Factors affecting urate solubility in vitro
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Acute gouty arthritis occurs when crystals of mono-
sodium urate form and deposit in joints and con-
nective tissue. These crystals then provoke the
characteristic acute inflammatory response of gout
(Seegmiller, 1965), and may accumulate chronically
forming gouty tophi.

Although deposition of urate crystals from hyper-
uricaemic body fluids is an essential requirement in
the pathogenesis of gout, only a small percentage of
hyperuricaemic individuals ever shows clinical signs
of gout. The factor or factors predisposing certain
hyperuricaemic persons and not others to develop
gout are as yet unknown. Thus, it is important for
an understanding of the pathogenesis of gout to exa-
mine the factors which influence urate solubility and
which may ultimately prove to be responsible for
the initiation of urate crystal formation.

Factors presently known to influence urate solu-
ibility include pH, temperature, ionic strength, and
the binding of urate to macromolecules, such as plasma
proteins and mucopolysaccharides of connective
tissue. We have recently published a theoretical dis-
cussion of factors influencing urate solubility (Wilcox,
The present communication summarizes the results
of laboratory studies designed to further quantify
some parameters of urate solubility in buffers and
biological fluids.

Materials and methods

Monosodium urate was either purchased from K&K
Laboratories, Inc. (Plainview, N.Y.) or prepared from
uric acid as previously described (Buchanan, Klinenberg,
and Seegmiller, 1965). Human crystalline albumin (Pentex,
Grade B, electrophoretically pure) and PIPES (Piperazine
N,N'-bis (2-ethane sulfonic acid)) were purchased from
Calbiochem (La Jolla, Calif.). Uricase from hog liver was
obtained from Worthington Biochemical (Freehold,
New Jersey).

Sodium urate was determined as uric acid (using a mole-
cular weight of 168.11) by an enzymatic spectropho-
metric method (Liddle, Seegmiller, and Laster, 1959) using
a Gilford Model 2400 spectrophotometer. All pH deter-
minations were made with a Corning Model 112 pH meter.
Centrifugation was done in a Sorvall RC2-B centrifuge
equipped with a Sorvall SS-34 head.

DETERMINATION OF URATE SOLUBILITY
To determine the urate solubility of each solution, three
ml of the solution to be tested was added to a 16 ml glass
test tube containing a small magnetic stirring bar. The
test tubes were placed on a magnetic stirrer and maintained
at the desired temperature. After 16 hours the solutions
were centrifuged at 12,000 g in a 6 ml glass centrifuge
tube and the supernatant was removed. The centrifugation
was repeated until no precipitate was visible. Two centri-
fugations were usually sufficient. The final supernatant
was then assayed for urate. Using this procedure, urate
crystals could not be detected in the final supernatant by
light microscopy. Care was taken to maintain the desired
temperature closely during all steps of the procedure in-
cluding centrifugation.

URATE SOLUBILITY IN BUFFER SOLUTIONS
The effect of sodium on urate solubility was determined
in 0.01 mol/l. potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.40, con-
taining appropriate amounts of sodium chloride. The
desired pH was obtained by titrating solutions of mono-
basic and dibasic potassium phosphate.

The effect of pH on urate solubility was determined in
0.01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer containing 0.15 mol/l.
sodium chloride and adjusted to the desired pH by titrating
solutions of monobasic and tribasic sodium phosphate.

The effect of albumin on urate solubility was deter-
mined by adding the appropriate amount of albumin to
3 ml 0.01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.40, con-
taining 0.15 mol/l. sodium chloride and 100 mg/100 ml
sodium urate. The albumin was dissolved by gentle mixing.

All buffers were boiled before use. Excess sodium urate
(approximately 100 mg/100 ml) was added to solutions
before titration to prevent pH changes due to the urate
itself.

URATE SOLUBILITY IN BIOLOGICAL FLUIDS
All blood and urine samples were obtained from normal
volunteers. Blood was drawn in heparinized vacutainers,
separated by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 3500 r.p.m.
and the plasma frozen at −20°C until the time of assay (not
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more than 2 weeks. Ultrafiltrates of plasma were prepared by negative pressure ultrafiltration through a collodion membrane. Before assay for urate solubility, three ml aliquots of some plasma samples were dialysed for 16 hours at 4°C against 0-01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7-4, with or without 0-15 mol/l. sodium chloride. Synovial fluid samples were obtained from patients with inflammatory or traumatic arthritis. None of the subjects from which biological fluid samples were obtained were taking drugs known to inhibit urate binding to macromolecules (Bluestone, Kippen, and Klinenberg, 1969; Whitehouse, Kippen, and Klinenberg, 1971). 3 ml of each fluid to be tested was placed in a glass test tube with a small magnetic stirring bar. 10 mg sodium urate were added and the sample incubated for 16 hours at 37°C with slow stirring. After 16 hrs each sample was subjected to the centrifugation procedure described above, and the final supernatant assayed for urate.

Results

Fig. 1 shows the effect of sodium chloride concentration on sodium urate solubility in 0-01 mol/l. potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7-40, at 37°C and 26°C. The curves are least-squares fits to the data.

At a concentration of 0-12 mol/l. sodium chloride, the solubility of sodium urate is less than 10% of the low salt value. Over the physiological range from about 0-13 to 0-15 mol/l., there is little additional effect of increasing sodium concentration. Urate solubility is approximately 40% less at 26°C than at 37°C over the entire range of the curves.

Fig. 2 shows the effect of pH on sodium urate solubility in 0-01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer.

\[ \text{Urate solubility (mg/l) vs. NaCl concentration (mol/l)} \]

\[ \text{Fig. 1 Effect of sodium chloride on sodium urate solubility in 0-01 mol/l. potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7-4, at 37°C (●) and 26°C (×), using sodium urate (K & K Laboratories). The curves are least-squares fits to the data.} \]

\[ \text{pH 7-40, containing 0-15 mol/l. sodium chloride. The urate solubility is 6-6 mg/100 ml at 37°C and 3-7 mg/100 ml at 26°C.} \]

Fig. 3 shows the effect of albumin on sodium urate solubility at 4°C, 26°C, and 37°C. The curves have a sigmoid shape at all temperatures. The increase in urate solubility produced by 50 mg/ml albumin is 45% at 4°C, 44% at 26°C, and 41% at 37°C.

Fig. 4 shows the solubility of sodium urate in biological fluids. The mean values in mg/100 ml plus or minus one standard error of the mean are as follows: plasma 10-6 ± 0-5; plasma dialysed against 0-01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7-40, 49-5 ± 0-6; plasma dialysed against the same phosphate buffer containing 0-15 mol/l. sodium chloride, 10-7 ± 0-5; urine 21-4 ± 1-9; and synovial fluid 10-0 ± 0-7. Dialysing plasma against the sodium chloride-containing buffer did not change the urate solubility, whereas dialysing plasma against the buffer not containing sodium chloride resulted in a fivefold increase in urate solubility. The mean value for urate solubility in urine was about twice that of plasma and considerably more variable.

Fig. 5 shows the effect of ultrafiltration on urate solubility in plasma. In almost every case the urate solubility in a plasma ultrafiltrate was lower than in
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![Graph showing the effect of human serum albumin on sodium urate solubility in 0.01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer containing 0.15 mol/l. sodium chloride at 37°C, 26°C, and 4°C. Each point represents the mean of 4 determinations. The standard error of the mean was less than 0.2 for all points except 80 mg/ml albumin at 26°C where it was 0.38.](image)

**FIG. 3** Effect of human serum albumin on sodium urate solubility in 0.01 mol/l. sodium phosphate buffer containing 0.15 mol/l. sodium chloride at 37°C, 26°C, and 4°C. Each point represents the mean of 4 determinations. The standard error of the mean was less than 0.2 for all points except 80 mg/ml albumin at 26°C where it was 0.38.

The plasma from which it was made. When comparing the plasma samples with their ultrafiltrates, the mean decrease in urate solubility resulting from ultrafiltration was 24% (P < 0.01 using 't' test for paired data).

**Discussion**

When urate crystals are found in the body they tend to be located in relatively avascular and peripheral tissues. Urate crystal formation probably occurs primarily in the interstitial spaces of these tissues rather than in the plasma. In lieu of directly studying urate solubility in interstitial areas, we must define the factors altering urate solubility in buffers and accessible body fluids and extrapolate our findings to the locale of urate crystallization. Thus, the studies of the effect of sodium and albumin concentrations and pH on urate solubility in vitro show the trends to be expected in vivo rather than the absolute values.

Numerous factors can influence the in vitro urate solubility values obtained, resulting in different values being reported by different experimenters. The preparation of distilled water and crystalline monosodium urate must be carefully controlled. Some early experiments in this series were done with sodium...
urate from K&K Laboratories. However, the solubility of this urate differed from batch to batch. Certain batches contained amorphous material which assayed as urate but showed a higher solubility (as much as 50% higher) than other K&K batches and the urate prepared in our laboratory. The latter two urate preparations were used in all experiments except those in which the effects of sodium concentration and of ultrafiltration of plasma were studied.

It is clear that temperature plays a significant role in urate solubility and this has been discussed previously (Wilcox and others, 1972; Allen, Milosovich, and Mattocks, 1965). Loeb (1972) suggested that the predilection of gout for the peripheral parts of the body is due to sustained lower temperatures in these areas. Most of the experiments in this paper were done at 37°C, but we have included some experiments done at 4°C and 26°C, primarily to allow comparison of our results with the results of other studies done at these temperatures.

That sodium influences urate solubility has been known since at least 1892 when Roberts concluded that salt increases the solubility of uric acid in urine. Bechhold and Ziegler (1914) later showed the opposite to be true. Fig. 1 shows the effect of sodium chloride on urate solubility over a wide concentration range. The physiological range of plasma sodium falls entirely within the flat part of the curve. Thus, changes in plasma sodium would not be great enough to alter urate solubility significantly. Over the electrolyte concentration range in the body one would expect the solubility product to depend somewhat on the nature of other dissolved substances, as well as on the ionic strength itself (Bockris and Reddy, 1970). Khalaf and Wilcox (1973) found that calcium ion dramatically reduces the solubility of sodium urate in water, while potassium and cupric ion increase the urate solubility slightly. There is also evidence that urea could increase uric acid-urate solubility (Medes, 1932; Sperling, Kedem, and DeVries, 1966).

Seegmiller (1965), studying the synovial fluid from a patient with acute gout, reported a decrease in pH from 7-4 to 7-2 associated with a rise in lactic acid due to the high rate of glycolysis of leucocytes in the synovial fluid. This observation supported a theory that decreasing pH in the joint during leucocytosis decreases urate solubility slightly. Fig. 2 shows that a decrease in the pH from 7-4 to 7-2 would actually increase the urate solubility slightly. On the other hand, Khalaf and Wilcox (1973) showed that urate nucleation is enhanced by lowering the pH.

The curves shown in Fig. 3 for the effect of albumin on urate solubility are somewhat surprising. Firstly, assuming that the increased solubility is due to binding of urate to albumin, one could predict the early portion of the curves on the basis of saturation of the urate binding sites on the albumin molecules. However, we are presently unable to explain the increased slope at albumin concentrations above 40 mg/ml. Also surprising is that the magnitude of the albumin-induced increase in urate solubility is greater, especially at 37°C, than would have been predicted from experiments studying urate binding to albumin by other techniques, such as equilibrium dialysis (Klinenberg and Kippen, 1970; Farrell, Popovich, and Babb, 1971). Furthermore, our results differ markedly from those of Katz and Schubert (1970) who used a technique similar to the one used in this study to measure the effect of albumin on urate solubility. They reported that an albumin concentration of 120 mg/ml caused an increase in urate solubility of 28% (from 5-7 to 7-3 mg/100 ml) at 4°C. Our Fig. 3 shows a 100% increase in urate solubility at 4°C and a 60% increase at 37°C at an albumin concentration of 80 mg/ml, and the magnitude of this increase would probably be the same or greater at 120 mg/ml albumin. We cannot explain the discrepancy in results between our laboratories.

As seen in Fig. 4, the high urate solubility of the plasma samples dialysed against a low ionic strength buffer shows the appreciable role of ionic strength in plasma urate solubility. Since the solubility of urate in the plasma samples dialysed against isotonic sodium phosphate buffer was about the same as in the undialysed plasma, this suggests that diffusible ions other than sodium are probably not playing a major role in the plasma solubility of urate. The variability of urate solubilities in the urine samples reflects differences in sodium concentrations and pH. The urate solubility in synovial fluids was slightly less than in plasma. However, these fluids by their nature represent pathological conditions and may not be representative of the fluid in a normal joint space.

The comparison of urate solubility in plasma and plasma ultrafiltrates presented in Fig. 5 further substantiates the conclusion that binding of urate to plasma macromolecules may substantially increase the solubility of urate in plasma. Alvsaker (1968) reported the presence in plasma of a glycoprotein, an α1,α2-globulin, which may bind urate in plasma. Katz and Schubert (1970) suggested that a protein polysaccharide (PPL) similar to one found in connective tissue may increase urate solubility in plasma. However, these substances are present in plasma in minute amounts and each molecule would be required to bind large amounts of urate to produce significant increases in urate solubility. Katz and Schubert (1970) reported that PPL at a concentration of 8 mg/ml increases urate solubility by 3-5 times over a control buffer solution. However, this concentration of PPL forms an extremely viscous solution and is many times greater than could occur in plasma. By contrast, the albumin concentrations used in our study (Fig. 3) are within physiological limits. It is thus probable that albumin is the most important macromolecule in plasma with respect to increasing
urate solubility. Of course, much higher concentrations of PPL occur in connective tissue where, as suggested by Katz and Schubert, it may play a role in urate deposition.

Of the fluids which we have studied, the plasma ultrafiltrates are perhaps most representative of the in vivo fluids where urate crystallization occurs. If the total urate concentration is the same in the interstitial fluids as in the plasma, this would favour urate crystallization in the interstitial fluids. A similar analysis might apply to the distribution of urate between plasma and synovial fluid, and there is currently some controversy as to whether or not urate levels in the synovial fluid of gouty patients are higher than in the corresponding plasma (Reeves, 1965).

The solubility studies reported in this paper were done in the presence of a large excess of urate crystals. However, it is known that if sodium urate crystals are not present then urate can form stable supersaturated solutions. Thus, hyperuricaemic fluids may remain supersaturated with urate until some mechanism causes formation of the first crystals. Urate crystal nucleation may be related to high concentrations of ions, such as calcium, in close proximity to connective tissue, or to interactions between urate and connective tissue components. Studies of these factors are currently proceeding in our laboratories.

Summary

Urate solubility was measured in buffers and body fluids. At physiological concentrations of sodium chloride, urate solubility was less than 10% of the salt-free value. Minimum urate solubility occurred between pH 7.0 and 10.0 and increased with increasing or decreasing pH. Addition of 50 mg/ml human albumin to buffer caused a 41% increase in urate solubility at 37°C. The solubility of urate (mg/100 ml) in biological fluids was: plasma 10.6 ± 0.5 (mean ± SE); urine 21.4 ± 1.9; and synovial fluid 10.0 ± 0.7. Urate may precipitate preferentially in interstitial fluids partly because of the lack of protein molecules to bind it.
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