



Long-term effects of bosentan on quality of life, survival, safety and tolerability in pulmonary arterial hypertension related to connective tissue diseases

Christopher P Denton, Janet E Pope, Hans-Hartmut Peter, Armando Gabrielli, Anco Boonstra, Frank H. J. van den Hoogen, Gabriela Riemekasten, Salvatore De Vita, Adele Morganti, Ouali Berkani and Loic Guillevin

Ann Rheum Dis published online 30 Nov 2007;
doi:10.1136/ard.2007.079921

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/ard.2007.079921v1>

These include:

References

2 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:
<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/ard.2007.079921v1#otherarticles>

Open Access

This article is free to access

Rapid responses

You can respond to this article at:
<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/ard.2007.079921v1>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

Online First contains unedited articles in manuscript form that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Online First articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Online First articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

Long-term Effects of Bosentan on Quality of Life, Survival, Safety and Tolerability in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Related to Connective Tissue Diseases

Authors' names: Christopher P. Denton¹, PhD, FRCP; Janet E. Pope², MD, MPH, FRCPC; Hans-Hartmut Peter³, MD; Armando Gabrielli⁴, MD; Anco Boonstra⁵, MD; Frank H. J. van den Hoogen⁶, MD, PhD; Gabriela Riemekasten⁷, MD; Salvatore De Vita⁸, MD; Adele Morganti⁹, MSc; Ouali Berkani⁹, MSc; and Loic Guillevin¹⁰, MD, on behalf of the TRUST* Investigators.

Grant support: This research was supported by Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

Authors' institutions: ¹Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; ²St. Joseph's Healthcare, London Ontario, Canada; ³Med. Universitätsklinik Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁴Azienda Ospedaliera Umberto I, Ancona, Italy; ⁵Vrije Universiteit Medisch Centrum, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁶Universitair Medisch Centrum St. Radboud, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ⁷Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ⁸Rheumatology Clinic, DPMSC, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria, Udine, Italy; ⁹Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Allschwil, Switzerland; ¹⁰Hopital Cochin, Paris, France.

Author for correspondence & reprint requests: Christopher Denton, PhD, FRCP
Center for Rheumatology, Royal Free Hospital, Pond Street,
Rheumatology Unit, Lower Ground Floor, London NW3 2QG, UK
Email: c.denton@medsch.ucl.ac.uk

Submitted to: Annals of Rheumatic Diseases
*TRUST: TRacleer Use in PAH associated with Scleroderma and Connective Tissue Diseases
Running head: Long-term bosentan in PAH/connective tissue diseases (46 characters)
Key words: Bosentan, endothelin, endothelin receptors, pulmonary arterial hypertension, connective tissue diseases.

Total word count from introduction through discussion: 3090

Tables + figures = 3 +3

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive license (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in ARD and any other BMJ PGL products to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our license <http://ard.bmjournals.com/ifora/licence.pdf>.

Abstract

Objectives. This study investigated the long-term effects of bosentan, an oral endothelin ET_A/ET_B receptor antagonist, in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) exclusively related to connective tissue diseases (CTD).

Methods. Fifty-three patients with PAH related to connective tissue diseases (PAH-CTD) in WHO functional class III received bosentan 62.5 mg b.i.d. for 4 weeks and then 125 mg b.i.d. for 44 weeks in this open non-comparative study. Assessments at Weeks 16 and 48 included WHO class, clinical worsening, quality of life (Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) and health assessment questionnaire (HAQ) modified for scleroderma), and survival (Week 48 only). Safety and tolerability were monitored throughout the study.

Results. At Week 48, WHO class improved in 27% of patients (95% CI: [16%:42%]) and worsened in 16% (95% CI: [7%:29%]). Kaplan-Meier estimates were 68% (95% CI: [55%:82%]) for absence of clinical worsening and 92% (95% CI: [85%:100%]) for survival. Overall changes in quality of life were minimal. There were no unexpected side effects observed during the study.

Conclusions. In most patients, bosentan was associated with improvement or stability of clinical status. The 92% estimate for survival at 48 weeks is a significant achievement in this patient population. (*Abstract word count: 193*).

Key words: Bosentan, endothelin, endothelin receptors, pulmonary arterial hypertension, connective tissue diseases.

Introduction

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a progressive and often fatal complication of connective tissue diseases (CTDs) such as systemic sclerosis (SSc), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and overlap or mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD).[1-3] CTDs are disorders characterized by a wide range of vascular, inflammatory, and fibrotic manifestations in many organs including lung, kidney, and skin. Over the past decade, advances in medical treatment have improved the management of the complications associated with CTDs. Patients with SLE have benefited from immunosuppressive treatments,[4] while improved management of the specific complications associated with SSc and MCTD (e.g.: scleroderma renal crisis), has improved prognosis.[5] However, PAH remains a major cause of long-term morbidity and mortality. The reported symptomatic PAH prevalence measured by right heart catheterization is 8-12% in patients with SSc,[1, 6] 6 to 11% in patients with SLE,[7, 8] and up to 10-45% in patients with MCTD [3] as measured by echocardiography and /or right heart catheterization.

In these patients, early detection of PAH and a multidisciplinary approach to diagnosis and treatment in specialized PAH and/or CTD centers may improve clinical outcome.[9, 10] Therapeutic approaches for PAH-CTD are based on those used for treating idiopathic PAH (iPAH).[11] Anticoagulation, diuretics, and oxygen supplementation are often used although the benefit of this supportive therapy has not been demonstrated in PAH-CTD [1]. Prostacyclin analogues may improve exercise capacity and pulmonary hemodynamics in these patients.[12-15] However, despite treatment, patients with PAH-CTDs are functionally impaired with a decreased health status and a poor prognosis. In the absence of concomitant PAH, survival of patients with SSc exceeds 90% at 1 year [16, 17] but once PAH has been diagnosed, it decreases to 50%,[18, 19] which is worse than for patients with iPAH (84%).[19] The risk of death from PAH related to SSc is 3-fold higher than from iPAH.[19]

Bosentan is an oral dual (ET_A and ET_B) endothelin-1 receptor antagonist. In placebo-controlled clinical trials and in long term extension studies,[20-22] bosentan was well tolerated, improved exercise capacity and hemodynamics, and delayed the time to clinical worsening in patients with iPAH and PAH-CTD. Survival estimates at 1 and 2 years were 86% and 73%, respectively, in a subgroup analysis of patients with PAH-CTD.[23] Improvement in quality of life (SF-36 instrument) has been reported after 3 and 6 months of bosentan treatment in patients with iPAH and PAH-CTD (59% and 41%, respectively) participating in the VITAL study[24]. However, changes in health-related quality of life have not been assessed together with survival. Since the concomitant assessment of these two aspects is critical to appreciate overall outcome, the present multicenter European study was designed to investigate changes in health-related quality of life together with survival over a 48-week observation period in patients with PAH exclusively related to CTD.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Included patients (over 18 years of age) had PAH in WHO functional class III [25] related to diffuse or limited SSc, MCTD, or SLE (other CTDs were excluded). PAH was confirmed in all patients by right heart catheterization requiring mean pulmonary artery pressure ≥ 25 mmHg at rest, pulmonary vascular resistance > 3 Wood units, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure < 15 mmHg.[26] This catheterization was done within 6 months before start of bosentan therapy. Signs of right heart failure, if present at baseline, must have been stable and patients must have received adequate diuretics treatment prior to bosentan initiation. Total lung capacity (TLC) was to be above 50% of predicted, to exclude patients with severe interstitial lung disease. Patients were also excluded if they had received any PAH treatments (except anticoagulants) within 1 month of screening, if they were receiving or were expected to receive epoprostenol or prostacyclin analogues for more than 2 consecutive weeks, or if they had received glibenclamide, cyclosporin A, or tacrolimus within 1 week of screening. Selective phosphodiesterase inhibitors and endothelin receptor antagonists other than bosentan were not allowed during the study. Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs were allowed provided the patient had been stable on treatment for 3 months prior to bosentan initiation.

The study was conducted according to the most recent amendments to the Declaration of Helsinki, and in adherence to Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Local institutional review boards or independent ethics committees approved the protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Study design and procedures

The study was a prospective single-arm trial and was conducted in 23 centers in 8 European countries. Patients received bosentan 62.5 mg b.i.d. for 4 weeks followed by the 125 mg b.i.d. target dose for 44 weeks, in addition to stable anti-rheumatic treatment. Patients who did not tolerate the 125 mg b.i.d. target dose were down titrated to the starting dose.

Patients were evaluated on an outpatient basis at baseline and at Weeks 4, 8, 16, 24, 36, and 48 or at premature withdrawal. Efficacy assessments included the change from baseline to Weeks 16 and 48 in WHO functional class, the time from baseline to clinical worsening (defined as the combined endpoint of death or hospitalization due to PAH complications, use of epoprostenol or prostacyclin analogues for worsening of PAH, lung transplantation, discontinuation due to worsening of PAH), and the time to death.

Health-related quality of life and disability were evaluated at baseline and Week 48, with a generic instrument (the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) [27]) and a disease-specific instrument (the scleroderma modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) [28]). Both instruments have been validated in a variety of chronic diseases including SSc [28-32] and SLE.[33, 34]

In the SF-36 questionnaire, 35 items cover 8 domains of health: physical functioning, role limitation caused by physical functioning, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, role limitation caused by emotional problems, and mental health. The patient's responses are first reported on a scale from 0 to 100 (higher

score indicates better health-related quality of life) for each domain following item weighing and additive scaling, these 8 scale scores (0-100) are finally transformed (using a linear z-score transformation) to correspond to a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 in the 1998 general U.S. population (norm-based scale scores). A 36th item (health transition) asks respondents about any health changes over the past year using 5 assessment categories, 'much better' (category 1), 'somewhat better' (category 2), 'about the same' (category 3), 'somewhat worse' (category 4), and 'much worse' (category 5).

The HAQ assessment includes 20 questions in 8 domains of functional activities: dressing, rising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip, and usual activities. The patient's responses are reported on an ordinal scale from 0 (no disability) to 3 (complete disability). The HAQ disability index is the average score across the 8 domains. In addition, 6 visual analog scales (VAS) evaluate disease-specific organ system symptoms: pain, digital ulcer, gastrointestinal, vascular, and pulmonary involvement, and overall disease severity, with scores standardized to a continuous scale from 0 (no symptoms) to 3 (worst symptoms).

Safety was assessed by the reporting of adverse events up to 1 day after study drug discontinuation and serious adverse events up to 28 days after study drug discontinuation. Liver function tests were performed at monthly intervals. Any marked laboratory abnormality was reported as an adverse event.

Statistical methods

Sample size selection was empirical for this open, single arm study. Statistical analyses were performed in an exploratory fashion. For numerical endpoints, the change from baseline is presented with 95% 2-sided confidence intervals (CI), based on asymptotic normality assumption. For dichotomous endpoints, the proportion of patients is provided with 95% 2-sided CI, based on the binomial exact distribution. For time-to-event endpoints, Kaplan-Meier estimates are presented with 95% 2-sided CI calculated from Greenwood's formula. All treated patients were used for the survival analysis.

Values for missing assessments of WHO functional class, SF-36, and HAQ/VAS were derived by carrying forward the last observed post-baseline assessment. For patients who died, underwent lung transplantation, or discontinued study medication due to worsening of PAH prior to the considered time point (Week 16 or Week 48), the most conservative approach was used in case of missing assessment. The missing assessment was replaced with the worst value out of: 1) the last available post-baseline value observed for this patient, and 2) the worst value observed at the considered time point (i.e. Week 16 or Week 48) over all other patients.

Results

Fifty-three patients were treated over a one-year period and received bosentan from July 2003 to August 2005. The median exposure to bosentan was 48.6 weeks (range 0.7 to 56.7 weeks). During the study, 17 patients (32%) were prematurely discontinued from study treatment because of an adverse event (n = 14, 26%), sudden death (n= 1, 2%), disease progression (n= 1, 2%), or loss to follow-up (n = 1, 2%). Consequently, 36 patients out of 53 completed the study.

Patient demographics and disease characteristics

Patient demographics and disease characteristics are presented in Table 1. The majority of patients were female and the mean age was 63 ± 13 years. Forty-two of 53 patients had SSc, 29 patients had limited and 13 patients had diffuse SSc. There were 6 patients with MCTD and 5 with SLE. Twelve patients had a history or evidence of lung fibrosis at baseline. In all but one of these cases was FVC greater than 60% of predicted.

Concomitant corticosteroid therapy

The numbers (percentages) of patients treated with prednisone or prednisolone included: 7 (54%) patients with diffuse SSc (mean dose: 12.4 mg; range: 5-40 mg); 12 (41%) patients with limited SSc (mean dose: 10.0 mg; range: 2.5-40 mg); 2 (40%) patients with SLE (mean dose: 11.3 mg, range: 5-30 mg); and 6 (100%) patients with MCTD (mean dose: 9.1 mg, range: 2.5-25 mg).

WHO functional class

All patients were in WHO functional class III at baseline. At Week 16, WHO class improved in 12 out of 51 patients (24%), remained stable in 35 patients (69%), and worsened in 4 patients (8%). At Week 48, it improved in 14 out of 51 patients (27%), remained stable in 29 patients (57%), and worsened in 8 patients (16%) (Figure 1).

Clinical worsening

Summary statistics on clinical worsening are shown in Figure 2. The Kaplan-Meier estimate for the absence of clinical worsening was 88% at Week 16 and 68% at Week 48. Interestingly, there was no clinical worsening among the 5 patients with SLE whereas the estimate for the absence of clinical worsening was 75%, 67%, and 61% for the patients with diffuse SSc, MCTD, and limited SSc, respectively. One patient received intravenous epoprostenol for 10 days during the course of the study.

Survival

The Kaplan-Meier estimates for the observed survival are presented in Figure 3. Survival was 92% at Week 48. Four deaths were reported during the study: 1) sudden death, 2) staphylococcal sepsis, as a result of ischemic colitis, 3) hyponatremia as a complication of high dose diuretics, including furosemide, and 4) exacerbated dyspnea from worsening pulmonary fibrosis. These 4 patients had been diagnosed with limited SSc (n = 2), diffuse SSc (n = 1), and MCTD (n = 1). No patient with SLE died during the study.

SF-36 and HAQ/VAS scores

The SF-36 domain scores decreased minimally from baseline to Week 48. The self-evaluated health transition item at Week 48 showed slightly more patients reporting improvement than patients reporting deterioration, in contrast to the baseline status. Accordingly, change in mean value for this item was -0.83 ± 0.22 (95% CI: [-1.27;-0.39]) (Table 2). The HAQ scores presented an overall increase at Week 48 (Table 3). The disability index was 1.17 ± 0.11 at baseline and tended to increase at Week 48 ($+0.22 \pm$

0.11). A similar mean increase was reported for all VAS scores at Week 48, except for the lung score, which was stable on average (Table 3).

Safety

The most frequent adverse events (% patients) observed during the study were peripheral edema (17%), liver enzyme elevations (17%, of which 11% were specified as aminotransferase increases), diarrhea (13%), exacerbated dyspnea (13%), and nausea (13%).

At least one serious adverse event was reported in 45% of patients (37 events in 24 patients). The most frequent serious adverse events were exacerbated dyspnea (8%) and pneumonia (8%). All serious adverse events were judged unrelated to study medication by the investigators.

Overall, 14 patients (26%) discontinued study medication because of an adverse event or serious adverse event. Discontinuations most often involved exacerbated dyspnea (6%), general physical health deterioration (6%), and liver enzyme increase (6%).

Discussion

This is the first large multi-centre prospective single-arm study of survival and quality of life in PAH-CTD confirmed by right heart catheterization. The results represent an important source of data on survival and quality of life in patients with PAH-CTD treated with bosentan.

The survival estimate of 92% with only slight alteration in the patients' quality of life can be considered as a positive outcome in PAH-CTD, a progressive disease with very poor outcome in the absence of specific therapy. Treatment of PAH with bosentan was indicated in the patients included in this study. Hence a placebo therapy for 48 weeks would have been unethical. The absence of a placebo group may be considered a limitation of the study, with concern that some of the improvements were due to "placebo" effect, rather than drug efficacy. However, non-subjective parameters, such as survival, cannot be explained by a placebo effect. Previously reported placebo-controlled PAH studies of bosentan or other PAH-specific treatments have not shown any clinically relevant improvements in placebo groups, in fact, they have generally shown a decline.[13, 14, 20, 21, 35-37] In addition, a historical comparison shows that the observed estimate for absence of clinical worsening at Week 16 is similar to the rates reported for the PAH-CTD patients treated with bosentan in the placebo-controlled BREATHE-1 study.[21]

The survival results of the study are in line with published data in patients treated with bosentan. In particular, the observed survival rate of 92% is comparable with the 1-year survival of 86% reported by Denton *et al.* [23] in a subgroup analysis of 64 patients with PAH-CTD (SSc, SLE, MCTD), who were enrolled in the two bosentan placebo-controlled PAH trials and the open-label extensions. Similarly, Williams *et al.* [38] reported a survival at 1 year of 81% in a cohort of 45 patients with PAH related to SSc in class III-IV who were treated with bosentan as first line therapy. In contrast, the survival reported by these authors for the historical control (47 patients treated with basic therapy with (n = 27) or without (n = 20) prostanoids) was 68%.[38] Data on the effects of injectable prostanoids and sildenafil on hemodynamics, exercise capacity and symptoms in PAH associated with

connective tissue disease have been presented.[39, 40] However, their effect has not been studied in a specific long-term cohort in this patient population.[41, 42]

The results of the study also suggest that bosentan improved or stabilized the clinical status of most patients with PAH-CTD. In 84% of patients, the WHO functional class improved (27%), or remained unchanged (57%) at Week 48.

The self-evaluated SF-36 health transition item showed more improvements than deteriorations in overall health perception at the end of the study, as well as an improvement in this overall perception compared to the year preceding the study. The decrease in SF-36 domain scores was lower than that generally considered as the minimal clinically important difference.[43] The apparent discrepancy between the encouraging results of the SF-36 health transition item and the decrease in the domain scores can be explained by the different nature of these measures. Whereas the health transition item is a general and relative (compared to 1 year ago) measure, the domain scores are specific and absolute (providing a value at the date of assessment) measures.

Patients included in the current study had moderate-to-severe baseline disabilities [44] (HAQ disability index = 1.17 ± 0.11). At Week 48, the observed mean increases in the HAQ disability index (+0.22, 95% CI: [-0.01:0.44]) reached the minimal clinical important difference value reported for patients with SSc (0.10-0.22) [45, 46] and the VAS scores increased by less than 0.3 units, except for the lung score which was stable. Interpretation of these findings is limited by the absence of a control group. In a different cohort of SSc patients without PAH followed for a mean of 1.8 years, the HAQ disability index increased by 0.4 units and VAS scores by 0.5-0.6 despite state of the art therapy.[47] Hence, our findings suggest that bosentan PAH therapy was specifically associated with a stabilization of the lung score despite other coexisting CTD respiratory manifestations, but, as expected, had less impact on other organ-related quality of life indicators.

As any instrument, the HAQ/VAS and SF-36 tools have well-known limitations: the patients' judgments about the extent of their disabilities may show marked individual variation,[48] the HAQ/VAS does not capture the psychological distress felt by patients with PAH and/or CTDs,[49, 50] and neither instrument is specific for PAH. Consequently, they may have limited sensitivity in detecting changes in quality of life resulting from PAH treatment over time.[51] New instruments, which were not available at the start of this study, such as the Cambridge Pulmonary Hypertension Outcome Review (CAMPHOR) [51] have been developed from qualitative, unstructured interviews with PAH patients and are expected to provide a more accurate assessment of the impact of PAH on quality of life, when validated translations permit their use in international studies.

The minimum TLC for inclusion in our study was 50% of predicted. Low TLC may reflect interstitial lung disease or chest wall restriction or other cause for low lung volumes such as pleural disease. There was evidence of lung fibrosis in some cases but our experience and published data [52, 53] suggest that outcome is especially poor in CTD cases, particularly SSc, in which there is RHC proven pulmonary hypertension together with lung fibrosis. Hence, the inclusion of these patients would be more likely to have had a negative impact on the overall prognosis in the cohort.

In conclusion, our study suggests that patients with PAH-CTD can be treated with bosentan in centers having PAH in addition to CTD expertise and that bosentan is effective for the treatment of this patient population. Our results suggest that bosentan improves or stabilizes the clinical status in the majority of PAH-CTD patients and, most importantly,

has a positive impact on survival. In contrast to the observed changes of SF-36 and HAQ scores not related to PAH, the HAQ lung VAS score stabilized and the SF-36 health transition item improved. Altogether, these results are consistent with sustained benefit in this patient population which is usually characterized by a high morbidity and mortality.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the collaboration and commitment of all the local investigators and their staff: Belgium: Marion Delcroix, MD, PhD, UZ Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium. France: Philippe Humbert, MD, Hôpital Saint-Jacques, Besançon. Roland Jaussaud, MD, Hôpital Robert Debré, Reims. Pascal Roblot, MD, CHRU La Miletrie, Poitiers. Bernard Lorcerie, MD, CHU Bocage, Dijon. Xavier Puechal, MD, CHU, Le Mans. Germany: Michael Buslau, MD, Sanitas Alpenlinik Inzell GmbH. Klaus Helmke, MD, Krankenhaus München Bogenhausen, München. Ulf Müller-Ladner, MD, Universitätsklinikum Regensburg, Regensburg. Italy: Francesco Trotta, MD, Università degli Studi di Ferrara, Ferrara. Netherlands: Hendrika Bootsma, MD, PhD, Academisch Ziekenhuis Groningen, Groningen. Pieter Van Paassen, MD, PhD, Academisch Ziekenhuis Maastricht, Maastricht. Norway: Jan Tore Gran, MD, Rikshospitalet, Oslo. Spain: Ana Prost, MD, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona. Javier Orte, MD, Hospital Ramon y Cajal, Madrid. José Roman, MD, PhD, Hospital Dr. Peset, Valencia.

Competing interests

Christopher P. Denton has been a consultant to, or received research grants from the following companies: Genzyme Corporation, Actelion Pharmaceuticals, Aspreva Pharmaceuticals, Encysive Corporation, DigNa Pharmaceuticals.

Janet E. Pope, Hans-Hartmut Peter, Armando Gabrielli, Gabriela Riemekasten, Salvatore De Vita have no significant industry affiliation (under 10K US\$).

Anco Boonstra's has received speaking fees or consultancy fees from GSK, Actelion Pharmaceuticals and Pfizer less than 2K US\$. Dr Boonstra's employing Institution is involved in contract research for GSK, Actelion Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, United Therapeutics, Encysive, Myogen, Merck. Dr Boonstra's employing Institution's research foundation has received unrestricted educational grants from GSK and Actelion Pharmaceuticals.

Frank H. J. van den Hoogen is a consultant for Bristol Myrs Squibb (BMS) and Abbott.

Loic Guillevin is a consultant for Actelion Pharmaceuticals (advisory boards) and a member of the scientific council of Actelion France.

Adele Morganti and Ouali Berkani are employees of Actelion Pharmaceuticals.

Funding

The study was supported by an investigational grant from Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd. The database was retained by the sponsor, but the investigators had access to the complete database. The statistical analysis was performed by a statistician who is an employee of the sponsoring company and is listed among the authors (AM); the manuscript was reviewed and approved by the academic authors. The academic authors assume full responsibility for the completeness and accuracy of the content of the manuscript.

References

- 1 Mukerjee D, St George D, Coleiro B, Knight C, Denton CP, Davar J, *et al.* Prevalence and outcome in systemic sclerosis associated pulmonary arterial hypertension: application of a registry approach. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;**62**(11):1088-93.
- 2 Simonson JS, Schiller NB, Petri M, Hellmann DB. Pulmonary hypertension in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 1989;**16**(7):918-25.
- 3 Prakash UB. Respiratory complications in mixed connective tissue disease. *Clin Chest Med* 1998;**19**(4):733-46.
- 4 Gescuk BD, Davis JC, Jr. Novel therapeutic agents for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2002;**14**(5):515-21.
- 5 Denton CP, Black CM. Novel therapeutic strategies in scleroderma. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 1999;**1**(1):22-7.
- 6 Hachulla E, Gressin V, Guillevin L, Carpentier P, Diot E, Sibilia J, *et al.* Early detection of pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: a French nationwide prospective multicenter study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;**52**(12):3792-800.
- 7 Shen JY, Chen SL, Wu YX, Tao RQ, Gu YY, Bao CD, *et al.* Pulmonary hypertension in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatol Int* 1999;**18**(4):147-51.
- 8 Pan TL, Thumboo J, Boey ML. Primary and secondary pulmonary hypertension in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus* 2000;**9**(5):338-42.
- 9 Denton CP, Black CM. Pulmonary hypertension in systemic sclerosis. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2003;**29**(2):335-49, vii.
- 10 Hachulla E, Coghlan JG. A new era in the management of pulmonary arterial hypertension related to scleroderma: endothelin receptor antagonism. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2004;**63**(9):1009-14.
- 11 Coghlan JG, Handler C. Connective tissue associated pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Lupus* 2006;**15**(3):138-42.
- 12 Badesch DB, Tapson VF, McGoon MD, Brundage BH, Rubin LJ, Wigley FM, *et al.* Continuous intravenous epoprostenol for pulmonary hypertension due to the scleroderma spectrum of disease. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;**132**(6):425-34.
- 13 Simonneau G, Barst RJ, Galie N, Naeije R, Rich S, Bourge RC, *et al.* Continuous subcutaneous infusion of treprostinil, a prostacyclin analogue, in patients with pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;**165**:1-5.
- 14 Olschewski H, Simonneau G, Galie N, Higenbottam T, Naeije R, Rubin LJ, *et al.* Inhaled iloprost for severe pulmonary hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**(5):322-9.
- 15 Robbins IM, Gaine SP, Schilz R, Tapson VF, Rubin LJ, Loyd JE. Epoprostenol for treatment of pulmonary hypertension in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Chest* 2000;**117**(1):14-8.
- 16 Stupi AM, Steen VD, Owens GR, Barnes EL, Rodnan GP, Medsger TA, Jr. Pulmonary hypertension in the CREST syndrome variant of systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1986;**29**(4):515-24.
- 17 Cervera R, Khamashta MA, Font J, Sebastiani GD, Gil A, Lavilla P, *et al.* Morbidity and mortality in systemic lupus erythematosus during a 5-year period. A multicenter prospective study of 1,000 patients. European Working Party on Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1999;**78**(3):167-75.
- 18 Koh ET, Lee P, Gladman DD, Abu-Shakra M. Pulmonary hypertension in systemic sclerosis: an analysis of 17 patients. *Br J Rheumatol* 1996;**35**(10):989-93.
- 19 Kawut SM, Taichman DB, Archer-Chicko CL, Palevsky HI, Kimmel SE. Hemodynamics and survival in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension related to systemic sclerosis. *Chest* 2003;**123**(2):344-50.

- 20 Channick RN, Simonneau G, Sitbon O, Robbins IM, Frost A, Tapson VF, *et al.* Effects of the dual endothelin-receptor antagonist bosentan in patients with pulmonary hypertension: a randomised placebo-controlled study. *Lancet* 2001;**358**(9288):1119-23.
- 21 Rubin LJ, Badesch DB, Barst RJ, Galie N, Black CM, Keogh A, *et al.* Bosentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**(12):896-903.
- 22 Sitbon O, Badesch DB, Channick RN, Frost A, Robbins IM, Simonneau G, *et al.* Effects of the dual endothelin receptor antagonist bosentan in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a 1-year follow-up study. *Chest* 2003;**124**(1):247-54.
- 23 Denton CP, Humbert M, Rubin L, Black CM. Bosentan treatment for pulmonary arterial hypertension related to connective tissue disease: a subgroup analysis of the pivotal clinical trials and their open-label extensions. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;**65**(10):1336-40.
- 24 Keogh AM, McNeil KD, Wlodarczyk J, Gabbay E, Williams TJ. Quality of life in pulmonary arterial hypertension: improvement and maintenance with bosentan. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2007;**26**(2):181-7.
- 25 Recommendations on the management of pulmonary hypertension in clinical practice. *Heart* 2001;**86** Suppl 1:i1-i13.
- 26 Barst RJ, McGoon M, Torbicki A, Sitbon O, Krowka MJ, Olschewski H, *et al.* Diagnosis and differential assessment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;**43**(12 Suppl S):40S-47S.
- 27 Ware JE, Jr., Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care* 1992;**30**(6):473-83.
- 28 Steen VD, Medsger TA, Jr. The value of the Health Assessment Questionnaire and special patient-generated scales to demonstrate change in systemic sclerosis patients over time. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;**40**(11):1984-91.
- 29 Khanna D, Furst DE, Clements PJ, Park GS, Hays RD, Yoon J, *et al.* Responsiveness of the SF-36 and the Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index in a systemic sclerosis clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 2005;**32**(5):832-40.
- 30 Danieli E, Airo P, Bettoni L, Cinquini M, Antonioli CM, Cavazzana I, *et al.* Health-related quality of life measured by the Short Form 36 (SF-36) in systemic sclerosis: correlations with indexes of disease activity and severity, disability, and depressive symptoms. *Clin Rheumatol* 2005;**24**(1):48-54.
- 31 Poole JL, Steen VD. The use of the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) to determine physical disability in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Care Res* 1991;**4**(1):27-31.
- 32 Silman A, Akesson A, Newman J, Henriksson H, Sandquist G, Nihill M, *et al.* Assessment of functional ability in patients with scleroderma: a proposed new disability assessment instrument. *J Rheumatol* 1998;**25**(1):79-83.
- 33 Saba J, Quinet RJ, Davis WE, Krousel-Wood M, Chambers R, Gomez N, *et al.* Inverse correlation of each functional status scale of the SF-36 with degree of disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus (m-SLAM). *Joint Bone Spine* 2003;**70**(5):348-51.
- 34 Hochberg MC, Sutton JD. Physical disability and psychosocial dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 1988;**15**(6):959-64.
- 35 Barst RJ, Rubin LJ, Long WA, McGoon MD, Rich S, Badesch DB, *et al.* A comparison of continuous intravenous epoprostenol (prostacyclin) with conventional therapy for primary pulmonary hypertension. The Primary Pulmonary Hypertension Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**334**(5):296-302.
- 36 Oudiz RJ, Schilz RJ, Barst RJ, Galie N, Rich S, Rubin LJ, *et al.* Treprostinil, a prostacyclin analogue, in pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with connective tissue disease. *Chest* 2004;**126**(2):420-7.

- 37 Sastry BK, Narasimhan C, Reddy NK, Raju BS. Clinical efficacy of sildenafil in primary pulmonary hypertension: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;**43**(7):1149-53.
- 38 Williams MH, Das C, Handler CE, Akram MR, Davar J, Denton CP, *et al*. Systemic sclerosis associated pulmonary hypertension: improved survival in the current era. *Heart* 2005.
- 39 Galie N, Ghofrani HA, Torbicki A, Barst RJ, Rubin LJ, Badesch D, *et al*. Sildenafil citrate therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**353**(20):2148-57.
- 40 Strauss WL, Edelman JD. Prostanoid therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Clin Chest Med* 2007;**28**(1):127-42.
- 41 Galie N, Manes A, Farahani KV, Pelino F, Palazzini M, Negro L, *et al*. Pulmonary arterial hypertension associated to connective tissue diseases. *Lupus* 2005;**14**(9):713-7.
- 42 Allanore Y, Avouac J, Wipff J, Kahan A. New therapeutic strategies in the management of systemic sclerosis. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2007;**8**(5):607-15.
- 43 Strand V, Crawford B. Improvement in health-related quality of life in patients with SLE following sustained reductions in anti-dsDNA antibodies. *Expert Rev Pharmacoeconomics Outcome Res* 2005;**5**(3):317-26.
- 44 Clements PJ, Wong WK, Hurwitz EL, Furst DE, Mayes M, White B, *et al*. The Disability Index of the Health Assessment Questionnaire is a predictor and correlate of outcome in the high-dose versus low-dose penicillamine in systemic sclerosis trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;**44**(3):653-61.
- 45 Bruce B, Fries JF. The Stanford Health Assessment Questionnaire: a review of its history, issues, progress, and documentation. *J Rheumatol* 2003;**30**(1):167-78.
- 46 Khanna D, Furst DE, Hays RD, Park GS, Wong WK, Seibold JR, *et al*. Minimally important difference in diffuse systemic sclerosis: results from the D-penicillamine study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;**65**(10):1325-9.
- 47 Serednicka K, Smyth AE, Black CM, Denton CP. Using a self-reported functional score to assess disease progression in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2007;**46**(7):1107-10.
- 48 Scott DL, Smith C, Kingsley G. Joint damage and disability in rheumatoid arthritis: an updated systematic review. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2003;**21**(5 Suppl 31):S20-7.
- 49 Angelopoulos NV, Drosos AA, Moutsopoulos HM. Psychiatric symptoms associated with scleroderma. *Psychother Psychosom* 2001;**70**(3):145-50.
- 50 Del Rosso A, Boldrini M, D'Agostino D, Placidi GP, Scarpato A, Pignone A, *et al*. Health-related quality of life in systemic sclerosis as measured by the Short Form 36: relationship with clinical and biologic markers. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;**51**(3):475-81.
- 51 McKenna SP, Doughty N, Meads DM, Doward LC, Pepke-Zaba J. The Cambridge Pulmonary Hypertension Outcome Review (CAMPHOR): a measure of health-related quality of life and quality of life for patients with pulmonary hypertension. *Qual Life Res* 2006;**15**(1):103-15.
- 52 Denton CP, Black CM. Scleroderma and related disorders: therapeutic aspects. *Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2000;**14**(1):17-35.
- 53 Bolster MB, Silver RM. Lung disease in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol* 1993;**7**(1):79-97.

Table 1. Demographics and patient characteristics at baseline

Male : Female, n (%)	9 (17%) : 44 (83%)
Age (years)	63 ± 13 [22-79]
Weight (kg)	67 ± 13 [40-99]
Race: Caucasian : Asian	51 (96%) : 2 (4%)
Etiology, n (%)	
Limited systemic sclerosis	29 (55%)
Diffuse systemic sclerosis	13 (25%)
Mixed connective tissue disease	6 (11%)
Systemic lupus erythematosus	5 (9%)
Time from CTD diagnosis (weeks)	431 ± 503 [0-2227]
Time from PAH diagnosis (weeks)	45 ± 66 [1-236]
Signs of right heart failure, n (%)	8 (15%)
Patients with at least 1 digital ulcer, n (%) (n = 50)	15 (30%)
Right heart catheterization	
Mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mmHg)	39.5 ± 12.6
Cardiac index (L/min/m ²) (n = 49)	2.9 ± 0.9
Mean pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (mmHg) (n = 51)	10.1 ± 4.4
Pulmonary vascular resistance (dyn.s.cm ⁻⁵) (n = 47)	559.4 ± 371.5
Total lung capacity (%) (n = 46)	80.8 ± 18.3
Forced vital capacity (% of predicted)	85.9 ± 23.6
Concomitant treatment, n (%)	
Antithrombotic agents *	41 (77%)
Antacids/drug for treatment of peptic ulcer and flatulence	40 (75%)
Calcium channel blockers	32 (60%)
Corticosteroids §	30 (57%)
Diuretics	28 (53%)

Values are number (percentage) of patients or mean ± standard deviation [range], (n = 53).

* mostly acenocoumarol, warfarin, or acetylsalicylic acid

§ mostly prednisolone

Table 2. Changes from baseline to Week 48 in the SF-36 domain scores and health transition item

	Baseline	Week 48	Change	95% CI
Domain scores (norm-based)				
Physical functioning*	28.76 ± 1.24	27.72 ± 1.48	-1.04 ± 1.37	-3.79:1.71
Role physical*	27.47 ± 2.15	26.19 ± 1.89	-1.28 ± 2.25	-5.81:3.25
Pain	43.00 ± 1.55	41.92 ± 1.74	-1.08 ± 1.60	-4.29:2.14
General health perception*	33.89 ± 1.24	32.42 ± 1.24	-1.47 ± 1.35	-4.19:1.25
Vitality*	40.63 ± 1.41	40.15 ± 1.47	-0.49 ± 1.32	-3.15:2.17
Social functioning	39.67 ± 1.81	38.51 ± 2.13	-1.16 ± 2.26	-5.70:3.38
Role emotional [†]	32.73 ± 3.03	30.65 ± 3.10	-2.07 ± 3.65	-9.43:5.29
Mental health*	45.11 ± 1.65	42.94 ± 1.98	-2.17 ± 1.63	-5.45:1.11
Health transition item	3.81 ± 0.15	2.98 ± 0.18	-0.83 ± 0.22	-1.27:-0.39
Health transition item (n)				
1: Much better	3	4		
2: Somewhat better	2	17		
3: About the same	6	9		
4: Somewhat worse	26	10		
5: Much worse	10	7		

Values are mean ± sem. (n = 47, *n =46, [†]n =45).

Four patients were discontinued because of PAH worsening/death and were assigned the worst value observed over the analysis set at Week 48, as per protocol.

The health transition item is reporting comparison to 1 year before.

(Note: A decrease (negative change) in a domain score corresponds to deterioration).

Table 3. Changes from baseline to Week 48 in the HAQ and VAS scores on a 0-3 scale

	Baseline	Week 48	Change	95% CI
HAQ scores				
Dressing	1.15 ± 0.16	1.49 ± 0.19	0.34 ± 0.16	0.01:0.67
Arising	0.77 ± 0.13	1.09 ± 0.17	0.32 ± 0.20	-0.08:0.72
Eating	0.81 ± 0.13	0.98 ± 0.17	0.17 ± 0.16	-0.16:0.50
Walking	1.32 ± 0.14	1.60 ± 0.18	0.28 ± 0.16	-0.05:0.60
Hygiene	0.98 ± 0.15	1.30 ± 0.19	0.32 ± 0.18	-0.04:0.68
Reach	1.28 ± 0.16	1.62 ± 0.18	0.34 ± 0.16	0.01:0.67
Grip	1.15 ± 0.17	1.28 ± 0.18	0.13 ± 0.17	-0.22:0.48
Activity	1.94 ± 0.14	1.98 ± 0.17	0.04 ± 0.14	-0.23:0.32
HAQ disability index				
	1.17 ± 0.11	1.39 ± 0.14	0.22 ± 0.11	-0.01:0.44
VAS				
Pain	0.87 ± 0.11	1.06 ± 0.13	0.19 ± 0.16	-0.13:0.51
Gastrointestinal	0.44 ± 0.10	0.67 ± 0.11	0.23 ± 0.11	0.0:0.46
Lung	1.71 ± 0.11	1.70 ± 0.14	-0.02 ± 0.14	-0.29:0.26
Vascular	1.11 ± 0.13	1.32 ± 0.15	0.21 ± 0.19	-0.18:0.60
Digital ulcer	0.58 ± 0.12	0.86 ± 0.16	0.28 ± 0.16	-0.03:0.59
Disease	1.39 ± 0.13	1.56 ± 0.14	0.17 ± 0.13	-0.10:0.44

Values are mean ± sem (n =47).

Five patients were discontinued because of PAH worsening/death and were assigned the worst value observed over the analysis set at Week 48, as per protocol.

(Note: A negative change corresponds to an improvement of the HAQ and VAS scores.)

List of figures

Figure 1. Improvement/worsening in WHO functional class at Week 16 and Week 48. Two patients at Week 16 and 3 at Week 48 were discontinued because of PAH worsening/death and were assigned WHO functional Class IV at Week 16 and Week 48, respectively, as per protocol. (n = 51). 95% confidence intervals are indicated in brackets.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates for time to clinical worsening, defined as the combined endpoint of death, hospitalization due to PAH complications, use of epoprostenol or prostacyclin analogues for worsening of PAH, lung transplantation, or discontinuation due to worsening of PAH. The Kaplan-Meier estimate was 88% (95% CI: [79%:97%]) at Week 16, and 68% (95% CI: [55%:82%]) at Week 48.

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of survival (deaths occurring after treatment discontinuation for an adverse event were counted as an event). The Kaplan-Meier estimate was 92% (95% CI: [85%:100%]) at Week 48.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3