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Abstract
The relation between acetabular dysplasia and osteoarthritis of the hip was examined in a series of 1516 pelvic radiographs taken for non-skeletal indications. Osteoarthritis was assessed by measuring joint space, and dysplasia by the centre-edge angle and acetabular depth. In contrast with previous studies of patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the hip, no evidence that dysplasia predisposes to osteoarthritis was found. Possible reasons for the discrepancy are discussed. It was concluded that although acetabular dysplasia may lead to osteoarthritis of the hip in some subjects, it is unlikely to be an important cause of the disease in men.

A wide variety of disorders predispose to osteoarthritis of the hip, including congenital dislocation or subluxation of the joint, Perthes' disease, slipped upper femoral epiphysis, septic and inflammatory arthritis, trauma, and several metabolic diseases. Between them, however, these established causes account for less than half of all degenerative hip disease.

Dislocation and symptomatic subluxation of the hip form part of a broader spectrum of hip dysplasia and instability in childhood. It has been proposed that in many patients with apparently primary osteoarthritis of the hip, the disease occurs as a consequence of mild forms of acetabular dysplasia which persist into adult life. Support for this theory comes from radiological observations in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip, and from follow up studies of subjects with dysplastic hips. Not all studies have shown the association, however.

To find out more about the relation between acetabular dysplasia and osteoarthritis of the hip we examined their association in a sample of pelvic radiographs taken for non-skeletal indications.

Methods
The study was carried out in the outpatient radiology department of the North Staffordshire hospitals. From the x-ray register we identified all men who had had an intravenous urogram during 1982–87 and who were aged 60–75 years at the time of examination. Their hip joints were then assessed from the control or post-micturition film. Radiographs were excluded if neither hip could be adequately visualised. If a patient had been examined more than once during the study period the earliest suitable radiograph was used. Where hips had been replaced before the earliest suitable intravenous urogram, preoperative radiographs were assessed if available.

One observer (PC) read all the radiographs. Osteoarthritis was evaluated by measuring the shortest distance between the femoral head margin and the acetabulum (the minimal joint space), and the position of maximal joint space narrowing was noted. Acetabular dysplasia was assessed by two measures: the centre-edge angle and the acetabular depth (fig 1). The former was defined as the angle between a line joining the centre of the femoral head to the lateral margin of the acetabular roof and a line perpendicular to that joining the centres of the two femoral heads. The centres of the femoral heads were located with the aid of a transparent plastic sheet marked with concentric circles. If the femoral head was deformed the plastic sheet was aligned in relation to unaffected areas. In locating the lateral margin of the acetabular roof we took account of any osteophytosis. Acetabular depth was defined as the greatest perpendicular distance from the acetabular roof to a line joining the lateral margin of the acetabular roof and the upper corner of the symphysis pubis on the same side. In the presence of acetabular dysplasia the centre-edge angle and acetabular depth are both low.

To assess the repeatability of the measurements a subset of 50 films was reread by the same observer at an interval of three months, and again, independently, by a second observer (CC). All markings were erased between the readings.

Results
Intravenous urograms showing the hip joints were available for 1315 of the 1516 men identified from the x-ray register, but nine hips could not be assessed because they had previously been replaced (six) or were severely deformed by Paget's disease (three). In addition, not all views were adequate for the measurement of minimal joint space (three hips), centre-edge angle (17 hips), or acetabular depth (64 hips).

![Figure 1 Measurements of acetabular dysplasia.](http://ard.bmj.com/.../21,1774)
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Table 1 Distribution of centre-edge angles according to minimal joint space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of hips assessed</th>
<th>Centre-edge angle (deg)</th>
<th>No (%) of hips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All hips</td>
<td>2604</td>
<td>36-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips with minimal joint space ≥4-0 mm</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>3-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips with minimal joint space ≤1-5 mm</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Distribution of acetabular depth according to minimal joint space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of hips assessed</th>
<th>Acetabular depth (mm)</th>
<th>No (%) of hips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All hips</td>
<td>2557</td>
<td>14-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips with minimal joint space ≥4-0 mm</td>
<td>1057</td>
<td>14-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips with minimal joint space ≤1-5 mm</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimal joint space was distributed unimodally with mean 3-5 mm, median 3-5 mm, and standard deviation 0-9 mm. Fifty three hips (2-0%) showed severe joint space narrowing (minimal joint space ≤1-5 mm). These were compared with the 1078 hips (41-2%) with a minimal joint space ≥4-0 mm.

Centre-edge angle and acetabular depth were linearly related (correlation coefficient = 0.73). Tables 1 and 2 summarise their distribution in relation to joint space. In hips with a minimal joint space ≥4-0 mm the mean centre-edge angle was 34-0° (SD 6-2°) and the mean acetabular depth 14-1 mm (SD 3-1 mm). The prevalence of low centre-edge angles (less than 25°) was little different in hips with a minimal joint space ≤1-5 mm from that in hips with a minimal joint space ≥4-0 mm. No hips with severe joint space narrowing had an acetabular depth less than 9 mm.

Figure 2 illustrates further the relation of joint space to centre-edge angle and acetabular depth. For both measures of acetabular dysplasia the correlations with minimal joint space were weakly negative. This pattern was apparent whether lateral osteophytosis of the acetabular margin was present (598 hips) or absent.

The most common positions of maximal joint space narrowing were superomedial (1869 hips), superolateral (416 hips), and both superomedial and superolateral (309 hips). There was no indication of an association between joint space narrowing and acetabular dysplasia in any of these subsets of hips.

Preoperative radiographs were obtained for five of the six hips that had been replaced. In two hips (both in the same patient) the centre-edge angle was less than 20° and the acetabular depth less than 9 mm.

Figure 3 shows the repeatability of acetabular measurements. Agreement was good both within and between observers.

Discussion

We found no evidence in this survey of male urology patients to support the hypothesis that osteoarthritis of the hip is associated with acetabular dysplasia. This contrasts with the conclusions from most previous studies.3-6

We think it unlikely that the discrepancy can be explained by errors in our measurements. We have already established the validity of minimal joint space as an index of osteoarthritis of the hip.6,8 It correlates with other radiological features of the disease and with symptoms, and is more reproducible than composite assessments of the type devised by Kellgren and Lawrence.9 The repeatability of measurements...
of acetabular dysplasia has not been reported before, but in this study agreement was good both within and between observers. The radiographs studied were taken with the same tube to film distance (100 cm) as a standard antero-posterior view of the pelvis, but centred about 10 cm higher in the midline. The distortion arising from this difference in projection would not affect the ranking of linear measurements or angles, and should only be small. In support of this the distributions of centre-edge angle and acetabular depth which we recorded in hips with a minimal joint space \( \geq 4 \) mm are similar to those reported by other observers in asymptomatic controls.

Leg position is not standardised for an intravenous urogram, and rotation of the hip may influence the centre-edge angle, but again the effect is small.

Possibly, measures of acetabular dysplasia are modified by the osteoarthritic process. For example, osteophytosis might make it difficult to locate correctly the lateral acetabular margin, and medial migration of the femoral head may increase the centre-edge angle. Our findings were unaltered, however, when hips with lateral osteophytes were excluded from the analysis, and medial migration should not have affected acetabular depth. Moreover, any masking of acetabular dysplasia caused by osteoarthritis would apply also to other studies which examined the relation of osteoarthrosis of the hip to acetabular dysplasia retrospectively.

The divergence from other studies lies in the prevalence of acetabular dysplasia in osteoarthritic hips. In 47 hips with severe joint space narrowing we found only two with a centre-edge angle less than 25° and none with an acetabular depth less than 9 mm. Other investigators have reported higher prevalence.

In two studies the higher prevalence of dysplasia occurred in women, but in men the prevalence was little different from that which we found. Defining dysplasia as a centre-edge angle less than 25° or an acetabular depth less than 9 mm, Murray found a prevalence of 5-6% in the hips of 90 men with unilateral or bilateral primary osteoarthritis. The prevalence in diseased hips as compared with unaffected hips was not stated. Data reported by Solomon from South Africa indicate a prevalence of about 5% in male osteoarthritic hips, but the exact criteria by which he diagnosed dysplasia are unclear.

Given such uncertainties and the small numbers on which the prevalence estimates are based, neither of these studies is incompatible with our findings.

Harder to reconcile is the description by Stulberg and colleagues of acetabular dysplasia in 13 out of 53 men presenting consecutively for reconstructive hip surgery. Their method of assessing acetabular dysplasia was different from ours, but they state that 80% of their dysplastic hips had a centre-edge angle of 20° or less. Possibly the explanation for the differences lies in the
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