Significance of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for antibodies to double stranded and single stranded DNA in patients with lupus nephritis: correlation with severity of renal histology
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Abstract
The correlation between renal histology and class specific (IgG and IgM) antibodies to double stranded DNA (dsDNA) and single stranded DNA (ssDNA) was studied by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in 40 untreated patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). The levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA were significantly higher in patients with World Health Organisation class IV nephritis than in those with class I, class II, or class III nephritis. IgG antibodies to ssDNA were higher in patients with class IV than in those with class II nephritis. IgG antibodies to dsDNA showed a close correlation with the histological activity score and the amount of electron dense deposit. IgG antibodies to ssDNA showed only a weak correlation with the renal histological activity score. IgM antibodies to dsDNA and IgM antibodies to ssDNA were not correlated with renal histological features. Patients with moderate to severe nephritis had a lower ratio of IgM antibodies to dsDNA to IgG antibodies to dsDNA than those with mild nephritis. These results indicate that the measurement of IgG antibodies to dsDNA is predictive in evaluating renal histological activity in patients with SLE.

(Ann Rheum Dis 1993; 52: 14-20)

Antibodies to double stranded DNA (dsDNA) are characteristic of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and these antibodies have been considered to be the principal factor in the pathogenesis of lupus nephritis. Many workers have reported a significant correlation between serum titres of antibodies to dsDNA and the severity of the disease, particularly associated with lupus nephritis. Relatively few studies, however, have examined the correlation between antibodies to dsDNA and the histological severity of lupus nephritis. Although Steinman et al, using a synthetic double stranded copolymer of deoxyadenosine and deoxythymidine to detect antibodies to dsDNA, showed a significant correlation between serum titres of antibodies to dsDNA and renal histological severity, other workers using a conventional dsDNA preparation to detect antibodies to dsDNA, could not confirm this. An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for antibodies to dsDNA is a sensitive and specific method for their detection and measurement, and also for the measurement of antibodies to single stranded DNA (ssDNA). An ELISA offers the opportunity to measure the different antibody classes and to avoid the measurement of non-specific DNA binding proteins. Using a chromatographically purified and SI nuclease treated dsDNA preparation as the solid phase antigen, we used an ELISA for the measurement of antibodies to dsDNA of the IgG and IgM classes and assessed the correlation between serum levels of antibodies to dsDNA and histological severity in 40 untreated patients with SLE. Although antibodies to ssDNA have been thought to be associated with disease activity in patients with SLE, no study has correlated them with renal histological severity. We have examined this and appraised the significance of each parameter in evaluating renal histological severity in patients with SLE.

Patients and methods
PATIENTS
Serum samples were obtained from 40 patients with a clinical diagnosis of SLE at the First Department of Internal Medicine, Osaka City University Hospital. All patients met the 1982 revised criteria for the classification of SLE. None of the patients had received steroids or immunosuppressives at the time of collecting the serum samples. The serum samples were stored at −80°C until tested. A renal biopsy sample was taken either at the pretreatment stage (30 patients) or within two weeks of the start of treatment (10 patients). Patients were judged to have clinically active nephritis if they showed the presence of either active urinary sediment, proteinuria (over 500 mg/day), or an increased serum creatinine concentration greater than 124 μmol/l.

DNA PREPARATIONS
Double stranded DNA prepared from calf thymus DNA (Sigma) was purified by methylated albumin kieselguhr chromatography and S1 nuclease treatment. Single stranded DNA was prepared by heating a 0·1 mg/ml solution of dsDNA in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7·2, for 15 minutes at 100°C followed by rapid cooling in an ice bath.

DOUBLE STRANDED DNA COATED MICROTIREF PLATES
Methylated bovine serum albumin (BSA)
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µg/ml) was incubated in Immulon type I flat bottomed microtitre plates (Dynatech Laboratories, Alexandria, VA, USA) and, after rinsing with PBS, 0.2 ml dsDNA solution (2.5 µg/ml) in PBS was added, and was incubated for 18 hours at 5°C. After incubation the dsDNA solution was decanted and 0.3 ml gelatin solution (1 mg/ml) was incubated in the wells for at least 18 hours. Just before use, the immobilised DNA was digested with S1 nuclease solution at 4 U/ml in 0.03 M sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.4, containing 0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM ZnCl₂, and 100 µg/ml BSA (Cohn’s fraction V, Sigma). After four hours shaking at room temperature the wells were rinsed twice with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-Tween).

SINGLE STRANDED DNA COATED MICROtitre PLATES
In Immuron type I plates 0.2 ml ssDNA solution (10 µg/ml in PBS, pH 7.2) was incubated for 18 hours. After incubation the wells were coated with gelatin solution as described earlier.

STANDARD ANTIBODIES TO DOUBLE STRANDED AND SINGLE STRANDED DNA
Plasma was obtained from a patient with typical clinical and serological features of SLE who had received plasmapheresis. One hundred millilitres of plasma was applied to dsDNA-cellulose chromatography plates (Sigma), washed with PBS until the absorbance of the wash at 280 nm returned to baseline, and subsequently eluted by increasing the salt concentration in the PBS. The peaks at 280 nm were pooled and dialysed against PBS. The concentrations of IgG and IgM in the eluates were determined by the radial immunodiffusion method. Antibodies to ssDNA were isolated as described earlier using ssDNA-cellulose chromatography (Sigma). These antibodies to dsDNA and ssDNA were used as standard antibodies for the ELISA.

ENZYMATIC IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY
The assays for antibodies to dsDNA were performed on dsDNA coated microplates, and for the determination of antibodies to ssDNA, ssDNA coated plates were used. The two assays were performed according to the methods described by Rubin et al. Briefly, wells were washed twice with PBS-Tween and 0.2 ml serum, diluted 1:200 in PBS-Tween containing 5 mg/ml bovine gammaglobulin (BGG, Cohn’s fraction II, Sigma), 1 mg/ml BSA, and 1 mg/ml gelatin, were added. After two hours of incubation with agitation, the contents were removed by aspiration and the wells were washed three times with PBS-Tween. Peroxidase conjugated rabbit antihuman IgG, or IgM (Dakopatts, Copenhagen, Denmark) was diluted 1:400 in PBS-Tween containing 5 mg/ml BSA and 1 mg/ml BGG, and 0.2 ml was added to each well. After agitation at room temperature for two hours the wells were rinsed once with PBS-Tween and twice with PBS. A 0.2 ml aliquot of substrate solution, containing 1 mg/ml 2,2-azino-di-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-ß-sulphonic acid) (Sigma) and 0.005% H₂O₂ in Mcllvaine’s buffer, pH 4.6, was added. The absorbance was determined after 30 minutes using a Titertek multiscan spectrophotometer at 414 nm. For each assay a standard curve was established using a purified known amount of antibodies to dsDNA and ssDNA as a standard antibody.

CRITHIDIA LUCILIAE IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE TEST
A standard indirect immunofluorescence technique was employed, using slides prepared with Crithidia luciliae (Kainos, Tokyo, Japan). FITC conjugated antihuman IgG (Dakopatts) was used for staining. Serum specimens were screened with an initial serum dilution of 1:10 in twofold dilutions.

RENAL HISTOLOGY
The specimens for light microscopy were fixed in buffered formalin solution and embedded in paraffin. The following stains were used: haematoxylin-eosin, periodic acid-Schiff, and Masson’s trichrome. World Health Organisation (WHO) criteria were used for the light microscopy classification of the major forms of lupus nephritis as class I (minor abnormality), class II (mesangial alteration), class III (focal proliferative), class IV (diffuse proliferative), and class V (membranous). Histological features were scored according to renal activity and chronicity indices. Individual pathological changes were scored on a semiquantitative basis (0–3+). The activity index was defined as the sum of individual scores of the following items: glomerular cell proliferation, leucocyte exudation, karyorrhexis/fibrinoid necrosis (×2), cellular crescents (×2), hyaline deposits, and interstitial inflammation. The chronicity index consisted of the sum of individual scores of the following items: glomerular sclerosis, fibrous crescents, tubular atrophy, and interstitial fibrosis.

For electron microscopy the specimens were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, postfixed in 2% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in graded alcohol, and embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate.
and lead citrate. Based on the examination of electron micrographs of multiple areas, the amount of overall electron dense deposit was semiquantitated on a scale of 0 to 3+ by modification of the method of Grishman et al. 22

NORMAL CONTROLS

Serum samples from 40 healthy laboratory staff were collected and assayed in the same way as the patients’ serum samples.

**Table 1** Incidence of abnormal laboratory data according to histological classification in patients with lupus nephritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory parameter</th>
<th>Class*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I  (n=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematuria/leucocyturia</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrotic syndrome</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal insufficiency</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG antibodies to dsDNA positive by CL–IFT†</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG antibodies to dsDNA positive by ELISA†</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM antibodies to dsDNA positive by ELISA†</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG antibodies to ssDNA positive by ELISA†</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM antibodies to ssDNA positive by ELISA†</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*According to WHO morphological classification, class I—minor abnormality, class II—mesangial alteration, class III=focal proliferative nephritis, and class V=membranous nephritis.
†CL–IFT=Crithidia luciliae immunofluorescence test; ELISA=enzyme linked immunosorbent assay.

**Figure 2** Correlations of IgG and IgM anti-dsDNA with histological classes of lupus nephritis. Significant differences were noted between class I and class IV (p<0.01), class II and class IV (p<0.001), and class III and class IV (p<0.01) for IgG anti-dsDNA. For IgM anti-dsDNA no difference was shown between histological classes. (○) indicates patients with clinically active nephritis. (□) indicates patients without clinically active nephritis. Dotted zones mean normal ranges for IgG and IgM to anti-dsDNA.

**STATISTICS**

The statistical significance of the results was evaluated by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and if significant differences were found by the analysis p values were determined by Duncan’s multiple range comparison test. An unpaired Student’s t test was also used where appropriate.

To determine correlation coefficient values the non-parametric rank order correlation method of Spearman was used. All values were expressed as the mean (SD).

**Results**

Figure 1 shows the linearity of a typical standard curve with the ELISA IgG antibody to dsDNA. The IgM antibodies to dsDNA, IgG antibodies to ssDNA, and IgM antibodies to ssDNA standard curves were equally satisfactory. An upper limit of normal was set arbitrarily at two standard deviations above the mean of the values for 40 healthy controls.

Table 1 lists the laboratory features at the time of the collection of serum samples in which the renal histology was classified according to the WHO classification. Of the 40 untreated patients 22 (55%) had clinical symptoms of active nephritis, whereas 38 patients (95%) presented histological abnormalities (three patients with class I nephritis showed abnormalities by electron microscopy). Although most of the patients in classes I and II showed little or no haematuria or proteinuria, or both, four patients showed substantial proteinuria. Three patients who had class IV lupus nephritis with diffuse proliferative lesions and prominent electron dense deposits showed no haematuria or proteinuria.

By the ELISA, 39 (98%) patients were positive for IgG antibodies to dsDNA, 35 (88%) were positive for IgM antibodies to dsDNA, 36 (90%) were positive for IgG antibodies to ssDNA, and 28 (70%) were positive for IgM antibodies to ssDNA. Although the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA were highly correlated with the titres of the *Crithidia luciliae* immunofluorescence test (r=0.960; p<0.001), the sensitivity is much better for the ELISA (97.5%) than for the immunofluorescence test (67.5%).

Figure 2 gives the concentrations of IgG and IgM antibodies to dsDNA with their histological classes. The levels of antibodies to dsDNA in class IV were higher than those in class I.
Table 2 Comparison of antibodies to DNA between renal histological classes. Values given as mean (SD) (µg/ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renal histology</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>IgG antibodies to dsDNA</th>
<th>IgM antibodies to dsDNA</th>
<th>IgG antibodies to ssDNA</th>
<th>IgM antibodies to ssDNA</th>
<th>IgG antibodies to dsDNA</th>
<th>IgM antibodies to ssDNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.19 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.86)</td>
<td>7.12 (7.88)</td>
<td>2.44 (1.53)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.92 (0.50)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.47)</td>
<td>4.64 (4.86)</td>
<td>2.70 (1.86)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.73 (1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.33 (1.16)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.68)</td>
<td>5.94 (4.40)</td>
<td>3.80 (1.03)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.59 (9.97)</td>
<td>2.03 (1.50)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.29)</td>
<td>11.85 (18.69)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.65)</td>
<td>0.14 (1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class V</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.09 (2.15)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.72)</td>
<td>9.98 (0.69)</td>
<td>2.16 (0.85)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.62 (1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes I and II</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.42 (0.46)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.12)</td>
<td>5.40 (5.91)</td>
<td>2.62 (1.77)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.76)</td>
<td>1.12 (2.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes III and IV</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.02 (9.94)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.35)</td>
<td>0.71 (1.03)</td>
<td>9.84 (16.62)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.59)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01 vs classes I and II, by Duncan’s test.
*p<0.001 vs class I, by Duncan’s test.
*p<0.01 vs Student’s t test.
*p<0.05 vs class II, by Duncan’s test.

Figure 3 Correlations of IgG and IgM anti-ssDNA with histological classes of lupus nephritis. A significant difference was noted between class II and class IV (p<0.05) for IgG anti-ssDNA. No difference was shown between histological classes for IgM anti-ssDNA. (●) indicates patients with clinically active nephritis. (○) indicates patients without clinically active nephritis. Dotted zones mean normal ranges for IgG and IgM to anti-ssDNA.

Table 3 Rank order correlations between renal histology and antibodies to DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity score</th>
<th>Chronicity score</th>
<th>Total pathological score</th>
<th>Electron dense deposits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgG antibodies to dsDNA</td>
<td>0.741**</td>
<td>0.407**</td>
<td>0.710**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM antibodies to dsDNA</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG antibodies to ssDNA</td>
<td>0.434**</td>
<td>0.368**</td>
<td>0.448**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM antibodies to ssDNA</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.01.
Spearman rank order analysis

IgG antibodies to ssDNA.

IgG antibodies to dsDNA and IgM antibodies to ssDNA were often measured with the use of conventional dsDNA antigen which is thought to be nearly always contaminated with ssDNA. Chromatographically purified and SI nuclease treated dsDNA preparations are considered operationally native. This view was supported by the results in this study that the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA were highly correlated with the titres of Crithidia luciliae immunofluorescence test ($r_s=0.96$; $p<0.001$). As it has been shown that the antigenicity of $dT-dA$ is different from that of dsDNA, the purified dsDNA preparation used in this study is thought to be more suitable to detect antibodies to dsDNA. Second, interpretation of the data on antibodies to dsDNA may be misleading without renal biopsy data. In this study the incidence of clinically active nephritis was 55%, whereas renal histological abnormalities were found in 95% of patients. There were three patients with class IV lupus nephritis who showed no clinical symptoms of renal disease. Discrepancies between clinical data and renal histological features have been reported by other workers. Thirdly, as it is likely that adequate immunosuppressive treatment induces rapid changes in serum levels of antibodies to DNA and changes in renal histological features, data obtained from the untreated patients with SLE are particularly important.

In the present study increased levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA were found in 98% of untreated patients with SLE, and a similar high incidence has been reported by other workers using ELISAs. We found that the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA in patients with class IV nephritis were significantly higher than those of patients with classes I, II, and III, nephritis. Although other workers have reported that antibodies to dsDNA, detected by the conventional dsDNA preparation, in patients with diffuse proliferative nephritis were higher than those in patients with focal and segmental nephritis, the difference was not clear and not statistically significant. Clough and Valenzuela showed that patients with diffuse proliferative nephritis had a higher ratio of IgM to IgG antibodies to DNA than those with focal nephritis, even though the total amount of antibody to DNA was the same in the two groups.

Others have reported that the ratio of IgG to IgM antibodies to dsDNA did not significantly differ in patients with active nephritis and in patients without clinical evidence of renal disease. We tried to compare the ratio of IgM to IgG antibodies to dsDNA between patients with histologically mild nephritis (class I and class II) and those with moderate to severe nephritis (class III and class IV). The ratio of

Discussion

We measured the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA, IgM antibodies to dsDNA, IgG antibodies to ssDNA, and IgM antibodies to ssDNA by ELISA in the serum samples of untreated patients with SLE whose renal histo-

Figure 4  Close correlation of IgG anti-dsDNA with renal histological activity score. Correlation coefficient by Spearman rank order analysis is indicated by $r_s$ value.

Figure 5  Close correlation of IgG anti-dsDNA with amount of overall electron dense deposits. Correlation coefficient by Spearman rank order analysis is indicated by $r_s$ value.

patients with class IV nephritis with relatively low IgG antibodies to dsDNA and high levels of IgG antibodies to ssDNA.
IgM to IgG antibodies to dsDNA was significantly lower in patients with moderate to severe nephritis than in those with mild nephritis. These results may be explained by the fact that the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA were significantly higher in patients with moderate to severe nephritis than in those with mild nephritis. Thus, whereas the levels of IgM antibodies to dsDNA were the same in the two groups. Our results are consistent with the results of Pennebaker et al who reported that patients with predominantly IgG antibodies to dsDNA showed more severe histological changes.31

Owing to the marked variability of lupus nephritis, we used a semi-quantitative scoring system and assessed the correlation with antibodies to dsDNA. We found a significant correlation between the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA and each of the histological parameters. A previous study, using a conventional dsDNA assay, showed no correlation between antibodies to dsDNA and renal histological activity.8 As the levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA closely reflect the electron dense deposit as well as the activity score by light microscopy, they may provide useful information to assess the severity of lupus nephritis non-invasively.

Our results support the general view that antibodies to dsDNA play a crucial part in the pathogenesis of lupus nephritis.14 We identified three patients who showed no clinical signs of renal disease and were proved to have class IV lesions. The presence of such patients with clinically occult diffuse proliferative lupus nephritis has also been reported by other workers.26 27 As these patients had high levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA, we suggest that this assay may be helpful in deciding whether a renal biopsy is necessary or not.

The prevalence of antibodies to ssDNA in patients with SLE is high and these antibodies have been thought to be closely correlated with disease activity,14 28 29 data supporting the presence of ssDNA antibodies to ssDNA immune complexes in the affected glomeruli have been reported.3 No previous studies have attempted to correlate antibodies to ssDNA with renal histological severity in patients with lupus nephritis. Although we found a weak correlation between IgG antibodies to ssDNA and renal histological severity, the correlation was less clear than that of IgG antibodies to dsDNA.

These results are consistent with the general view that ssDNA antibodies to ssDNA immune complexes are not important in the pathogenesis of lupus nephritis.32 As we found three patients with class IV lesions who had high levels of IgG antibodies to ssDNA and relatively low levels of IgG antibodies to dsDNA, however, antibodies to ssDNA may play a part in the pathogenesis of nephritis in some patients with lupus. It has been previously shown that patients whose antibodies precipitate and bind to DNA have a greater incidence of nephritis,33 and low avidity antibodies34 or complement fixing antibodies35 are claimed to be more nephritogenic. Our study, however, suggests that quantitative differences of antibodies to DNA play a major part in determining the histological features of lupus nephritis. Therefore, whether qualitative differences in antibodies to DNA critically affects the pathological features of lupus nephritis needs further study.
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