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Viewpoint

Is pregnancy a risk factor in the causation of rheumatoid arthritis?

ALAN J SILMAN

From the Department of Clinical Epidemiology, The London Hospital Medical College, London

It is well established that the physiological changes in pregnancy can affect the course of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Thus pregnancy is associated with remission of the disease in the last trimester, which frequently relapses after delivery.1 This may be explained by the large rise in female sex hormone concentration and the subsequent fall postnatally. The disease suppression has also been related to the concentration of pregnancy serum a2 glycoprotein (PAG),2 though PAG levels in their turn are related to female sex hormones.3 The possibility that such hormones affect RA disease activity is supported by the observation that the latter fluctuates during different stages of the menstrual cycle.4 These and other studies5 have prompted increased interest as to whether female sex hormones also have a role in the causation of RA. The most prominent hypothesis is that exogenous female sex hormones, specifically the oral contraceptive pill, protect against the development of RA.

Oral contraceptive (OC) use and rheumatoid arthritis

The first report suggesting a protective effect of OC use resulted serendipitously from a longitudinal study of 50,000 women in general practice aimed at detecting the general health effects from long term OC use.6,7 Women still taking OCs at the end of the 10 year follow up period (‘current users’) had half the incidence of RA of women who had never used OCs. Women initially using OCs but who stopped their use during the follow up had an intermediate risk, thereby suggesting a dose-response effect. The magnitude of the reduction in the current users led the authors to estimate that OC use was associated with the not inconsiderable reduction in RA incidence of 0.3 per 1000 per year. The difference observed in that study persisted after adjustment for age and parity, though there were a number of potential artefactual explanations. First, women stopped using OCs as a result of early RA symptoms, thus giving an apparent benefit to OC users at the end of the study. Secondly, the diagnosis of RA was made from general practice records that might not have been accurate. Nevertheless, the difference was so large that a further study of OC use and RA became an important topic for rheumatoid arthritis epidemiology.8,9

Four further studies, all using a case-control design, have been published recently, one supporting a protective effect,10 two finding no benefit11,12 (the second being an extended and more rigorous version of the first), and one with equivocal results,13 perhaps due to small numbers. The methodological aspects of these studies have been discussed in detail in an attempt to explain the divergent results,14–16 and lengthy epidemiological discussion has failed to reconcile the differences. The US National Institute of Health in 1984 was sufficiently impressed by the potential importance of the question that it expressed a willingness to sponsor ‘the definitive’ case-control study.17 Intriguingly, a further study published this year by the Dutch group10 has shown a similar reduction in RA in women taking postmenopausal hormones.18

It is, however, difficult to explain both the divergent results and the failure to find a dose-response effect (as measured by duration of OC use) even in the most positive study.10 Further, any explanation would need to overcome the difficulty of OC use representing exposure to a large range of hormone formulations. Since their introduction there have been considerable changes both quantitatively and qualitatively in OC formulation. Even current preparations vary in progesterone content between 0.03 and 4.0 mg, with an oestrogen content
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varying between 20 and 50 μg. It is perhaps unlikely that all formulations would have the same effect in preventing RA, and this would perhaps partly explain the failure to find a dose-response effect.

It seems unlikely that OC use could be protective for some populations but not for others, and, arguably, the absence of a plausible biological hypothesis decreases the likelihood that the inverse association represents causation. Thus it is appropriate to consider other explanations for those studies that have found a link. One possibility is that OC use might be a marker for another variable that is the protective factor against RA, such a confounder being pregnancy avoidance. Women who use OC are likely to have a later first pregnancy and lower gravidity than non-OC users as was found, for example, in a study of women doctors,21 though the non-OC users in that study were older. If pregnancy or repeated pregnancies increase the risk of RA development it is of relevance to examine the available epidemiological data pertinent to this question and these are discussed below.

PREGNANCY AND RHEUMATOID ARTHRITIS

Women are obviously uniquely exposed to pregnancy as a ‘risk factor’, which is of interest given that one of the consistent aspects of the epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the two- to threefold female predominance in most series. This excess is apparent in all populations studied, both Caucasian and non-Caucasian. It was, for example, recently demonstrated in an island off the east coast of China that had reported one of the lowest recorded occurrences of RA.22 The increased incidence of RA in women declines postmenopausally, and by the age of 70 the incidence in the two sexes is similar. Others have found no such menopausal decline, with females retaining their increased risk into the eighth decade. A female excess is not, however, unique to RA but is rather a phenomenon seen frequently in other autoimmune diseases. In this regard the demonstration that women with ‘poor reproductive histories’ are more likely to develop autoimmune thyroid disease is of interest, especially given the link between the latter and RA in families.

The US Health Examination Survey 20 years ago showed that there was a graded risk of RA with marital status. Thus single women were at the lowest risk, married women at the highest, and women with failed marriage at an intermediate risk (Fig. 1). Such a hierarchy is reasonably associated with increased exposure to pregnancy, though in part could be explained by age differences between the marital groups. The same survey showed that the age adjusted prevalence of RA was lowest in nulliparous women and highest in those with more than four children (Fig. 2). Other studies, however, have found no effect of marital status. A study by Kay and Bach also showed a lower fertility rate in women with RA than in age matched controls. This observation was partly ascribed to the effect of the disease, but reduced fertility was seen even in the subgroup with postmenopausal disease onset. There were differences, however, between seropositive and seronegative women (as classified by the serological techniques available at that time), the former having a higher fertility before disease onset. This group also had a higher rate of spontaneous abortions than either seronegative or control women. Thus pregnancy experience may be a risk factor in the aetiology of seropositive disease, with failed pregnancy a more potent risk factor. The latter would be consistent with the study on autoimmune thyroid disease mentioned above.

Epidemiological data collected for other purposes can be examined for their consistency in regard to the pregnancy hypothesis. There are differences in the association of HLA, and in particular the class II antigen DR4, and RA between men and women. A study of 440 RA patients showed that with increasing age of RA onset there was a linearly decreasing
likelihood of a women being DR4 positive.\textsuperscript{31} If DR4 is a marker for a genetic influence on disease aetiology then it is required to a greater extent in younger women. Conversely, in older women 'environmental' factors become progressively more important. Men did not show this phenomenon, suggesting that such putative environmental factor(s) change is restricted to women. The linear trend makes this factor unlikely to be the simple onset of the menopause as the progressive decline with age was observed premenopausally. The trend could be associated with increasing pregnancy experience as women age. It would be interesting to compare the HLA-DR4 status in parous and multiparous RA patients matched for age.

There is other indirect evidence possibly linking pregnancy with RA. Data from the Mayo Clinic showed a fairly convincing decline in RA incidence in Olmstead county since 1960 in women but not in men,\textsuperscript{25} though the difference in trends was not statistically significant.\textsuperscript{12} Although the decline was initially ascribed to trends in OC use, a subsequent case-control study of OC use in those same women showed no such association.\textsuperscript{11} An alternative explanation for the decline in these women is a reduced age adjusted pregnancy experience in the more recent cohorts. Such trends have been observed in England and Wales, with a shift towards older age at pregnancy and a reduction in total fertility.\textsuperscript{32} Contemporaneously there has been a trend towards a lower rate of seropositive RA in clinic attenders\textsuperscript{33}; which would be consistent with the observation above.

**Conclusions**

There are epidemiological data supporting the hypothesis that nulliparity is protective against seropositive RA and that increasing pregnancy experience, possibly restricted to pregnancies with an adverse outcome, might increase the risk. It is difficult to sustain such a hypothesis on epidemiological evidence alone without a coherent biological mechanism. Thus the link between RA and pregnancy may be immunologically mediated by fetal stimulation of the maternal immune system, which might occur progressively with repeated pregnancies and thus result in the production of autoantibodies. In pregnancies which have an adverse outcome, for example spontaneous abortion, this might suggest greater fetomaternal incompatibility. There is obviously large scope for retrospective studies of reproductive history in women with RA and prospective clinical and serological studies of women with poor reproductive histories.
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**Fig. 2** Age specific prevalence rates of rheumatoid arthritis in adult women by number of children.
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