l) <18.61, 18.61–23.43, 23.44–28.31, 28.32–32.13, >32.14 among men and <0.81, 0.81–1.13, 1.16–1.45, 1.46–1.86, >1.86 among women, for DHEAS (μmol/l) <5.98, 5.99–9.27, 9.28–10.27, 10.28–13.73, >13.73 among men and <2.80, 2.80–4.04, 4.05–5.41, 5.42–7.45, >7.45 among women.
Significance of the differences in mean concentrations of serum testosterone and DHEAS between RA cases and their matched controls was tested by the paired t test. The conditional logistic model was used to estimate the associations between serum hormone concentrations and RA risk. Relative odds with 95% confidence intervals were computed for quintiles of serum testosterone and DHEAS concentrations. The quintile limits were based on hormone distributions among controls. To test linear trends, serum testosterone and DHEAS were also entered as continuous variables into the models. Likelihood ratio tests were computed on the basis of these models.

Results
No differences were noted in the concentrations of serum testosterone or DHEAS between the cases who developed RA and their controls either men or women (fig 1). No significant associations between the hormones and RA risk were found even when RF positive and RF negative RA and the age groups of women were analysed separately (table 1), or when the relative risks were estimated for quintiles of serum testosterone and DHEAS (table 2). Furthermore, there were no significant clusters in the distributions of hormone concentrations in women of different age groups (data not shown).

Discussion
Over the past 10 years, considerable interest has been devoted towards measuring levels of circulating steroid hormones in epidemiological studies. Much research has been undertaken in view of the possibility that certain hormone profiles may predispose people to the development of illnesses such as coronary heart disease and cancer. Nearly all the studies were based on serum specimens stored for long periods. Findings have been contradictory, with some studies showing significant differences between cases and controls and several others failing to do so.

For the purpose of the work described here, we chose to study testosterone and DHEAS. In men, testosterone is the predominant steroid hormone produced by the Leydig cells of the testes. About half the testosterone production in adult women is normally derived from peripheral tissue conversion of its adrenal precursors and the other half is synthesised in the ovaries and in the adrenal. DHEA is secreted almost entirely by the adrenal after which it is
sulphated in the liver to become hormonally inactive DHEAS. It is the most abundant steroid in the serum of adults, both men and non-pregnant women. Because of its long half life and high concentrations, DHEAS does not show a discernible diurnal variation and is a good marker of adrenal androgen production, at least in women.

No difference emerged in the hormone concentrations between our cases and controls. These findings were consistent between men and women and other subgroups of the study population. As we did not measure the sex hormone binding globulin, there remains the possibility that there could have been some difference in the free testosterone concentrations. The total number of cases was sufficiently large to exclude the possibility of β error resulting from a small number of cases. It is, however, appropriate to deal with RF positive and RF negative cases separately. RF positive RA may represent a single disease entity, whereas RF negative RA is almost certainly a heterogeneous mixture of disease conditions. For instance, a collaborative Finnish-Russian study of patients from Heinola (Finland) and Moscow provided evidence that RF negative RA as seen in the above two centres differed in clinical and immunogenetic characteristics.

In studies of sex hormones, men and women need to be analysed separately. Furthermore, premenopausal and postmenopausal women may behave in a different fashion. The power of our study to refute the possibility that premenopausal women later developing RA would have lowered DHEAS levels as suggested by Masi et al is not very strong. On the other hand, it must be considered that the above finding by Masi et al was based on 11 cases and there was no prior hypothesis that there would be a difference particularly in premenopausal women.

Different studies have shown a wide variation in testosterone and DHEAS levels. Variation in laboratory methods obviously accounts for most of this difference, yet some differences may occur among populations. Accordingly, the possibility cannot be wholly excluded that low concentration is a predictor of disease in one population but not in another. A problem in virtually all epidemiological studies dealing with circulating steroid hormones as predictors of disease is that they have been based on single point estimations. Fairly good evidence is available from short-term studies that intra-individual variability in hormone concentrations is much smaller than interindividual variation; however, the correlation coefficients among specimens taken from the same person tend to decline with time. To our knowledge no studies have been published on the persistence of steroid hormone concentration over periods comparable to those used for the prediction of hormone concentrations for disease and its genetic control of testosterone and DHEAS concentrations seems to exist in humans, favouring the view that single point estimations can be used as predictors of disease occurrence. A direct study along these lines is in progress.

In addition to the equivocal observations concerning circulating sex steroids, there is indirect evidence supporting the view that low androgen concentrations are involved in the aetiology of RA. In particular, attention has been paid on sex ratios of offspring of patients with RA. It has been hypothesised that the sexes of mammalian (including human) offspring are partially controlled by parental hormone concentrations at the time of conception. Some evidence exists that women with RA are more likely to have daughters rather than sons. If androgen-anabolic hormones indeed play a part, it may well be that sex hormone receptors are the key.

Our data for now do not favour the view that circulating concentrations of the above hormones are strongly related to RA risk. Entirely different approaches are probably needed to further elucidate the putative endocrinological basis of RA.
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