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Abstract

Objective—To investigate whether spinal osteoarthritis (OA) is responsible for the common finding that lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD) is greater when measured in the anteroposterior plane than when measured in the lateral plane.

Methods—We studied lateral spine radiographs from 63 women who attended a hospital outpatient department for bone density measurement and who also underwent lumbar spine radiography. Osteoarthritis was assessed using both the Kellgren and Lawrence scale and a scoring system for osteophytosis. Bone density was measured in the anteroposterior and lateral planes using a Hologic QDR-2000 instrument.

Results—The mean anteroposterior BMD (0.92 g/cm²) was significantly greater than the lateral BMD (0.59 g/cm²) (p < 0.01), and the difference between anteroposterior and lateral measurements was significantly associated with both increasing Kellgren and Lawrence score and osteophyte score, even after adjustment for age.

Conclusion—These data suggest that spinal OA is a major cause of the difference between anteroposterior and lateral BMD and that lateral BMD may provide a more accurate representation of true vertebral body bone density in patients with OA of the lumbar spine.

Measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) is an important technique in both diagnosis and management of osteoporosis. Vertebral BMD is usually measured in the anteroposterior plane, though this method may give falsely high values in the presence of lumbar spondylosis or osteoarthritis, especially when associated with osteophytes. BMD measured in the lateral plane does not include the posterior process of the vertebral body or any coexisting osteophytes and consequently may give a more accurate representation of true vertebral body BMD. We investigated the effects of lumbar spine osteoarthritis on both anteroposterior and lateral BMD in a cohort of women attending an outpatient clinic for BMD measurement.

Patients and methods

Sixty three women (mean age 61.2 years, range 34–87 years), attending the Medical Physics Department for BMD measurement, were studied. BMD was measured in both anteroposterior and lateral planes, at the same appointment, by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) using a Hologic QDR 2000 instrument. The reproducibility of BMD measurements in the anteroposterior and lateral projections has been shown to be 0.8% and 2.1%, respectively, using this instrument.4 All subjects had undergone standardised lumbar spine radiography within the preceding three years. Osteoarthritis was assessed by a single blinded observer using the Kellgren and Lawrence scale5 with a of score 0–4 (4 = maximum arthritis). In addition, osteophytosis was scored on a scale of 0–3 (3 = maximum osteophytosis) at each individual lumbar vertebra using the method of Lane et al.6 The presence or absence of facet joint disease was noted.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were analysed using linear regression for continuously distributed variables, and analysis of variance to compare groups. Adjustments for age were performed in a multiple regression model.

Results

The mean anteroposterior BMD was significantly greater than the mean lateral BMD at L3 (0.92 g/cm² and 0.59 g/cm², respectively, p < 0.001) (fig 1). Each individual subject also had a greater anteroposterior BMD than lateral BMD. Increasing anteroposterior BMD was associated with greater Kellgren and Lawrence scores (p = 0.002) and increasing osteophyte score (p < 0.001) when adjusted for age (table). No such association was found with lateral BMD measurements, even after adjustment for age as a confounder.

The percentage difference between anteroposterior and lateral BMD measurements ([anteroposterior BMD – lateral BMD] / anteroposterior BMD) × 100) was significantly associated with increasing Kellgren and Lawrence scores (p = 0.003; analysis of variance) and increasing osteophyte score (p = 0.004; analysis of variance) (fig 2). Adjustment for age did little to alter these associations and they remained highly significant (Kellgren and Lawrence score: p = 0.008; osteophyte score: p = 0.011 (analysis of variance)). In addition, the associations were not related to the extent of facet joint osteoarthritis, as assessed from the anteroposterior spinal radiographs.
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**Figure 1** Relation between lumbar spine bone density values obtained in anteroposterior (mean 0.93 (SD 0.21) g/cm²) and lateral (mean 0.59 (0.13) g/cm²) projections among 63 women.

**Figure 2** Difference (as percentage of the anteroposterior value) in lumbar spine bone density on anteroposterior and lateral projections among 63 women, categorised according to degree of osteoarthritis using an overall Kellgren and Lawrence score, and using a score for osteophyte alone (at L3).

**Discussion**

This study supports previously published data suggesting that osteophytosis at the margin of the intervertebral disc is associated with increased bone mineral density in the anteroposterior plane of the lumbar spine. In addition, it suggests that measurement of lateral BMD may assist the interpretation of anteroposterior measurements in subjects with spinal osteoarthritis.

Anteroposterior BMD measurement includes the posterior spinal elements, rich in cortical bone, whilst lateral BMD is calculated from the vertebral body, primarily trabecular bone. This is one reason why all our subjects, independent of osteoarthritis score, had a greater BMD measured in the anteroposterior than in the lateral plane (fig 1). Our observation that lateral BMD was not influenced by osteoarthritis score is explained by the inclusion of the vertebral body alone in the lateral projection, and supports the usefulness of lateral BMD measurement in this setting. Using the percentage difference between anteroposterior and lateral BMD as a variable allowed us to adjust for most confounders on the assumption that they have the same effect on BMD in both planes. Increasing osteoarthritis score (Kellgren and Lawrence and disc margin osteophyte) was associated with increasing percentage differences in anteroposterior and lateral BMD (fig 2), suggesting that osteoarthritis, and especially osteophytosis at this location, contribute significantly to the differences seen in anteroposterior and lateral BMD measurement (fig 1).

Lateral DEXA has a similar accuracy, but considerably lower reproducibility, compared with anteroposterior DEXA. Moreover, one study has shown that BMD measured by lateral DEXA and BMD measured by quantitative computed tomography are more closely correlated than is either measure with anteroposterior BMD. The main difficulties associated with lateral DEXA are caused by the ribs and the pelvic rim interfering with L2 and L4 BMD, respectively. As a consequence of these problems, we chose L3 BMD measurement for this study. We also used both Kellgren and Lawrence and individual osteophyte scores, as the former is a global assessment of lumbar spine osteoarthritis, whilst the latter is an assessment of each individual vertebral. As expected, there was a significant positive correlation between the two methods. A further practical difficulty with the lateral measurement in arthritic patients was positioning of the arms.

There remains uncertainty over the comparative discriminatory ability of lateral and anteroposterior BMD measurements for vertebral deformity. Del Rio et al compared 185 patients with 1554 controls and found that the anteroposterior measurement discriminated between the two groups better than did the lateral one (mean anteroposterior difference −3.0 SD; mean lateral difference −2.5 SD). Similar findings were reported by Bjarnason et al. However, these observations contrast with those of a third study in which lateral measurements were found to discriminate spine fracture cases from controls more explicitly than those made in the anteroposterior projection.

Several studies are consistent with a generalised increase in bone mineral density among patients with osteoarthritis. Although facet joint osteoarthritis was not a significant determinant of BMD in our study, it remains possible that the negative association between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis stems from a combination of real and artefactual changes.

---

**Table 1** Lumbar spine (L3) bone mineral density (BMD) of 63 women in anteroposterior (AP) and lateral projections, according to degree of spinal osteoarthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of osteoarthritis</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>AP BMD (g/cm²)</th>
<th>p†</th>
<th>Lateral BMD (g/cm²)</th>
<th>p†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K &amp; L</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0.897 (0.027)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.592 (0.017)</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>1.042 (0.066)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.594 (0.048)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>0.896 (0.054)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.587 (0.016)</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>1.128 (0.090)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.623 (0.069)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMD values are mean (SEM). K & L = Kellgren and Lawrence grade of osteoarthritis. p value for difference between two categories of osteoarthritis, after adjusting for age in an analysis of variance.

---

BMD values are mean (SEM). K & L = Kellgren and Lawrence grade of osteoarthritis. p value for difference between two categories of osteoarthritis, after adjusting for age in an analysis of variance.
Aortic calcification is another potential confounder, but it was seen in only two patients in our group, probably because the mean age of the subjects was only about 60 years. Furthermore, the contribution of aortic calcification to anteroposterior BMD is controversial and a recent study suggested that it had little effect. Finally, this study may overestimate the importance of spinal OA. The subjects were selected on the basis that they had required recent spine radiography, and were thus likely to have had a greater prevalence of spine OA than that expected in the general population.

As age is associated with both a decrease in BMD and an increase in the prevalence of osteoarthritis, we expected that age adjustment might reduce the relationship between the anteroposterior-lateral difference and Kellgren and Lawrence grade. Although the prevalence of osteoarthritis increased with age (mean age of subjects with Kellgren and Lawrence scores 0 or 1 = 57-5 years, with Kellgren and Lawrence scores 2-4 = 73.9 years), we found only a weak positive relationship between anteroposterior-lateral difference and age ($r = 0.17, p = 0.17$). Furthermore, in the regression model which included both age and Kellgren and Lawrence grade, the latter exerted the greatest effect, with little additional variation in anteroposterior-lateral difference explained by age.

The lower reproducibility and technical difficulties associated with lateral BMD measurement clearly serve to limit the application of this method, but our results suggest that lateral rather than conventional anteroposterior BMD may be more accurate among subjects with degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Longitudinal studies are required to explore further the diagnostic sensitivity of this approach in the general population.
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