Brain scan diagnosis of central nervous system involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus
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SUMMARY Twenty-five patients with 29 episodes of active systemic lupus erythematosus with central nervous system involvement were studied according to a uniform protocol. Brain scans were found to be abnormal in all patients studied, and electroencephalograms were abnormal in 20/25 patients. Serial brain scanning was found to be useful in the diagnosis of exacerbations and the monitoring of corticosteroid dosage.

In a recent study of 110 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), we found major neuropsychiatric manifestations in 44 patients (40%) including severe psychiatric disturbances or localised neurological lesions (Lee et al., 1977). The reported frequency of such manifestations in SLE ranges from 14–75% (Bennahum and Messner, 1975; Feinglass et al., 1976), the incidence varying with the criteria used for inclusion.

In many instances, minor neuropsychiatric disturbances or severe headaches without localising signs are excluded because of poor neuropsychiatric and neuropathological correlations (Johnson and Richardson, 1968); yet these might represent active SLE with central nervous system (CNS) involvement. Available diagnostic procedures such as CSF examination or arteriography are frequently not helpful in diagnosing active CNS lupus.

Recent evidence seems to indicate that the radionuclide brain scan can be useful in the diagnosis of CNS involvement in SLE (Bennahum et al., 1974). The present study reports our experience with radionuclide brain scan in SLE.

Material and methods

PATIENTS Twenty-five patients with active CNS SLE were studied. There were 21 females and 4 males, with a mean age of 34.9 years (range 21–75 years with only 3 patients over the age of 50) and a mean duration of SLE of 4.7 years. These patients were studied prospectively over a 1 year period from November 1974 to November 1975. Twenty-two patients had 1 episode each of CNS involvement, 2 patients had 2, and 1 patient had 3 episodes. Active CNS SLE was defined as the presence of one or more of the following: psychosis, intractable headache, seizures, organic brain syndrome, cranial nerve palsies, or hemiparesis.

CLINICAL EVALUATION Each patient with SLE was assessed clinically according to a uniform protocol (Lee et al., 1977). Laboratory tests performed included complete blood counts, Westergren sedimentation rate, liver function tests, urinalysis, renal function tests, Coombs's test, VDRL, protein electrophoresis, latex fixation test, LE prep, fluorescent antinuclear factor test using rat liver slices, DNA binding by the Farr technique using 141I, total haemolytic complement (CH50), C3 levels, EEG, and brain scan. A lumbar puncture was performed on each patient and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) studied for cell count, differential, glucose, total protein and IgG levels, and cultures.

CONTROLS Four patients with SLE (3 female and 1 male), mean age 29.8 years, and disease duration of 7.1 years had clinically active disease without CNS involvement at the time of study and were used as controls. A further 4 females with a mean age of 36.5 years and disease duration of 4 years had inactive SLE, clinically and serologically were also used as controls. Thirty-two brain scans of patients with miscellaneous diagnoses such as headache, head injury, dizzy spells, epilepsy, cerebrovascular accidents were included as controls.
RADIONUCLIDE STUDIES
Patients were premedicated with 400 mg of potassium perchlorate 1 hour before the intravenous injection of 15 millicuries (mCi) of sodium 99 pertechnetate. Static brain scintiscans were performed 2$\frac{1}{2}$ to 3 hours later using a Searle Instrumentation Phogamma III/HP gamma camera with a 4000 hole low energy parallel hole collimator. Anterior, posterior, right and left lateral views were recorded on Polaroid film.

Brain scans were performed on SLE patients with each presentation of active CNS involvement. Most patients were restudied after an interval of 10–21 days, usually after an adjustment in therapy had been made.

BRAIN SCAN INTERPRETATIONS
Brain scan abnormalities fell into 5 distinct patterns: the first seen on the lateral view showed a localised parietal extension of increased activity with a 'saddle shaped' outline (Fig. 1). The following 3 patterns were identified on anterior-posterior views: (a) a generalised peripheral rim widening (Fig. 2), (b) a blurring and thickening of the peripheral rim of radionuclide uptake with inward extension of parasagittal activity producing the 'draped curtain' pattern (Fig. 3), and (c) a localised pattern of increased activity on the anterior view indicating increased activity in the fronto-parasagittal area.

---

Fig. 1 Brain scan: left lateral view showing a localised parietal extension of increased radionuclide activity giving a 'saddle shaped' pattern. The normal left lateral view is shown on the left for comparison.

Fig. 2 Brain scan: posterior view showing a generalised 'peripheral rim' widening pattern. Normal posterior view on the left for comparison.

Fig. 3 Brain scan: anterior view showing a 'draped curtain' pattern. Normal anterior view on left for comparison.
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(Fig. 4). The final pattern was a diffuse increase in radionuclide activity seen in all 4 views (Fig. 5). The common feature in all 5 patterns was their peripheral location. Reproducibility studies based on scans read blindly from the 25 patients and 32 controls revealed a reading accuracy of 96%.

THERAPY

Once a brain scan abnormality compatible with CNS SLE was detected and CSF infection was excluded, prednisone therapy was either instituted or its dose increased. Prednisone dose was adjusted to control clinical symptoms and to achieve significant improvement toward eventual resolution of brain scan abnormalities.

Results

PATIENTS

The clinical features in the 25 patients with 29
episodes of CNS lupus are summarised in Table 1. As can be seen, 24 episodes of CNS lupus presented with psychosis. Twenty-one episodes were characterised by intractable headache persisting over 1 week and unresponsive to large doses of codeine. It is worth noting that in 6 patients the intractable headache was the sole presenting feature of their CNS lupus. In the remaining patients (15/21) psychosis and intractable headache occurred simultaneously. Four vessel cerebral angiograms were performed in 2 patients with seizures within 48 hours of hospitalisation and these were normal. Five patients had organic brain syndrome characterised by delirium, emotional inadequacy, loss of contact with reality, and impairment in concentration and/or memory as manifestations of CNS lupus which responded to corticosteroid therapy. One patient had a transient partial left oculomotor nerve palsy. Both patients with hemiparesis responded completely to an increase in corticosteroid therapy.

**SEROLOGICAL EVALUATION**

The serological findings in the 25 patients are summarised in Table 2. ANF was detected in 25 of the 29 episodes and the LE prep was positive in 12. Increased anti-DNA levels (>20% DNA binding by the Farr technique) were present in 18. Twenty-two of the 29 episodes had decreased levels of complement (CH₅₀) or C3.

**CNS EVALUATION**

The results of CNS investigation at the time of diagnosis are summarised in Table 3. Of the 20 patients tested, none had CSF pleocytosis and only 50% had elevated CSF protein. Of the 13 patients tested, none had decreased CSF IgG levels. EEG was abnormal in 20 of the 25 patients tested. The most frequent (16/25) abnormalities on EEG were focal changes involving the temporal, fronto-temporal, or temporoparietal areas. Four patients had diffuse slow delta wave activity compatible with encephalopathy. None of these 4 patients had any other underlying cause for encephalopathy. Brain scans were abnormal in all cases with suspected CNS lupus. No patients were found to have CNS infection. All brain scans performed on control lupus patients were normal.

**RESPONSE TO THERAPY**

As a group the mean daily prednisone dose at the time of diagnosis was 15.6 mg (range 0-50 mg) and the mean daily prednisone dose required to obtain a therapeutically effective response or remission of the CNS lupus was 57 mg, an average increase of 3.7 times in prednisone dosage.

Twenty-three of 25 patients improved on therapy and in these brain scans resolved toward normal. Two patients died with active CNS lupus, despite an increase in the prednisone therapy. Neuropathological examination in one was normal and in the second revealed a sterile leptomenigitis with evidence of cerebral atrophy and positive immunofluorescent staining for IgM in the choroid plexus.

**Discussion**

Central nervous system involvement in SLE is common, yet remains difficult to diagnose in many instances. This is especially true when CNS lupus presents as either a psychiatric disorder or as intractable headaches. Previous large series (Harvey et al., 1954; Estes and Christian, 1971; Dubois, 1974; Sergent et al., 1975; Feinglass et al., 1976) of patients with CNS SLE, failed to emphasise the importance of intractable headache as a feature of CNS involvement. In our series, 21 patients had intractable headache as an important presenting feature. Six of these patients had intractable headache as the sole presenting feature of their CNS lupus.

Although CNS involvement in patients with SLE has been associated with more severe diseases as evidenced by clinical and/or serological activity (Bennahum and Messner, 1975; Gibson and Myers, 1976; Lee et al., 1977), serological parameters have
been poor indicators of CNS involvement. Depressed serum complement and elevated DNA-antibodies may occur in patients with CNS SLE, but are not as consistent as in lupus nephritis, to be useful for diagnosis. As seen in Table 2, elevated DNA-antibodies were detected in only 18 of 29 episodes and depressed CH,_50 or C3 complement levels were detected during 22 episodes of CNS lupus. CSF findings of increased DNA-antibodies, DNA-anti-DNA complexes, reduced levels of C3 and IgG again are not consistent markers of active CNS disease (Petz et al., 1971; Levin et al., 1972; Hadler et al., 1973; Lindstrom and Sjoholm, 1975; Small et al., 1977).

The EEG was abnormal in 80% of our cases, but 5/25 or 20% with active CNS involvement showed no abnormality. This finding is in agreement with that of both Feinglass et al. (1976) where 71% of those patients with CNS lupus had abnormal EEG findings, and Gibson and Myers (1976) who demonstrated abnormal EEG in 84% of patients with CNS SLE.

All of our patients with active CNS lupus had abnormal brain scans at the time of diagnosis of their disease. This is in agreement with Bennahum et al. (1974) who reported abnormal brain scans in 11/12 scans in 6 patients with active CNS lupus. It is, however, in marked contrast to other published reports. Feinglass et al. (1976) found only 2/26 brain scans to be abnormal among 26 neuropsychiatric episodes, despite the fact that 20 of 24 patients with normal scans had focal neurological signs and/or seizures.

Only 4 of the patients studied by Gibson and Myers (1976) had abnormal brain scans. However, they did not state how the scans were performed and how many of their patients had scans. Small et al. (1977) detected only 2 abnormal static brain scans of 10 scans performed on patients with CNS SLE. Fifty per cent of flow studies performed on these patients were abnormal. Again the scanning method was not reported. The discrepancy between these reports and our results may in part be due to the different technique used in brain scanning. We used the gamma camera to record the brain scans whereas Feinglass et al. (1976) and perhaps the others (Gibson and Myers, 1976; Small et al., 1977) used the rectilinear brain scanner.

The rectilinear scanner accepts optimal radiation from a focal plane 2½ inches below the scalp, parallel to the collimator movement, but radiation from off focus planes is blurred. The gamma camera on the other hand, with the focal parallel hole collimator does not have a focal plane but accepts radiation from all over the scalp and may therefore be better for detecting pathology in the cortex.

We found sequential brain scans to be a reliable measure of either continued activity or resolution of CNS involvement, and relied on them in making therapeutic decisions. With definite deterioration in the clinical status associated with worsening of brain scan abnormalities in our patients we increased prednisone usually by doubling or tripling the previous dose until a clinical response or remission was attained.

Twenty-seven episodes of CNS lupus responded to therapy, 2 did not. Those patients in whom the brain scan abnormalities persisted or worsened were those who had a protracted clinical course of CNS lupus. They also required a higher dose of corticosteroid for a prolonged period of time for control of their CNS lupus. Two patients died with active CNS SLE despite high daily dose of corticosteroid (prednisone 100 mg). Neuropathological examination in one was normal and in the second revealed a sterile leptomeningitis with evidence of cerebral atrophy and positive immunofluorescent staining for IgM in the choroid plexus. This finding may support the hypothesis that the pathogenesis of CNS lupus may be secondary to an immune complex disease (Atkins et al., 1972).
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