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Abstract

Objectives—Previous reports have shown that HLA-DR4 may be a severity marker for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in patients of northern European origin. We carried out a prospective comparative study between British patients with RA at Guy’s Hospital, London and Greek patients with RA from the department of internal medicine, Ioannina, performed by one observer. This study reported significant clinical differences in disease expression between the two patient groups, including more radiological erosive disease, poorer functional status, and a higher frequency of extra-articular manifestations in the British group. Furthermore, an immunogenetic study of the same Greek patients with RA revealed that only 43% had HLA-DR4 or the so-called ‘shared RA epitope’, or both.

These observations, coupled with previous reports in which DR4 has been proposed as a severity marker for RA, prompted the present study, which attempts to address the question whether DR4 is a severity marker of disease in Greek patients with RA.

Subjects and methods

PATIENTS

Eighty-four unrelated adult Greek patients with RA, selected according to the revised 1987 American Rheumatism Association (ARA) criteria, were studied. The patients were followed at the rheumatology outpatient clinic, department of internal medicine, University Hospital of Ioannina. From the patients’ medical records the following data were collected: age, sex, disease duration, arthritis of small and large joints, extra-articular manifestations such as rheumatoid nodules, Raynaud’s phenomenon, serositis (pleurisy or pericarditis), skin vasculitis, livedo reticulatis, lymphadenopathy, and the presence of Sjögren’s syndrome. Information on disease modifying drugs and their side effects (due to intramuscular gold salts or D-penicillamine) were also recorded. The following were considered as side effects: skin rashes, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, proteinuria, myasthenia gravis, lupus-like syndrome, or pemphigus. The hand radiographs of all patients were evaluated using the Steinbrocker score. Additionally, the following laboratory variables were collected: packed cell volume, white blood cell count, platelet count, urine analysis, rheumatoid factor titre (latex fixation, positive titre ≥40), antinuclear antibodies by indirect immunofluorescence using Hep-2 cell lines, and antibodies to extractable nuclear antigens by counterimmunoelectrophoresis.

Several studies have shown that rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in Greece appears to differ on clinical, serological, and immunogenetic grounds from the RA found in patients of northern European origin. We carried out a prospective comparative study between British patients with RA at Guy’s Hospital, London and Greek patients with RA from the department of internal medicine, Ioannina, performed by one observer. This study reported significant clinical differences in disease expression between the two patient groups, including more radiological erosive disease, poorer functional status, and a higher frequency of extra-articular manifestations in the British group. Furthermore, an immunogenetic study of the same Greek patients with RA revealed that only 43% had HLA-DR4 or the so-called ‘shared RA epitope’, or both.

These observations, coupled with previous reports in which DR4 has been proposed as a severity marker for RA, prompted the present study, which attempts to address the question whether DR4 is a severity marker of disease in Greek patients with RA.

Methods

HLA class II typing by restriction fragment length polymorphism

DNA was extracted from blood samples by standard procedures. Restriction fragment length polymorphism for DRB was determined according to established methods.8 9

Polymerase chain reaction amplification and oligonucleotide hybridisation

Subtypes of HLA-DR4 were investigated at the nucleotide sequence level using polymerase chain reaction amplification as previously described.3 10 Subtypes of HLA-DR1 and the HLA-DRw6 complex were also examined by polymerase chain reaction amplification and oligonucleotide hybridisation as previously described.3 11

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis Student’s t test and the χ² test were used, with Yates’s correction where necessary. Results are expressed as mean (SD) values.

Results

Table 1 summarises the clinical data of the two groups of patients with RA (DR4 positive and DR4 negative). The sex, mean age, mean disease duration, seropositivity, number of active joints, and incidence of antibodies to Ro (SSA) did not differ significantly between the two groups. When the extra-articular manifestations and the presence of Sjögren’s syndrome together were analysed in the two groups of patients with RA, it was found that the DR4 negative patients presented this combination more often than DR4 positive patients (47% v 19%; χ²=4.21; p<0.05). Changes in the hand radiographs did not differ significantly between the two groups of patients examined (table 2). The DR4 positive patients had more side effects due to disease modifying drugs (43%) than the DR4 negative patients (36%), but this difference was not statistically significant.

Dw15 was the most common variant and was observed in nine patients (43% of DR4 positive patients). The other DR4 subtypes (Dw4, Dw14, Dw13) were also detected but the numbers were too small for statistical analysis. An interesting observation was that all the Dw4, Dw14, and Dw13 patients were seropositive for rheumatoid factor. There was no difference in clinical manifestations among patients with different DR4 subtypes.

The Dw10 variant was not detected in our patients with RA. The HLA-DRβ1 chains of Dw4, Dw14, and Dw15 variants of DR4, DR1, and DRw10 share a similar third hypervariable region amino acid sequence (QRKAA/QRRAA/RRAA on the single letter amino acid code), which is called ‘the shared epitope’.12 When the clinical data for the patients with ‘the shared RA epitope’ was compared with the rest of the patients with RA, no statistical difference was observed. The Dw16 variant of Dw6 has a similar sequence (QRRAA) but it was not detected in our patients.

Discussion

Rheumatoid arthritis can present with a wide clinical spectrum of expression from mild to severe articular disease with or without extra-articular manifestations. It is associated with HLA-DR4 or DR1 and molecular analysis of the third hypervariable region of these molecules has revealed a similar molecular epitope which has substantiated the ‘shared epitope’ hypothesis first proposed by Gregersen et al.12 The implications for the presentation of unknown rheumatoid antigens to T cells is obvious. Since the discovery of the association of RA with HLA-DR4,13 14 various workers have attempted to define prognostic markers based on the presence or absence of HLA-DR4; some workers have suggested that patients with RA who are HLA-DR4 positive have more severe articular disease associated with extra-articular manifestations,5 15-17 so that, for example, 96–100% of patients with RA and Felty’s syndrome are DR4 positive,18-20 whereas other workers have not found these associations.11 12

Rheumatoid arthritis in Greece is a milder joint disorder than RA in England,2 with significantly fewer extra-articular manifestations and an almost total absence of Felty’s syndrome.2 These clinical differences may be partly based on immunogenetic differences as previously described by serological typing21 and extended by the present molecular analysis in which 43% of Greek patients with RA were shown to possess the disease susceptibility hypervariable region compared with British patients in whom it was present in over 80%.2 22 In the present study, evaluation of the articular and extra-articular manifestations of Greek patients with RA with or without HLA-DR4 or its subtypes did not reveal any

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>HLA-DR4+ (n=21)</th>
<th>HLA-DR4- (n=63)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>54/9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>58.2 (12.5)</td>
<td>54.1 (11.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) disease duration (years)</td>
<td>11.0 (8.9)</td>
<td>9.6 (6.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity (%)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with antibodies to Ro (SSA)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) No of active joints</td>
<td>5.7(4.2)</td>
<td>6.2 (6.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with extra-articular features*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with Sjögren’s syndrome</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with EMSS†</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with side effects‡</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS=not significant.

*Rheumatoid nodules, Raynaud’s phenomenon, serositis, skin vasculitis, livedo reticularis, and lymphadenopathy.

†Extra-articular features and Sjögren’s syndrome.

‡Side effects due to sodium aurothiomalate or d-penicillamine (rash, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia, proteinuria).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage of radiographs positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HLA-DR4+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant differences. The observation that Greek patients with RA with extra-articular manifestations belong to the DR4 negative group is due mainly to Sjögren's syndrome, as analysis of the extra-articular features of the patients excluding Sjögren's syndrome yielded no statistical differences between patients with RA with and without HLA-DR4 or its subtypes. Also of interest is the observation that all Greek patients with RA with the HLA-DR4 subtypes Dw4, Dw14, or Dw13 were seropositive for rheumatoid factor. Dw4 and Dw14 variants have previously been associated with seropositive RA. The mechanisms by which these DR4 Dw subtypes influence rheumatoid factor production is not known. Rheumatoid factor seropositivity in most studies, however, has been associated with severe erosive disease and the presence of extra-articular features, so the primary association is unclear.

One way in which Greek patients with RA differ significantly from those of northern Europe is in the distribution of DR4 subtypes among DR4 positive patients. Rheumatoid arthritis and, particularly, Felty's syndrome, are most strongly associated with the HLA-Dw4 subtype, which is much less common among DR4 positive Greek patients. A study of southern Chinese patients with RA has reported that although Dw14 and Dw15 were the most common subtypes among DR4 positive subjects, Dw4 was lacking and these patients showed relatively milder disease than that commonly encountered in northern Europe. This situation is similar to that in our Greek patients and may reflect the fact that the Dw4 subtype is the main determinant of disease severity in a population. Given its rarity in Greek patients, further large scale studies will be necessary to evaluate this hypothesis.

To explain the genetic heterogeneity among Greek patients with RA the 'shared RA epitope' was also investigated. It was found that only 43% of the patients had this epitope. This finding is in contrast with previous studies, where each of the major susceptibility genes associated with RA in DR4 positive and DR4 negative subjects share related amino acid sequences. Hence, this finding implies that the 'shared epitope' does not seem to play a crucial a part in the aetiopathogenesis of Greek patients with RA.

These observations further support the proposal that RA in Greek patients differs from RA reported previously mainly in patients of northern European origin. The clinical similarities of the Greek patients with RA or without DR4 or its subtypes raise important questions about the role of these molecules in the initiation and perpetuation of autoimmune lesions of RA in Greece. One conclusion may be that DR4, DR1, and DR10 positivity, in this group, is a susceptibility rather than a severity marker. Furthermore, the immunogenetic and clinical features observed in Greek patients with RA suggest that other gene(s) in or outside the HLA region or environmental factors, or both, may be influencing or modifying disease expression.
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