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Abstract

Although the cause (or causes) of rheumatoid arthritis is unknown, many workers have suggested that microorganisms play a part. The intestinal flora in particular has been related to the development of joint inflammation. It has been shown previously that cell wall fragments of several anaerobic Gram positive intestinal bacteria of human origin are arthritogenic after a single intraperitoneal injection in Lewis rats. The part played by indigenous microflora in this model has now been studied by decontaminating Lewis rats before the injection of *Eubacterium aerofaciens* cell wall fragments. The pattern and severity of arthritis appeared to be comparable in decontaminated and control rats. The second goal of this work was to isolate arthritogenic bacteria from the autochthonous intestinal flora of rats. Only a limited number of bacteria showing a resemblance to arthritogenic strains from human intestinal flora (i.e. *E. aerofaciens* and *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*) could be isolated. These strains did not induce chronic arthritis after intraperitoneal injection. This may explain why spontaneous arthritis did not develop in Lewis rats.

Two models are used to determine the arthritogenicity of bacterial cell wall fragments or purified peptidoglycan polysaccharide complexes. The intraperitoneal route of injection (intraperitoneal model, first described for *Streptococcus pyogenes* by Cromartie et al) is used for large bacterial fragments (i.e. >10^6 daltons). Subcutaneous injection (adjuvant arthritis model, first described for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by Pearson) is useful for isolated peptidoglycan or peptidoglycan subunits and larger bacterial fragments. Using the intraperitoneal model we found that cell wall fragments from eubacterium and bifidobacterium species induced a severe and chronic arthritis in Lewis rats. Eubacterium cell wall fragments were also arthritogenic in the adjuvant model. Eubacterium and bifidobacterium species are Gram positive major residents of the human intestinal anaerobic flora occurring in numbers exceeding 10^9 per gram of faeces.

These findings add experimental evidence to the hypothesis that the bacterial load in the intestine plays a part in the aetiology of rheumatoid arthritis.

Spontaneous arthritis occurred in 0-5% of Sprague Dawley rats in an observation period of six months. The incidence increased to 6% after repeated total body irradiation. It is possible that the arthritis was triggered by bacterial products derived from intestinal flora. Irradiation enhances the uptake of whole bacteria, but probably also of bacterial products.

Information on the influence of the presence or absence of bacterial flora in arthritis models is limited. Pearson et al. showed that adjuvant arthritis could be induced in conventional and in germ-free Lobund rats. Others found that germ free Fisher rats were susceptible to the induction of arthritis in the adjuvant model using *M tuberculosis* or the intraperitoneal model using streptococcal cell wall fragments, in contrast to their conventional littermates. Even less is known about the occurrence of arthritic species among the indigenous bacteria of the intestine of rats. In our previous papers only bacterial strains isolated from human intestinal flora were studied.

In this study the direct influence of autochthonous rat flora on the induction of arthritis by *Eubacterium aerofaciens* cell wall fragments was investigated. First the effect of total intestinal decontamination on the development and severity of cell wall fragments induced arthritis was determined. Secondly, the intestinal flora of the rat was analysed for the presence of eubacterium and bifidobacterium species. Cell wall fragments of isolated strains were tested for arthritogenicity.

Materials and methods

INTESTINAL DECONTAMINATION

Male Lewis rats (n=10) were decontaminated by adding 1 g ampicillin, 1 g neomycin, and 1 g polymyxin B per litre drinking water. Control rats (male, n=5) were given drinking water without antibiotics. The two groups were kept in sterilised cages and fed sterilised food. The composition of the intestinal flora was evaluated by microscopic examination of Gram stained faecal smears and by anaerobic and aerobic culturing of faecal dilutions. Decontamination was checked two weeks after the start of treatment with antibiotics and after six weeks, just before the administration of *E aerofaciens* cell wall fragments. Antibiotics were continued during the whole experiment. At the end of the experiment decontamination was evaluated once more by faecal smears.

DIRECT FAECAL SMEARS

Samples of faeces were suspended in saline and spread on an object glass. After Gram staining...
the samples were examined for bacteria and yeasts. The detection limit of a direct smear was 10³ bacteria/g faeces wet weight.

ANAEROBIC CULTURE
Faeces of decontaminated and control rats were diluted and plated within one hour. Samples were suspended in the anaerobic diluent containing (per litre distilled water): tryptone (Oxoid, London, UK), 5 g; glucose, 5 g; K₂HPO₄·3H₂O, 3 g; KH₂PO₄, 0·5 g; NaCl, 5 g; l-cysteine hydrochloride (Sigma, MO, USA), 0·5 g; and resazurin (BDH, Poole, UK), 0·002 g. The pH was adjusted to 7·2. From the dilutions 0·2 ml was plated in anaerobic flasks as described previously.14 A non-selective solid medium for anaerobes (Schaeelder broth, Oxoid, with 2% agar, Difco) was used. Anaerobic conditions were verified by using the indicator resazurin (0·0002%), which becomes pink at a redox potential greater than −120 mV at pH 7·0.15 After three days of incubation at 37°C the colonies were counted. The flora was determined according to methods used in previous work on flora composition.16 The microorganisms were separated into the following groups on the basis of morphology and Gram characteristics: Gram negative rods (bacteroides and fusobacterium) and Gram positive eubacterium or bifidobacterium species, cocci, and cocoid rods. More than 90% of the cultured organisms could be assigned to one of these groups.

AEROBIC CULTURES
Aerobic cultures were performed by plating the appropriate dilution of samples on to sheep blood agar plates; colonies were counted after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C.

ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PROBABLE ARTHROPATHIC SPECIES
Faeces from conventionally housed female Lewis rats (n = 2) were diluted and cultured as described under Anaerobic culture. Bacteria which were morphologically assigned as probable eubacterium or bifidobacterium species were subcultured. Pure cultures were identified according to the system of Holdeman et al.17 The carbohydrate fermentation capacity was determined under anaerobic conditions in peptone yeast extract medium with 0·05% l-cysteine-HCl, 0·0002% resazurin, and 0·03% agar in sterilised (121°C, 15 minutes) test tubes supplemented with 1% (w/v) of the following filter sterilised carbohydrates: amygdalin, arabinose, cellobiose, erythritol, esculin, fructose, glucose, glycogen, inositol, lactose, maltose, mannitol, mannose, melezitose, melibiose, raffinose, rhamnose, ribose, salicin, sorbitol, starch, sucrose, trehalose, or xyllose. A culture pH <6·0 after five days of incubation was labelled as positive. The carbohydrate fermentation products were determined by gas chromatography from five day incubates of the strains in peptone yeast extract broth with 1% glucose at 37°C under anaerobic conditions.18

PREPARATION OF BACTERIAL CELL WALL FRAGMENTS
Eubacterium aerofaciens (ATCC 25986) was cultured overnight at 37°C in Schaeelder broth under strictly anaerobic conditions after inoculation with a log phase culture. The strains isolated from rat faeces were cultured under the same conditions on Todd Hewitt broth (Oxoid). Bacterial cell wall fragments were prepared as described by Cromartie et al.19 followed by the differential centrifugation procedure of Fox et al.19 Briefly, cells were harvested, washed, and subsequently fragmented with glass beads in a Braun shaker (Melsungen, Germany). Cell walls were collected by 10 000 g centrifugation, and treated with ribonuclease (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) and trypsin (Sigma, USA), washed and sonicated (MSE, Crawley, UK) for 75 minutes. After the sedimentation of debris, the sonicated cell wall suspension was centrifuged at 10 000 g for 30 minutes; the 10 000 g supernatant was centrifuged twice at 100 000 g for 60 minutes. Both 100 000 g pellets were collected, resuspended in phosphate buffered saline and used for intraperitoneal injection after passage through a 0·45 µm Millipore filter and subsequent control for sterility.

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CELL WALL PREPARATIONS
Muramic acid and rhamnose contents were determined as described by Hadzija20 and Dische and Shettles21 respectively. The total amount of carbohydrates was determined according to Dubois et al22 using galactose as standard.

INDUCTION OF ARTHRITIS
Six weeks after the start of the decontamination the decontaminated and control rats received an intraperitoneal injection of an aqueous suspension of E aerofaciens cell wall fragments. The rats weighed 250–330 g at the time of injection.

Groups of five conventionally housed female Lewis rats (Herlan Sprague Dawley, Bicester, UK), weighing 110–185 g, received an intraperitoneal injection of cell wall fragments of the bacteria isolated from rat faeces. All rats received a cell wall dose equivalent to 25 µg of muramic acid per gram of body weight. The rats were observed for the development of paw inflammation at regular intervals for 60 days; the diameters of their wrists and ankles were measured with a vernier caliper at the distal end of the radius and at the malleoli respectively.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The acute and chronic phase of the arthritis in decontaminated and control rats were compared as follows: for each rat in the decontaminated and control groups the mean increase in the sum
of the paw diameters in the acute (day 1–15) and chronic (day 19–60) phases was calculated using the sum of the paw diameters of the same rat at day 0 as reference. The values for each phase found in the two groups were compared using the Mann Whitney U test.

HISTOLOGY
After 60 days, rats were killed by cardiac puncture bleeding under ether anaesthesia. Skinned paw joint specimens were fixed in 4% formalin, decalcified in 5% (v/v) formic acid for five days and embedded in paraffin. Liver specimens were fixed in Bouin’s fluid before embedding in paraffin. Sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

RESULTS
Evaluation of Intestinal Decontamination
Gram stained faecal smears showed that the intestinal flora of decontaminated rats was significantly reduced after 14 days of drinking water with antibiotics. Culturing of faeces of intestinally decontaminated rats showed that anaerobic bacteria were no longer detectable (less than 10⁵/g faeces wet weight) and aerobes had decreased considerably (median 1·7×10⁸ (range <10⁵–1·1×10⁶) bacteria/g faeces). In control rats 4·8×10¹⁰ (range 3·5×10¹⁰–6·2×10¹⁰) anaerobes and 9·7×10⁸ (range 1·2×10⁷–1·8×10⁸) aerobes/g faeces wet weight were found. After six weeks of decontamination five rats were selected for induction of arthritis, based on bacterial counts below the detection level of culturing (10³/g faeces). At the end of the experiment no or only small amounts of bacteria could be found in the faecal smears of these rats. All five rats had yeast in their faecal smears. At the time of death decontaminated rats had distended caeca filled with a watery content, a phenomenon characteristic of germ free rodents.²³

The composition of the flora of the control rats did not change during the experiment.

Induction of Arthritis with and without Intestinal Decontamination
After six weeks of intestinal decontamination the effect on arthritis induction by cell wall fragments of E. aerofaciens was tested. The results show that control rats with a normal flora (fig 1A) and decontaminated rats (fig 1B) developed an acute and a chronic arthritis.

Neither for the acute nor the chronic phase were statistically significant differences found between the two groups. The chronic arthritis was confirmed by histology at the end of the experiment. Figure 2A shows a severely damaged joint with infiltration of the joint cavity with polymorphonuclear cells and erosion of the cartilage and bone. Three rats (one of the control rats and two of the decontaminated rats) had macroscopic liver granulomas. Histologically the inflammation appeared to be localised mainly around the central veins and in the portal tracts, and consisted of a predominantly histiocytic infiltrate with sporadic lymphocytes (fig 2B).

Presence of Potentially Arthropathic Bacteria in the Rat Flora
After culturing the faeces of a conventional Lewis rat the flora was analysed in detail. Thirty five colonies of bacteria morphologically belonging to eubacterium, bifidobacterium, or related genera were further subcultured. A number of the pure cultures were similar, so 19 different strains were identified. Only one of the strains could be assigned to the genus eubacterium, none belonged to the genus bifidobacterium. The strains belonged to the genera peptostreptococcus (species: intermedius, productus, anaerobus, saccharolyticus),

Figure 1  Induction of arthritis by E. aerofaciens cell wall fragments (25 μg MA/g body weight) in male Lewis rats. (A) Control rats, (B) decontaminated rats.

Figure 2  Histology of arthritic rat 60 days after injection with cell wall fragments of E. aerofaciens. (A) Section of an inflamed hind paw, with marginal erosions of the bone (left side), severely damaged cartilage (bottom right) and polymorphonuclear infiltrate in the joint space. (B) Section of a granulomatous liver, portal tract with histiocytic infiltrate extending into the parenchyme (haematoxylin and eosin staining).
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Chemical composition of cell wall fragments used for induction of arthritis. Contents are expressed as percentage of dry weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell wall fragments</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>EL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muramic acid</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnose</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carbohydrates</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cell wall fragments from *E. aerofaciens* (EA) and from rat strains *P. saccharolyticus* (PS), *P. productus* (PP), and *E. lentum* (EL).

Peptococcus (species: magnus, constellatus), eubacterium (species: lentum), and rumino-coccus (species: albus).

On basis of morphology and the production of volatile acids and ethanol, strain R11 (*P. saccharolyticus*), R12 (*P. productus*), and R19 (*E. lentum*) showed the closest resemblance to the arthropathic *E. aerofaciens*.

ARTHRITIS INDUCTION BY CELL WALL FRAGMENTS FROM THREE RAT STRAINS OF BACTERIA

Cell wall fragments from the rat strains *P. saccharolyticus*, *P. productus*, and *E. lentum* were tested for the induction of arthritis. The table gives the muramic acid, rhamnose, and total carbohydrate contents of the cell wall fragments of the three rat strains compared with *E. aerofaciens* cell wall fragments. Figure 3 shows the results of the induction of arthritis; the cell wall fragments of the three rat strains of bacteria induced a self limiting acute joint inflammation. Histological examination 60 days after the injection of cell wall fragments showed no signs of active or previous inflammation.

Discussion

The supply of ampicillin, a moderately absorbable antibiotic, by mouth, in combination with neomycin and polymyxin B both non-absorbable, almost completely eliminated the intestinal flora of rats. After six weeks of intestinal decontamination, predominantly yeasts and, in some rats, a limited number of Gram negative rods were present. These persisted until the end of the experiment. The occurrence of yeasts after intestinal decontamination has been observed before. Some features of germ free rats, such as mild diarrhoea, an enlarged caecum and the absence of intestinal flora derived enzymes have also been described for intestinally decontaminated rats. We also found diarrhoea and caecal distension in decontaminated rats.

Elimination of the largest pool of bacterial antigens did not alter the susceptibility for arthritis induced by *E. aerofaciens* cell wall fragments. Conventional and intestinally decontaminated rats developed a comparable acute and chronic arthritis. Neither the severity nor the pattern of arthritis was influenced by the presence or absence of the indigenous flora. The amount of cell wall fragments (approximately 20 mg/rat) injected contained sufficient peptidoglycan to start and maintain a joint inflammation for at least 60 days. These findings are consistent with the results of Pearson et al. who found in the adjuvant arthritis model that germ free Lobund rats were as susceptible to the induction of *M. tuberculosis* as conventional animals, although the onset of arthritis was slightly delayed. In these experiments an even smaller amount of bacterial material was sufficient (0.5 mg/rat). An influx of peptidoglycan from the gastrointestinal tract is obviously not necessary for the development or continuation of arthritis.

Others have also found that the germ free status does not prevent arthritis. On the contrary, rat strains normally not or hardly susceptible developed more often and more severe arthritis when they were germ free. This is shown both in the adjuvant arthritis model and in the streptococcal model. The experiments of Kohashi et al. indicate a protective role for Gram negative bacteria: *Escherichia coli* or bacteroides monocontaminated Fisher rats developed a less severe arthritis than germ free Fisher rats. Gram positive lactobacilli increased the susceptibility to arthritis of germ free Fisher rats. This effect was abolished by the simultaneous administration of *E. coli*. The lipopolysaccharides of gram negative bacteria are said to protect against arthritis, whereas bacterial peptidoglycan is capable of inducing or aggravating the disease. The protective effect of the intestinal flora in rats which are not susceptible to arthritis has until now only been investigated in selectively contaminated germ free rats. It would be interesting to observe the effect of intestinal decontamination on induction of arthritis in such rats. A continuing lack of susceptibility after intestinal decontamination might mean that rats become tolerant to arthritis by their intestinal flora in the neonatal state.

It is remarkable that we never observed a spontaneous arthritis in Lewis rats, although they are very susceptible to the induction of
arthritogenic bacteria of the human intestinal flora in Lewis rats. Eubacterium and bifidobacterium species especially were able to induce a severe chronic arthritis. We have tried to isolate potentially arthritogenic bacteria (i.e. eubacterium and bifidobacterium species) from the indigenous flora of Lewis rats by a method used for the isolation of arthropathic E. coli strains from the intestinal flora of healthy subjects and patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Although 19 strains resembled eubacterium or bifidobacterium species morphologically, however, only one could be identified as belonging to the genus eubacterium (E. lentum). This result is in agreement with descriptions of the intestinal flora of conventional rats by several workers. Although Raibaud et al. 30 found bifidobacterium and eubacterium species among the dominant intestinal flora of conventional rats occurring in numbers between 10^7 and 10^10, more recent studies give lower numbers of these genera. Rowland 31 found less than 0.8% bifidobacterium in the faeces of Sprague Dawley rats, no eubacterium species were isolated. In caeca of Wistar rats Morishita and Miyaki 32 found a maximum of 10^8 bifidobacterium at the age of four to nine weeks. Younger and older rats had lower numbers of bifidobacterium. In this study eubacteria were not considered as a separate group, but were counted as catabacteria together with anaerobic lactobacilli, preventing any conclusions about the numbers of this genus.

In addition to E. lentum, two more strains isolated from rat flora were selected for the induction of arthritis. Although we have chosen the strains that most resembled the arthropathic E. coli, none of them was able to induce a chronic arthritis. All three strains gave only an acute arthritis. This has been described before for P. productus, but E. lentum was capable of inducing a chronic arthritis after the initial acute joint inflammation in earlier experiments, using ATCC strains. 5

The failure to induce arthritis cannot be explained by a low muramic acid content because this was as high as in E. coli cell wall fragments. Nor can it be explained by the rhamnose content, which was even higher than in E. coli cell wall fragments. Other, unknown, characteristics are to be held responsible for the difference in arthropathogenicity between E. coli and the three rat strains of bacteria.

Although we have tested only a limited number of bacterial strains from the rat flora, we believe that arthropathogenic bacteria are not abundant in the intestinal tract of Lewis rats. This absence of triggering peptidoglycan may explain why we never found a spontaneous arthritis in susceptible Lewis rats, although this rat strain is extremely susceptible to the induction of arthritis by cell wall fragments of intestinal anaerobic bacteria of human origin.
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