Mechanism and treatment of hypertriglyceridaemia in gout
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SUMMARY Using the Intralipid lipid tolerance test we could not demonstrate any direct effect of serum triglyceride on uric acid or any influence of hyperuricaemia on triglyceride removal. This result supports previous studies suggesting that hyperuricaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia are linked through the association of obesity and alcohol excess rather than a direct cause and effect mechanism. It was possible to demonstrate significant reductions of serum triglyceride in patients with gout by reducing either their alcohol intake or body weight. Reduction of serum uric acid by probenecid had no effect on serum triglyceride or cholesterol. Similarly, allopurinol had no significant effect on serum triglyceride, but a significant fall of serum cholesterol was observed.

Considerable evidence now exists to support an association between gout and hypertriglyceridaemia (Feldman and Wallace, 1964; Darlington and Scott, 1972). We have previously suggested that this relationship exists because obesity and excessive alcohol consumption are common associates of both hypertriglyceridaemia and gout (Gibson and Grahame, 1974). Other studies have failed to implicate obesity in this way (Emmerson and Knowles, 1971), and a recent review has concluded that, although obesity may contribute to hypertriglyceridaemia in gout, it is unlikely to be the sole factor (Scott, 1977). Whether alcohol abuse is an additional cause which with obesity is sufficient to explain the phenomenon entirely remains controversial. Few studies have examined their independent contributions to hyperuricaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia simultaneously, and there is a common assumption that uric acid and triglyceride are linked by some alternative and fundamental metabolic mechanism (Mielants et al., 1973). In favour of this view is the reported concurrence of gout and elevated serum triglyceride levels in an isolated family (Bennett et al., 1973), the occasional reduction of serum triglyceride and enhanced triglyceride removal during allopurinol therapy (Bluestone et al., 1971), and the shared hypolipidaemic and uricosuric properties of both clofibrate (Trevaks and Lovell, 1965) and halofenate (Aronow et al., 1973).

The questions and therapeutic implications posed by these observations have prompted us to explore the relationship further by examining the influence of an exogenous triglyceride load on blood uric acid, the response of serum triglyceride during the drug treatment of hyperuricaemia, and the effects of alcohol restriction and weight reduction on serum uric acid and lipids.

Materials and methods

(1) Four hyperuricaemic subjects (mean age 50; mean weight 71 kg) and 6 patients with non-inflammatory musculoskeletal disorders (mean age 53; mean weight 61 kg) and normal blood uric acid levels received intravenous Intralipid 1 ml/kg body weight after an overnight fast. All subjects had normal fasting serum triglyceride levels. The Intralipid (experimental batch No. 199523) was injected as a bolus over a 2-minute period. Plasma triglyceride and serum uric acid were measured at regular intervals for 120 minutes. The circulating Intralipid triglyceride was estimated by nephelometry using plasma diluted to 1:50 with 0.9% saline as in the intravenous lipid tolerance test described by Lewis et al. (1972).

(2) Twenty-five male patients with a history of gout (mean age 58) were given either allopurinol 200 mg daily or probenecid 1·0 g daily for 4 weeks. The treatments were randomly allocated. None of the patients had received blood uric acid lowering agents during the preceding month. No restrictions were
placed on their dietary habits. Venous blood samples were obtained after an overnight fast for blood uric acid, cholesterol, and triglyceride before and on completing the treatment periods.

(3) Twelve gouty males (mean age 48) who drank 3 or 4 pints (1.5–2 l) of beer daily agreed to restrict or eliminate their alcohol consumption for 2 weeks. None had received drug treatment for hyperuricaemia during the 2 weeks preceding the study. The patients were encouraged to maintain their normal food intake as far as possible. Fasting blood samples for uric acid and lipids were obtained before and after alcohol abstention.

(4) Eleven obese patients with gout (mean age 49) who had received no blood uric acid lowering drugs for 2 weeks underwent a period of weight reduction over periods of 4 to 8 weeks. Each received written instructions about high calorie foods to be avoided, but all were requested to maintain normal alcohol consumption. Fasting blood uric acid, triglyceride, and cholesterol were estimated before and after the above experimental periods by the respective methods of Simmonds (1967), Cramp and Robertson (1968), and Levine and Zak (1964). Results were compared by Student’s t test.

Results

Intravenous Intralipid had no effect on blood uric acid levels (Table 1). Intralipid triglyceride was cleared rapidly from the circulation, reaching a mean peak of 135 mg/100 ml (1.52 mmol/l) above the fasting level. The half life (T½) ± SD of the serum triglyceride in the 4 hyperuricaemic patients was 16.4 ± 4.2 min and in the normouricaemic subjects was 16.06 ± 2.03 min (Fig. 1).

The results of the other three studies are outlined in Table 2.

Both allopurinol and probenecid exerted their expected effect on blood uric acid. Neither induced a significant reduction of serum triglyceride, but in 10 of the 14 subjects receiving allopurinol values did decline slightly. Of those receiving probenecid minor reductions of serum triglyceride occurred in 4. Serum cholesterol levels fell in 10 patients receiving allopurinol, and the difference in mean values reached statistical significance (t = 2.37; P < 0.05). These changes were unassociated with any change of body weight. By contrast, the mean value of cholesterol was unaltered by probenecid.

Alcohol restriction or abstention was achieved by 12 subjects for 2 weeks. Their normal average daily consumption was 5 pint (2 1/2 l) of beer, but since the study was conducted on an outpatient basis no attempt was made to gauge the precise diminution of intake. Striking and significant reductions of serum uric acid and triglyceride were observed (Fig. 2). A modest but insignificant fall of serum cholesterol was also seen. Three patients lost between 2 and 4 kg body weight during the experiment, but mean values did not differ significantly (Table 2). Evaluation of the 9 patients whose body weights remained constant (77 ± 13.4 kg before and 77.8 ± 13.5 kg after alcohol restriction) revealed a still significant reduction of mean serum uric acid from 8.31 ± 0.7 mg/100 ml (0.50 ± 0.04 mmol/l) to 7.2 ± 0.33 mg/100 ml (0.39 ± 0.01 mmol/l) (t = 3.77; P < 0.01). Serum triglyceride fell from 269 ± 166 mg/100 ml (3.03 ± 1.87 mmol/l) to 168 ± 52 mg/100 ml (1.89 ± 0.58 mmol/l) (t = 2.61; P < 0.05).

![Fig. 1 Intravenous Intralipid clearance in hyperuricaemic and normouricaemic subjects.](http://ard.bmj.com/)

### Table 1 Effect of Intravenous Intralipid on mean serum uric acid (±SD) in hyperuricaemic and normouricaemic patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyperuricaemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum uric acid mg/100 ml (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.44 (0.46 ± 0.08)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.25 (0.47 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.0 (0.47 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.1 (0.46 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.0 (0.46 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.0 (0.47 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.0 (0.47 ± 0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralipid (%)</td>
<td>56 ± 18</td>
<td>34 ± 14</td>
<td>16 ± 9</td>
<td>6 ± 5</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normouricaemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum uric acid mg/100 ml (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5 (0.32 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.0 (0.3 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.2 (0.31 ± 0.08)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 1.4 (0.3 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.1 (0.32 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.1 (0.3 ± 0.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intralipid (%)</td>
<td>51 ± 9</td>
<td>25 ± 10</td>
<td>14 ± 4</td>
<td>3 ± 3</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Influence of allopurinol, probenecid, alcohol restriction, and weight reduction on mean (± SD) fasting serum uric acid, triglyceride, and cholesterol (n=number of observations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Body weight</th>
<th>Serum uric acid (mg/100 ml (mmol/l))</th>
<th>Serum triglyceride (mg/100 ml (mmol/l))</th>
<th>Serum cholesterol (mg/100 ml (mmol/l))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopurinol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.3 ± 15.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.45 (0.5 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>142 ± 107 (1.6 ± 1.2)</td>
<td>243 ± 50 (6.3 ± 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.0 ± 15.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.75 (0.37 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>125 ± 69 (1.41 ± 0.77)</td>
<td>224 ± 40 (5.8 ± 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.97 (n=14)</td>
<td>5.69 (n=13)</td>
<td>1.66 (n=14)</td>
<td>2.37 (n=14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probenecid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.3 ± 14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.98 ± 1.33 (0.47 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>134 ± 48 (1.5 ± 0.54)</td>
<td>240 ± 24 (6.2 ± 0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.5 ± 14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.57 ± 1.17 (0.33 ± 0.06)</td>
<td>146 ± 57 (1.64 ± 0.64)</td>
<td>251 ± 44 (6.5 ± 1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.9 (n=11)</td>
<td>8.96 (n=11)</td>
<td>1.37 (n=11)</td>
<td>1.07 (n=11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol restriction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.6 ± 13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5 ± 1.1 (0.48 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>248 ± 159 (2.8 ± 1.8)</td>
<td>251 ± 42 (6.5 ± 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.0 ± 12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.76 (0.43 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>147 ± 51 (1.66 ± 0.57)</td>
<td>213 ± 57 (5.5 ± 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>1.45 (n=11)</td>
<td>6.4 (n=12)</td>
<td>2.66 (n=12)</td>
<td>1.9 (n=12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.025</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.3 ± 9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.42 (0.46 ± 0.08)</td>
<td>237 ± 156 (2.7 ± 1.76)</td>
<td>255 ± 34 (6.6 ± 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.4 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7 ± 1.3 (0.39 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>150 ± 75 (1.7 ± 0.84)</td>
<td>256 ± 44 (6.6 ± 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>5.27 (n=11)</td>
<td>2.1 (n=11)</td>
<td>2.31 (n=11)</td>
<td>0.22 (n=11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2  Effect of alcohol restriction and weight reduction on individual fasting serum triglyceride levels.

Significant weight loss in 11 patients resulted in falls of serum uric acid and triglyceride but not cholesterol (Table 1). The decline of the mean uric acid value just failed to reach the conventional level of statistical significance (t=2.1;  P<0.1). Serum triglyceride was reduced significantly, and the magnitude of individual changes was similar to that obtained by alcohol restriction (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Discussion

The possibility that circulating triglyceride may exert a direct effect on blood uric acid levels needs to be entertained, particularly since some drugs introduced as lipid lowering agents may also possess hypouricaemic properties (Trevaks and Lovell, 1965; Aronow et al., 1973). We were unable to demonstrate such an effect when triglyceride levels were artificially raised by injection of Intralipid fat emulsion. Arguably, prolonged infusion of Intralipid would have better simulated clinical hyperlipidaemia, but resultant ketosis would have induced renal retention of uric acid and complicated interpretation of the results. Others have shown that elevation of blood uric acid seen during infusions of several hours is slight and is abolished by correction of ketosis (Elkeles and Chalmers, 1977).

The clearance rate of Intralipid triglyceride has an indirect and hyperbolic relationship with the level of fasting serum triglyceride (Lewis et al., 1972). Defects of triglyceride removal demonstrated by this test may contribute to hypertriglyceridaemia (Bobert et al., 1969) and the variable fall of fasting triglyceride reported by Bluestone et al. (1971) during allopurinol treatment was associated with an increased fractional turnover of Intralipid triglyceride. In our study clearance of Intralipid was identical in patients with and without hyperuricaemia, suggesting that blood uric acid levels do not directly influence triglyceride removal. In an analogous study using the Intralipid tolerance test it was not possible to demonstrate any direct effect of triglyceride on glucose tolerance or of glucose on triglyceride removal (Gibson et al., 1974). These investigations...
support the view that hyperuricaemia, like carbohydrate intolerance, is linked to hypertriglyceridaemia by shared factors rather than by a cause and effect mechanism.

Reduction of blood uric acid by 2 drugs with dissimilar modes of action failed to exert any significant effect on fasting triglyceride levels. In a majority of patients receiving allopurinol, triglyceride levels showed a downward trend. This finding was similar to that observed by Bluestone et al. (1971). The lack of any consistent effect suggests that uric acid metabolism is not invariably and intimately bound to that of triglyceride. It is possible that more prolonged periods of treatment may influence triglyceride levels significantly, and further studies are awaited. The effect of allopurinol on cholesterol has not, to our knowledge, been previously documented. The observation merits closer evaluation in a larger group of patients before its significance can be fully determined.

The association of both hyperuricaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia with alcohol excess is well recognised, but the mechanisms are disputed. The best established explanation for the elevation of blood uric acid following alcohol consumption is that urate clearance is reduced by a rise in blood lactate (Lieber et al., 1962). However, there is equally convincing, though less frequently quoted evidence that alcohol exerts an effect by increasing uric acid production (Delbarre et al., 1967). This view is in accord with our own experience (unpublished observations).

There is similar uncertainty about the mechanism by which alcohol may influence serum triglyceride. The increased esterification of free fatty acids in man (Nestel and Hirsch, 1965) and the increased synthesis of lipoproteins in rats (Baraona and Lieber, 1970) argue in favour of accelerated triglyceride production. However, Losowsky et al. (1963) have suggested that reduced lipoprotein lipase activity may impair lipid removal from the circulation. Even modest doses of alcohol will cause a consistent rise of serum triglyceride (Taskinen and Nikkila, 1977), but the magnitude and duration of response may be related to pre-existing lipoprotein disorder or other factors (Mendelson and Mello, 1973). This might explain why a wide range of fasting triglyceride levels was seen in our patients whose daily alcohol consumption, although excessive, did not differ much between subjects. Nevertheless, our results clearly demonstrated that alcohol abstention has a marked effect on serum triglyceride in gouty patients. The fall in fasting triglyceride was consistent, and greater in those with a higher initial degree of elevation. It could not be explained on the basis of weight reduction alone. The results were remarkably similar to those achieved by Chait et al. (1972) in non-gouty hypertriglyceridaemic subjects. The concomitant fall in serum uric acid following alcohol restriction was significant and similar to that previously noted following alcohol withdrawal (Lieber et al., 1962).

Recent univariate analysis of several thousand men has confirmed a correlation between body weight, obesity, and serum uric acid (Yano et al., 1977). The same study showed that serum triglyceride was correlated with obesity with almost equal significance. The mechanisms linking hyperuricaemia and hypertriglyceridaemia with obesity remain obscure. Urate production may be increased and urate renal clearance reduced by obesity (Emmerson, 1973). A significant fall in blood uric acid which was correlated with the extent of weight loss has been reported by Nicholls and Scott (1972), but there was no consistent change of uric acid production as measured by urine uric acid excretion or of urate clearance. The hypertriglyceridaemia of obesity might be explained on the basis of insulin resistance, hyperinsulinaemia and subsequent accelerated hepatic triglyceride production (Kral et al., 1977). Whatever the biochemical sequence, weight reduction is undoubtedly one effective approach to the treatment of hypertriglyceridaemia (Olefsky et al., 1974), especially when combined with careful counselling (Gotto et al., 1977).

The dual effect of weight loss on serum uric acid and triglyceride has been previously documented by Scott and Sturge (1977) and Elkeles (1976). Both these studies also reported significant reductions of serum cholesterol, an observation we failed to confirm. Obesity may well influence serum cholesterol adversely, but the association seems less pronounced than that with hypertriglyceridaemia (Bray et al., 1976). The difference between our results and those obtained by Scott and Sturge (1977) and Elkeles (1976) cannot be readily explained. The average initial weight of the patients and the response of body weight to diet was similar in all 3 studies.

The clinical implications of hypertriglyceridaemia remain controversial, and recent studies have reached conclusions as disparate as their forerunners. An increased risk of ischaemic heart disease has been convincingly demonstrated by Pelkonen et al. (1977), but Gordon et al. (1977) have claimed that the risk is slight and confined to women. It would nevertheless seem prudent to investigate the possibility of hypertriglyceridaemia in all gouty and hyperuricaemic patients. We have shown that orthodox dietary measures can have a marked influence on serum triglyceride levels in gout, and the same dietary amendments may also reduce serum uric acid. Our earlier study (Gibson and Grahame, 1974) suggested that obesity, alcohol excess, or both are
the main causes of hypertriglyceridaemia in gout, and our subsequent experience has failed to isolate more than the occasional patient whose elevated triglyceride cannot be explained on this basis. The results of the current study do not suggest a more direct influence of serum uric acid on triglyceride or vice versa. We would therefore advocate that gouty patients with hypertriglyceridaemia should be given dietary counselling, since hypouricaemic treatment may ameliorate the more obvious disease without regard to the other and perhaps more pernicious sequel of sumptuous dietary habits.
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