Effect of two gold compounds on lysosomes
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SUMMARY The effect of two gold(I) compounds on stability of lysosomes in vitro was studied. Lysosomes from homogenates of rat kidney cortex were isolated by differential centrifugation. These lysosomes were incubated at 37°C with widely varied concentrations of sodium aurothiomalate and sodium aurothiosulphate for 5, 35, and 65 minutes. Acid phosphatase activities were measured and used as an indication of lysosomal membrane stability in the presence and absence of drugs. The enhanced release of acid phosphatase from lysosomes by aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate was related to dose, but the drugs differed substantially in their potencies. The disruptive effect on lysosomes was more marked for aurothiosulphate than for aurothiomalate. In addition, both drugs inhibited acid phosphatase activities at relatively high gold concentrations. Aurothiomalate had a moderate and aurothiosulphate a weaker inhibitory effect on the enzyme. Our results indicate that aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate exert their beneficial effect in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis through mechanism(s) other than lysosomal membrane stabilisation.
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The effectiveness of gold compounds in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis has stimulated interest in the mechanism by which these drugs exert their therapeutic effect.

A function which has been attributed to other anti-inflammatory drugs, steroidal and non-steroidal, is that of lysosomal membrane stabilisation.1-4 This concept implies that these drugs prevent the labilisation of lysosomes and thus inhibit the liberation of deleterious lysosomal enzymes, which are capable of degrading the various components of connective tissue. It has often been suggested that gold compounds may also act by stabilising the lysosomal membrane. The few studies taking this approach are conflicting, however. No stabilising effect of gold thiomalate and gold thioglucose on rabbit liver lysosomes5 and of gold thiomalate on rat liver lysosomes2 in vitro was found, whereas a stabilising effect of gold thiopropanol sulphonate on rat kidney lysosomes was observed.6

It has been found that gold accumulates in the lysosomes of synovial cells7-9 and renal proximal cells10 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis during chrysotherapy, which makes it possible that gold compounds exert their therapeutic or toxic effects, in some way, on the lysosomes.

The aim of the present study, therefore, was to investigate the effect of various concentrations of gold on a well characterised lysosomal fraction and to compare the effect of two different gold compounds in order to elucidate the role of the ligand.

Materials and methods

DRUGS

Sodium aurothiomalate was purchased from Rhone-Poulenc Pharma Norden, Birkerød, Denmark and sodium aurothiosulphate was obtained from Ferrosan, Søborg, Denmark. Gold stock standards of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate were prepared in 0-25 M sucrose, pH 7-2, in the concentration range 1-25-125 µg gold/ml and 1-25-250 µg gold/ml respectively. The concentrations of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate are expressed as elementary gold throughout.

PREPARATION OF LYSOSOMAL SUSPENSION

Four non-starved male Wistar rats, weighing 250–300 g, were used in each experiment. The animals were anaesthetised by intraperitoneal
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injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg body weight) and the kidneys perfused in situ with ice cold 0-3 M sucrose containing 1 mM edetic acid, pH 7-2, for three minutes. The kidneys were removed, the renal cortices rapidly excised, weighed, minced, and diluted 1:10 (w/v) with the ice cold solution used for perfusion. The tissue suspension was then homogenised in a Potter-Elvehjem glass homogeniser by 10 complete strokes with a Teflon pestle rotating at about 200 rpm. Care was taken to follow the same homogenisation procedure and to use the same Teflon pestle and glass homogeniser for all experiments in order to reduce variations in the degree of lysosome disruption.

The homogenate was fractionated by the method of Maunsbach. The light fraction and the large mitochondrial fraction were carefully removed from the materials sedimenting at 9000 g and the remaining pellet enriched with lysosomes (primary granule fraction) was gently rinsed and resuspended in 30 ml ice cold 0-25 M sucrose containing 1 mM edetic acid, pH 7-2. This lysosomal suspension was used in the following experiments. Further purification of the lysosomes as described by Maunsbach (e.g., removing the last relatively small amount of mitochondria) was found to be unnecessary in this study, whereas the time saved by stopping the isolation at the stage of primary granule fraction was considered of importance.

**CONTROL OF THE LYsomAL FRACTION**

For electron microscopic analysis of the composition of the lysosomal fractions pellets enriched with lysosomes were fixed in situ in the centrifuge tube or fixed in suspensions and further prepared for electron microscopy as described by Maunsbach and Sjøstrand and Borgström. Ultrathin sections, perpendicular to the surface of the pellets and from different parts of them, were cut through their entire depth. The sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined in a JEM-100B electron microscope.

Biochemical determination of total acid phosphatase activity and cytochrome oxidase (mitochondrial marker enzyme) activity in the lysosomal suspension was compared with that of the homogenate. Cytochrome oxidase activity was measured by the method of Wharton and Tzagoloff.

**ASSAYS OF LYsosomal MEMBRANE STABILITY**

Labilisation or stabilisation of lysosomal membranes in the presence of gold was ascertained by comparing the release of the lysosomal marker enzyme, acid phosphatase, with that in controls. Aliquots (3-5 ml) of the lysosomal suspension were delivered to propyethylene test tubes containing 700 μl of 0-25 M sucrose, pH 7-2, at 0-4°C, without (controls) or with gold added. The final concentrations of gold in the lysosome-aurothiomalate suspensions were 0-2, 0-4, 1, 2, 4, 10, 20 μg/ml and in the lysosome-aurothiosulphate suspensions 0-2, 0-4, 1, 2, 4, 10, 20, 40 μg/ml. Two samples (0-5 ml) of each mixture were preincubated at 37°C for exactly 5, 35, and 65 minutes, after which enzyme substrate was added for measurement of the free acid phosphatase activity.

Samples (0-5 ml) of controls and lysosomal suspensions containing gold were frozen (in liquid CO₂) and thawed three times for complete disruption of the lysosomes. The samples were then preincubated for 35 minutes at 37°C before enzyme substrate was added for determination of the total lysosomal acid phosphatase activity.

The samples were not centrifuged before assay of the released acid phosphatase in order to eliminate the errors due to differences in the sedimentation of free lysosomal enzymes or gold compounds, or both, with the lysosomal membranes.

**ASSAY OF ACID PHOSPHATASE**

Acid phosphatase was assayed at pH 5-0 with β-glycerophosphate as substrate. Liberated phosphatase was determined by the method of Lowry and Lopez using amidol as reducing agent. The specific acid phosphatase activity was expressed as μmol of phosphate liberated/20 min/mg protein. Protein was determined by the method of Lowry et al with bovine serum albumin as a standard. For reasons of comparisons the activity of acid phosphatase is expressed as percentage of control total enzyme activity.

**EFFECT OF GOLD ON ACID PHOSPHATASE ACTIVITY**

The effect of gold on the total acid phosphatase activity of a disrupted lysosomal suspension was studied. Aliquots (0-4 ml) of a lysosomal suspension were transferred to test tubes and 100 μl 0-25 M sucrose, pH 7-2, without (controls) or with gold was added at different steps in the procedure: (a) before the lysosomal suspensions were frozen and thawed, (b) after freezing and thawing, and (c) after incubation for acid phosphatase before determining the liberated phosphate. The final gold concentrations for the lysosomal suspensions incubated with aurothiomalate were 0-2 and 20 μg/ml and for aurothiosulphate 0-2, 20, and 40 μg/ml.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Statistical analysis was by Student’s t test with significance level at p<0-05. The number of experiments is indicated in the individual figures.
Results

PROPERTIES OF THE LYSOSONAL FRACTION
When analysed by electron microscopy the lysosomal fraction contained predominantly membrane limited bodies (0.5-1.5 μm in diameter) with even densely stained contents. Mitochondria and some unidentified membranous structures were also present but in considerably less numbers than the lysosomes.

Biochemically, the lysosomal fraction contained about 7.5% of the acid phosphatase activity of the homogenate and the specific activity of this enzyme was eight to nine times greater than in the homogenate. In contrast, only about 0.2% of the cytochrome oxidase activity of the homogenate was present in this fraction, and it had a low specific activity. The ultrastructural and biochemical data thus demonstrate a lysosomal fraction quite rich in lysosomes, though still contaminated by other cell components.

EFFECT OF GOLD ON ACTIVITY OF ACID PHOSPHATASE FROM RAT KIDNEY LYSOSOMES
The effect of gold on the total acid phosphatase activity of a disrupted lysosomal suspension when gold was added at different steps in the procedure as described under ‘Materials and methods’ was studied. The results showed that aurothiomalate had a moderate and aurothiosulphate a weaker inhibitory effect on the lysosomal enzyme. Gold did not affect disruption of the lysosomes or determination of liberated phosphate.

Fig. 1 Direct effect of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate on acid phosphatase from disrupted lysosomal suspensions. Each value represents the mean (SD) of the total activity of acid phosphatase in the lysosomal suspensions used in the experiments shown in Figs 2 and 3. ∗Significant at p<0.05 v control; ‡significant at p<0.01 v control.

Fig. 2 Effect of aurothiomalate on the release of acid phosphatase from rat kidney lysosomes. Lysosomes were preincubated at 37°C with aurothiomalate at the concentrations and for the time periods indicated. Each value represents the mean (SD) of three separate experiments. ∗Significant at p<0.05 v control; ‡significant at p<0.01 v control. Fig. 1 shows the values used for correction of enzyme release.
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Owing to the direct inhibitory effect of gold on lysosomal acid phosphatase it was found necessary to determine the total acid phosphatase activity in all lysosomal suspensions. Fig. 1 shows the effect of various concentrations of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate on lysosomal acid phosphatase. Acid phosphatase activity was reduced by 8%, 30%, and 66% when preincubated with aurothiomalate at 4.0, 10, and 20 μg/ml respectively. A much weaker
inhibitory effect of aurothiosulphate on acid phosphatase activity was observed—namely, 10% at 20 
μg/ml and 19% at 40 μg/ml.

EFFECT OF GOLD(1) COMPOUNDS ON STABILITY OF LYSOSONES FROM RAT KIDNEY

Figs 2 and 3 illustrate the effect of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate on the release of acid phosphatase from rat kidney lysosomes. It was found that gold had a dose dependent labilisation effect on the lysosomal membrane and this effect was more marked for aurothiosulphate than for aurothiomalate.

Aurothiomalate

When intact lysosomes were preincubated at 37°C for 5, 35, and 65 min with aurothiomalate in concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 20 μg/ml the lysosomal enzyme activity was found to decrease gradually with concentrations ≥10 μg/ml (Fig. 2). This may be thought to indicate a stabilising effect of aurothiomalate on the lysosomes at high gold concentrations. This apparent stabilisation effect of aurothiomalate on the lysosomal membrane, however, could be accounted for entirely by a direct inhibitory effect upon the enzyme activity. Thus corrected for the observed inhibitory effect upon the acid phosphatase activity, the results for five minutes of preincubation showed a gradual labilisation effect of aurothiomalate at concentrations ≥1.0 μg/ml. It appeared, however, that the enzyme was slightly activated at small concentrations of aurothiomalate (Fig. 1). When corrected for this effect the release of acid phosphatase fell below the control value, indicating a weak lysosomal membrane stabilising effect (Fig. 2). No statistically significant effect of aurothiomalate on the lysosomal membrane could be shown at the 35 and 65 minute time intervals.

Aurothiosulphate

When lysosomes were preincubated with aurothiosulphate at 37°C for five minutes the release of acid phosphatase was increased in the concentration range tested, reaching a maximum at 10 μg/ml (Fig. 3). When corrected for the observed direct inhibitory effect of aurothiosulphate on acid phosphatase activity a gradual labilisation effect of the drug over the entire concentration range (0.2–40 μg/ml) was observed. A dose related effect could also be shown after the 35 minute period, whereas no effect of the drug could be demonstrated after 65 minutes (Fig. 3).

Discussion

A well defined lysosomal fraction from rat kidney cortex was incubated at 37°C in the presence of widely varied concentrations of aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate. The chosen concentration range of gold included the therapeutic levels (0.3–5 μg/ml) achieved in clinical use of these compounds. 

The present study showed that the two gold compounds enhanced the release of lysosomal marker enzyme in a dose related fashion, and that they differ in their potencies. At a concentration of 20 μg gold/ml aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate enhanced the release of acid phosphatase by 10% and 20% respectively, indicating that aurothiosulphate possesses a stronger labilising effect on lysosomal
membranes than aurothiomalate. In addition, the two drugs had a direct inhibitory effect on acid phosphatase, with aurothiomalate being the most potent inhibitor. Aurothiomalate showed 55% and aurothiosulphate 10% inhibition of enzyme activities at 20 μg gold/ml. The present data demonstrate that it is important to correct for the interference of gold with enzyme activities to obtain a valid interpretation of the experimental results.

The present labilising effect of high concentrations of gold on isolated lysosomes has never been described before. In the few previous studies on the effect of gold on the stability of lysosomal membranes in vitro no effect of aurothiomalate was found.2 Our findings are in general agreement with the observations that aurothiomalate18 and aurothiosulphate19 at low concentrations do not affect the release of lysosomal enzymes from polymorphonuclear leucocytes, whereas aurothiosulphate19 at higher concentrations shows a slight inhibitory effect. As the authors19 did not investigate the direct effect of gold on the activities of lysosomal marker enzymes it is impossible to decide whether the inhibitory effect observed is due to a stabilising effect of gold on the lysosomal membrane or simply due to an inhibitor effect on the activities of the lysosomal enzymes as happened to be the case in our study.

The different ability of the two gold compounds to labilise the lysosomal membranes and to inhibit the activity of acid phosphatase strongly suggests that the interaction of gold with lysosomes is affected by the ligand. This idea is supported by the findings that auranofin shows a potent inhibitory effect,19 whereas aurothioglucone even at high concentrations shows no inhibitory effect on the release of lysosomal enzymes from polymorphonuclear leucocytes,5 20 and by the findings that different antiarthritic gold preparations differ markedly in their ability to inhibit the activity of lysosomal enzymes.21

Although the present study cannot explain how the two gold compounds exert their labilising effect on in vitro lysosomes, one or more of the following possibilities should be considered: (a) the gold compounds may interact with the outer or inner surface of the lipoprotein-membrane structure and thereby change the integrity of the lysosomal membrane, resulting in an enhanced release of acid phosphatase; (b) the gold compounds may accumulate within lysosomes by a concentration dependent mechanism or a proton pump dependent mechanism, or a combination of both, as described for weak base amines.22 The accumulation of drugs could lead to osmotic swelling and even disruption of lysosomes with release of acid phosphatase; and (c) the gold compounds may inhibit lysosomal phospholipases and thereby decrease the transport of amino acid hydrolysis products out of the lysosomes.23 Accumulation of hydrolysis products could lead to osmotic swelling and eventually disruption of the lysomes, resulting in enhanced release of acid phosphatase. This mechanism of phospholipase inhibition has been described for various cationic drugs and for other metal ions.24

A possible mechanism of action in vivo consistent with the present findings is that aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate, which are anionic compounds, bind protons in the acidic interior of lysosomes. This would raise the intralysosomal pH and thereby neutralise the lysosomal enzymes, which if released could perpetuate the inflammatory process. In addition, it is probable that the gold compounds affect lysosomal function or intracellular processes, or both, by a direct inhibitory effect on lysosomal enzymes as suggested by Persellin and Ziff,25 Ennis et al,5 and Ghadially et al.7 This hypothesis is in accordance with our findings and the findings of others21 25 that gold at high concentrations has a direct inhibitory effect on lysosomal enzymes. Owing to the high concentrations of gold required to produce significant inhibition of lysosomal enzymes it is unlikely that gold exerts an extracellular effect on lysosomal enzymes in vivo. High gold concentrations within lysosomes could, however, readily be attained. It has been found that synovial cells after chryotherapy show large lysosomal bodies (au:rosomes) containing numerous lipid droplets,7 myelin structures,26 and gold deposits, which provides further supportive evidence for the intralysosomal action of gold compounds on catabolic enzymes.

In summary, aurothiomalate and aurothiosulphate have been shown to labilise lysosomal membranes at relatively high gold concentrations, and, in addition, it was found that the drugs exert a direct inhibitory effect on acid phosphatase—the lysosomal marker enzyme. Although it is not possible to determine directly from these in vitro experiments what the in vivo effects of gold compounds may be, our results do not support the idea that gold compounds exert their beneficial effects by stabilising the lysosomal membranes. It appears more likely that these drugs act by inactivation of lysosomal enzymes by inducing a raised intralysosomal pH or by a direct inhibitory effect on lysosomal enzymes, or both. The differences observed in the effects of the two gold compounds on lysosomal membranes and lysosomal acid phosphatase suggest that their individual ability to interact with the lysosomal system is affected by the ligand.
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