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Abstract
A negative association has been reported between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis. There are, however, few population based data to support this association. In this study the bone density in the upper femur was compared with the presence and severity of hip osteoarthritis in 314 subjects undergoing radiography for non-skeletal indications. There was a statistically significant negative association between the two disorders. This relation may reflect differences in the cause of these two major musculoskeletal conditions.

Osteoarthritis and osteoporosis are two common, age related musculoskeletal disorders associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. The relation between the two conditions remains unclear, however. Evidence from case series suggests that osteoporosis is uncommon in patients who have sustained hip fractures. In contrast, studies measuring bone density at various skeletal sites have failed to detect consistent differences between patients with osteoarthritis and healthy control subjects.

As osteoarthritis is a heterogeneous condition and bone density varies at different skeletal sites the relation between the two may differ according to the anatomical site examined. We report here the relation between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in the hip, a major site of disease in both disorders, using a series of radiographs selected to represent the general population.

Patients and methods
The study sample comprised 314 men and women aged 50 years and over, who consecutively attended the radiology department of Southampton General Hospital and who were undergoing radiography for non-skeletal indications. Patients who had undergone hip surgery (four) or previously sustained hip fractures (one) were excluded. The radiographic examinations made on these subjects were intravenous pyelography (79%), plain abdominal radiographs (17%), barium meals (2%), and barium enema (2%). In all 314 subjects a single additional radiograph of the right upper femur was obtained in 10 degrees of internal rotation.

Bone density in the proximal femur was assessed from the hip radiographs by a single trained observer (CC) using the Singh grading system of the femoral neck trabecular pattern. This pattern is characterised on a six point scale from grade 6, where all the major groups of trabeculae are present, to grade 1, in which only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Men (n=210)</th>
<th>Women (n=104)</th>
<th>Total (n=314)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>66 (31)</td>
<td>34 (33)</td>
<td>100 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>68 (32)</td>
<td>40 (38)</td>
<td>108 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>76 (36)</td>
<td>30 (29)</td>
<td>106 (34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results
Table 1 shows the age and sex distribution of the study sample. Approximately equal numbers of subjects fell into each age category: 50–64, 65–74, and 75 years and above. In each age category there were more men than women, reflecting the demographic characteristics of the group at this radiology department who were undergoing intravenous urography.

*Paper presented at the British Society for Rheumatology.
Table 2  Reproducibility of grading of hip osteoarthritis in 100 study radiographs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of osteoarthritis</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1+2</th>
<th>3+4</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures in parentheses are expected numbers in each group, calculated assuming no association between the two disorders.

Table 3  Association between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in 314 hip radiographs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of osteoarthritis</th>
<th>Grade of osteoporosis (Singh)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5+6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+2</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures in parentheses are expected numbers in each group, calculated assuming no association between the two disorders.

Discussion

We compared the degree of osteoarthritis in the hip joint with bone density in the femoral neck in a series of hip radiographs selected to represent the population. Our results suggest a statistically significant negative association between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis at this site.

Bone density was measured using an index of the upper femoral trabecular pattern. We have previously assessed the validity and reproducibility of this technique in our hands. Although clearly prone to error in individual subjects, the method is sufficiently reproducible for use in epidemiological studies. The grading of osteoarthritis in the hip joint used the Empire Rheumatism Council grading system. This is the most widely used system for grading osteoarthritis at various skeletal sites. We found within observer variation to be low with this grading system, but between observer variation to be substantially higher. We therefore used an arbitrating observer to adjudicate on radiographs for which the initial observers' readings were discrepant.

Although the study sample was confined to subjects undergoing radiography for non-skeletal indications, the prevalence of osteoarthritis and osteoporosis was found to be similar to that in published population surveys of these two conditions, suggesting that the negative association between the two variables did not arise from some unforeseen selection bias.

The absence of hip osteoarthritis in patients with femoral neck fractures was initially reported two decades ago. It has been confirmed in subsequent case series, as well as in a radiological case-control study. The results of studies of bone density in patients with osteoarthritis have been conflicting, however. Some of the discrepancy in these results might be explained by differences in the definition of osteoarthritis, variable standardisation of bone density measurements for body build, and the well recognised discordance between bone density measurements at different skeletal sites. Our results support those of the only previously published population based study to examine the association between these two conditions. In a random population sample from Jerusalem Pogrund et al reported that osteoarthritis and osteoporosis coexisted in only 0-5% of the 641 subjects.

Why might this negative association between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis exist? Individual risk factors for osteoporosis include oestrogen deficiency, physical inactivity, and low body weight. These factors might be protective against osteoarthritis. Relative oestrogen excess has been suggested as a factor in the development of generalised osteoarthritis. The hip joint is not as commonly affected in this clinical subset of osteoarthritis as the hand and knee, however. Excess weightbearing physical activity is also a potential risk factor for osteoarthritis, which might theoretically reduce the risk of osteoporosis.

The potential role of body build in explaining the noted relation between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis warrants further consideration. Obesity is a reported risk factor for generalised osteoarthritis but does not seem to influence the risk of hip osteoarthritis. Adiposity is known to protect against osteoporosis at various skeletal sites, but the influence of body mass index on the trabecular architecture of the upper femur has never been specifically considered. The body build of the subjects in our study was unfortunately not available, and the possibility...
that the noted negative association between osteoarthritis and osteoporosis is a reflection of differences in body mass remains a real one.

An alternative interpretation of the negative association between the two conditions is that they represent variable responses of subchondral bone to mechanical stress. In osteoporosis the osteoclastic response is blunted, whereas in osteoarthritis it is accentuated. At a more local level, one of the early features of osteoarthritis of the hip is osteophyte formation with buttressing at the medial cortical margin of the femoral neck. A strong relation has previously been seen, in the radiographs of patients with osteoporosis and osteoarthritis who came for surgery, between the Singh grade and the thickness of the calcar femorale. Thus localised femoral neck bone remodelling might also contribute to our findings.

In conclusion, our results suggest a negative association between osteoarthritis of the hip and osteoporosis of the upper femur in the general population. Further exploration of this negative association might yield clues to the cause of these two age-related skeletal conditions.
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