Correlation between levels of DNA antibodies and clinical disease activity in SLE
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SUMMARY Sera were tested from 23 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus followed over a period of 1 to 5 years. Antibodies to native DNA were measured and correlated retrospectively with clinical evidence of disease activity. The overall degree of correlation between the presence of DNA antibodies and evidence of disease activity was good (P<0.001). Of 206 sera tested, only 4 had a DNA antibody at a time when significant clinical activity was noted. In contrast, 34 sera had mild to moderately raised DNA antibody levels at times of clinical remission. Although DNA antibodies are a useful investigation in the monitoring of disease activity, changes in therapy should not necessarily be made on DNA antibody levels alone.

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), although a relatively uncommon disease, provides one of the best examples of an immune complex disease in man. An antigen of major importance in SLE is double-stranded native DNA (n-DNA), and the ability to measure antibody to n-DNA by various techniques has proved particularly useful in diagnosing and monitoring disease activity (Koffler et al., 1971; Koffler, 1974). This paper presents our evaluation of DNA antibody measurements in monitoring disease activity in 23 cases of SLE followed-up over a period of 1–5 years.

Materials and methods

206 sera from 23 cases who satisfy the ARA criteria for the classification of SLE were studied. All cases have been studied serially over at least one year. Serum samples were not taken more frequently than at 2-weekly intervals.

Antibodies to n-DNA were measured using a millipore filter technique (Ginsberg and Keiser, 1973) and 3H labelled n-DNA extracted from a human amnion cell line (HAE 70) (Russell and Percy, 1974). Normal levels in our laboratory are 0–10% (<3.7 μg DNA bound/ml serum). This method using a uniform DNA gives reproducible results: 2 SD=4.9%. Internal control is obtained by including three standard reference sera. The purity of the extracted DNA was checked using the following parameters. (1) Reduction in fluorescence with ethidium bromide at increased pH showed less than a 5% contamination with single-stranded chains or breaks (Morgan and Pulleybank, 1974). (2) Test DNA did not react with a rabbit antibody to single-stranded DNA. (3) Rate of incorporation of H14CHO was within the expected range for n-DNA (McGhee and von Hippel, 1975). (4) Single-stranded DNA was removed from the double-stranded DNA by millipore filter due to the affinity of single-stranded DNA for nitrocellulose (Riggs et al., 1970).

Clinical evidence of disease activity was assessed by the presence of the following clinical signs or laboratory tests: active dermal manifestations, mouth ulcers, active hair loss, synovitis, pleurisy, pericarditis, urinary cellular casts, rising or persistently raised (>3.5 g/24 h) proteinuria, mental deterioration or recent neurological signs, myositis, thrombocytopenia, or persistent unexplained fever. Emphasis was placed on the presence of an actively changing clinical status rather than simply on evidence of previous but clinically quiescent disease. Because objective assessment is so difficult, no more precise attempt at quantitation was used. Evidence of clinical activity was noted without prior knowledge of the DNA antibody levels.
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Results

The correlation between serum DNA binding levels and the clinical activity at the time is shown in the Table. There is a significant correlation between the presence of abnormal levels of DNA antibody and evidence of clinical disease activity (χ²=81.36; P<0.001). In addition, there is a general trend for the amount of DNA binding to correlate with the degree of disease activity as assessed by the number of positive clinical criteria. 118 sera had normal DNA binding and no evidence of disease activity, whereas 50 sera had high DNA binding at a time when there was some clinical evidence of activity. In only 4 sera were DNA antibodies normal at a time when some degree of clinical activity was present. In 34 sera DNA antibodies were found in varying abnormal quantities at a time when there was no clinical evidence of disease activity, i.e. a serologically active, clinically quiescent disease state. In only 11 of these instances did the high DNA binding reflect evidence of recently remitted disease activity or was it associated with impending evidence of clinical relapse. In the other 23 instances (usually those cases where DNA binding was in the intermediate 10–20 % range) serological evidence of activity was not associated with any evidence of clinical activity. These midly abnormal levels usually fell spontaneously to normal on subsequent testing.

Table Numbers of sera showing correlation between clinical evidence of disease activity and corresponding levels of DNA binding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA binding (%)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The relative importance of using antibodies to double-stranded DNA as a diagnostic test has been suggested by many studies since they were first detected in SLE sera in 1958. More recently, several reports have challenged the specificity of these antibodies since they have been found in significant numbers of patients with discoid LE (Davis and Hughes, 1974), active chronic hepatitis (Davis and Read, 1975), and both rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile rheumatoid arthritis (Bell et al., 1975). Serial quantitation of these antibodies has been suggested as a valuable guide to the assessment of clinical disease activity. Lightfoot in particular has shown that these antibodies fluctuate with disease activity and that high levels of DNA antibody in clinically quiescent patients may herald disease relapse, although this relapse can occur after a considerable delay (Lightfoot et al., 1975). This correlation is not absolute and persistent serological activity may occur in the presence of persistent disease remission. The overall correlation between the presence of abnormally high DNA antibodies and clinical disease activity in our study is encouraging, although our results emphasize the problems of using only one test to assess disease activity. While only four sera had normal DNA antibodies at times of clinical activity, it is important to note that the converse was true in 34. Only in 11 instances did these sera represent recent remission or impending relapse of disease activity. Although many of these sera had DNA binding in an intermediate range (10–20 % binding≈3.7–7.4 µg DNA bound/ml serum) we believe these results represent true serological disease activity.

Recent workshops on DNA antibodies in Los Angeles (UCLA Symposium, 1975), and Amsterdam (Feltkamp, 1975) have highlighted the problem of the quality of DNA used in various assay systems. The DNA used in our millipore filter assay is, however, well characterized with a molecular weight (MW) of 10^5. Recent work presented by Aarden and colleagues suggest that the MW of DNA used as the antigen may be important in evaluating DNA antibodies (Aarden et al., 1975). In particular, higher binding in radioimmunoassays may be seen with high MW DNA (10^7) when compared with lower MW DNA (10^5). The DNA used in our system is known to be free of significant quantities of single-stranded DNA ends or breaks as assessed by the techniques previously described. One explanation for the lack of correlation between DNA antibody in 34 sera levels and disease activity may be the lack of sensitivity of the criteria used to assess clinical activity. Edmonds and Hughes (1974) have reported that a significant number of patients who were previously thought to be in remission did have evidence of disease activity with more extensive clinical investigation.

Our results suggest that although useful, DNA antibodies alone should not be the only criterion by which disease activity in SLE is assessed but that other parameters such as serum complement levels and evidence of circulating immune complexes may produce useful supplementary information (Davis et al., 1977). Evaluation of levels of DNA antibody should also be made in the light of current views on the antigen and method used.
Our findings essentially agree with data from previous reports, but, as the emphasis of these articles has been in other areas, the relationship between DNA binding and clinical disease activity is not always clear. Serial samples in our patients have enabled us to document definite, though mild, serologically active disease in the absence of active clinical features. In addition, the high degree of characterization of the DNA used in our assay system excludes the possibility that the similar results obtained in previous studies were due to contamination of test antigen by single-stranded DNA.
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